

# REGULARITY FOR POISSON EQUATION

OCMOUNTAIN DAYLIGHT TIME. 14, 2011

Intuitively, the solution  $u$  to the Poisson equation

$$\Delta u = f \tag{1}$$

should have better regularity than the right hand side  $f$ . In particular one expects  $u$  to be “twice more differentiable” than  $f$ . The validity of this conjecture depends on the function spaces we are looking at.

**Note.** “Schauder Theory” in fact denotes the similar results for the general linear elliptic PDE

$$\sum a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x) u(x) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Nevertheless we use it (instead of “ $C^{2,\alpha}$  estimates”) as the title of this lecture to make it easy to display on the web.

## 1. Counter-examples.

The most “natural” conjecture one would make is  $f \in C(\Omega) \implies u \in C^2(\Omega)$ . Anyway, it is indeed true in 1D. However it cease to be true when the dimension is bigger than 1.

**Example 1.** ( $f \in L^\infty$  but  $u \notin C^{1,1}$ ).

$$u(x_1, x_2) = |x_1| |x_2| \log(|x_1| + |x_2|). \tag{3}$$

We compute (in  $x_1, x_2 > 0$ )

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left[ x_2 \log(x_1 + x_2) + \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_1 + x_2} \right] = \frac{2 x_2}{x_1 + x_2} - \frac{x_1 x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)^2}; \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} = \frac{2 x_1}{x_1 + x_2} - \frac{x_1 x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)^2}. \tag{5}$$

Thus

$$\Delta u = 2 - \frac{2 x_1 x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)^2} \tag{6}$$

and the RHS is a bounded function.

However, we compute

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = \log(x_1 + x_2) + 1 - \frac{x_1 x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)^2} \notin L^\infty. \tag{7}$$

**Example 2.** ( $f$  continuous but  $u \notin C^{1,1}$ ).

$$\Delta u = f(x) \equiv \frac{x_2^2 - x_1^2}{2|x|^2} \left[ \frac{n+2}{(-\log|x|)^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{2(-\log|x|)^{3/2}} \right], \quad x \in B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{8}$$

$f(x)$  is continuous after setting  $f(0) = 0$ .

However, the solution

$$u(x) = (x_1^2 - x_2^2) (-\log|x|)^{1/2} \tag{9}$$

has

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} \longrightarrow \infty \quad x \rightarrow 0. \tag{10}$$

Therefore  $u \notin C^{1,1}$ .

---

1. One can show that there is no classical solution to this problem. Assume otherwise a classical solution  $v$  exists, then the difference  $u - v$  is a bounded harmonic function in  $B_R \setminus \{0\}$ . One can show that such functions can be extended as a harmonic function in the whole  $B_R$  which means  $\nabla^2 u$  must be bounded, a contradiction.

## 2. $C^\alpha$ regularity.

The right space to work on are the Hölder spaces.

**Definition 3. (Hölder continuity)** Let  $f: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The function  $f$  is called Hölder continuous at  $x_0$  with exponent  $\alpha$  if

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(x_0)|}{|x - x_0|^\alpha} < \infty. \quad (11)$$

$f$  is called Hölder continuous in  $\Omega$  if it is Hölder continuous at each  $x_0 \in \Omega$  (with the same exponent  $\alpha$ ), denoted  $f \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$ .

When  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $f$  is called Lipschitz continuous at  $x_0$ , denoted  $f \in \text{Lip}(\Omega)$  or  $f \in C^{0,1}(\Omega)$ .

$C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  contains  $f \in C^k(\bar{\Omega})$  whose  $k$ th derivatives are uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$  over  $\bar{\Omega}$ , that is

$$\sup_{x,y \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < \infty. \quad (12)$$

$C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$  contains  $f \in C^k(\Omega)$  whose  $k$ th derivatives are uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$  in every compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

**Example 4.** The functions  $f(x) = |x|^\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , is Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$  at  $x = 0$ . It is Lipschitz continuous when  $\alpha = 1$ .

**Remark 5.** When  $k = 0$ , we usually use  $C^\alpha$  for  $C^{0,\alpha}$  since there is no ambiguity for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

We can define the seminorm

$$|f|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})} \equiv \sup_{x,y \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}, \quad (13)$$

and the norms

$$\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})} = \|f\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega})} + |f|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})}, \quad (14)$$

$$\|f\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega})} + \sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\partial^\alpha f|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})}. \quad (15)$$

where

$$\|f\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega})} = \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |f|. \quad (16)$$

The following property is important. In short,  $C^\alpha$  is an algebra.

**Lemma 6.** If  $f_1, f_2 \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$ , then  $f_1 f_2 \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$ , and

$$|f_1 f_2|_{C^\alpha} \leq \left( \sup_{\Omega} |f_1| \right) |f_2|_{C^\alpha} + \left( \sup_{\Omega} |f_2| \right) |f_1|_{C^\alpha}. \quad (17)$$

**Proof.** Left as exercise. □

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be open and bounded,

$$u(x) \equiv \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x - y) f(y) dy, \quad (18)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the fundamental solution. Then

a) If  $f \in C_0^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ , and

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq c \|f\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})}. \quad (19)$$

b) If  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  ( $\alpha = 0$  case), then  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq c \|f\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})}. \quad (20)$$

c) If  $f \in \text{Lip}(\bar{\Omega})$  ( $\alpha = 1$  case) with support contained in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , then  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq c \|f\|_{\text{Lip}(\bar{\Omega})}. \quad (21)$$

**Proof.**

a) Recall that  $\Phi(x - y) = C \log |x - y|$  for  $n = 2$  and  $\Phi(x - y) = C \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2}}$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

1. We first show  $u \in C^1$ .

Formally differentiating we obtain

$$\partial_{x_i} u = \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{x_i} \Gamma(x, y)) f(y) dy = C \int_{\Omega} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^n} f(y) dy. \quad (22)$$

It is easy to check that the integrand is integrable. Therefore by the theorem regarding differentiating with respect to a parameter for Lebesgue integrals, we see that the formal relation

$$\partial_{x_i} u = C \int_{\Omega} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^n} f(y) dy \quad (23)$$

indeed holds.

2. Next we show  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$ . In the following we will omit the constant factor  $C$ . In this step we do some preparations.

Again formally differentiating, we obtain

$$\partial_{x_i x_j} u = \int \left( \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x - y|^n} - \frac{n(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)}{|x - y|^{n+2}} \right) f(y) dy. \quad (24)$$

But this time the integrand is not automatically integrable and therefore this equality is dubious. To overcome this difficulty, we first work in the weak sense.

By extending  $f$  outside  $\Omega$  to be 0 (resulting in a distribution with compact support), we can write

$$\partial_{x_i} u = \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} * f \quad (25)$$

in the sense of distributions. Thus we have

$$\partial_{x_i x_j} u = \left[ \partial_{x_j} \left( \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} \right) \right] * f \quad (26)$$

in the sense of distributions. We compute the distributional derivative  $\partial_{x_j} \left( \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} \right)$  now.

Take any  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we know

$$\left[ \partial_{x_j} \left( \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} \right) \right] (\phi) = - \int \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} (\partial_{x_j} \phi)(x) dx = - \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\varepsilon} \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} (\partial_{x_j} \phi) dx. \quad (27)$$

Now integrate by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\varepsilon} \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} (\partial_{x_j} \phi) dx &= - \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} \phi(x) \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} \left( - \frac{x_j}{|x|} \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\varepsilon} S_{ij}(x) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{|x|=\varepsilon} \phi(x) \frac{x_i x_j}{\varepsilon^{n+1}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\varepsilon} S_{ij}(x) \phi(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where

$$S_{ij}(x) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x - y|^n} - \frac{n(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)}{|x - y|^{n+2}} \quad (29)$$

is the formal derivative. For the boundary term, we write

$$\int_{|x|=\varepsilon} \phi(x) \frac{x_i x_j}{\varepsilon^{n+1}} = \phi(0) \int_{|x|=\varepsilon} \frac{x_i x_j}{\varepsilon^{n+1}} + \int_{|x|=\varepsilon} [\phi(x) - \phi(0)] \frac{x_i x_j}{\varepsilon^{n+1}}. \quad (30)$$

Note that since  $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ ,  $\phi(x) - \phi(0) = O(|x|) = O(\varepsilon)$  which makes the second term an  $O(\varepsilon)$  quantity. For the first term, a symmetry argument shows that the integral vanishes when  $i \neq j$ . When  $i = j$ , we use symmetry and the fact that

$$\int_{|x|=\varepsilon} \sum \frac{x_i x_i}{|x|^{n+1}} = \int_{|x|=\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n-1}} = \omega_{n-1}, \quad (31)$$

where  $\omega_{n-1}$  is the surface area of the  $n-1$  dimensional unit sphere, to conclude that the limit is  $c \phi(0)$  for some constant  $c$ .

Therefore we have shown that

$$\left[ \partial_{x_j} \left( \frac{x_i}{|x|^n} \right) \right] (\phi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\varepsilon} S_{ij}(x) \phi(x) dx + c \delta \quad (32)$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\partial_{x_i x_j} u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon} S_{ij}(x-y) f(y) dy + c f(x). \quad (33)$$

We now show directly that the second derivative  $\partial_{x_i x_j} u$  is Hölder continuous with power  $\alpha$ . Since  $f(x) \in C^\alpha$ , we only need to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left[ \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_1)} S_{ij}(x_1-y) f(y) dy - \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_2)} S_{ij}(x_2-y) f(y) dy \right] |x_1 - x_2|^{-\alpha} < \infty. \quad (34)$$

uniformly for  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ .

3.  $\partial_{x_i x_j} u \in C^\alpha$ .

Inspection of  $S_{ij}$  reveals that for any  $0 < R_1 < R_2$ :

$$\int_{R_1 \leq |y| \leq R_2} S_{ij}(x-y) dy = 0. \quad (35)$$

To make things simple, we extend  $f$  to be 0 outside  $\Omega$ . The resulting function is in  $C_0^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ <sup>2</sup>. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^\varepsilon} S_{ij}(x-y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^\varepsilon} S_{ij}(x-y) [f(y) - f(x)] dy. \quad (36)$$

Note that since  $f \in C^\alpha$ , the integrand is integrable now, which means  $u \in C^2$  has been proved.

To show  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$  we need more refined analysis of the integral. First note that in writing the quantity as

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^\varepsilon} S_{ij}(x-y) [f(y) - f(x)] dy \quad (37)$$

the singularity has been removed and we can take the limit and write

$$\partial_{x_i x_j} u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_{ij}(x-y) [f(y) - f(x)] dy. \quad (38)$$

For any  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ , setting  $\delta = 2|x_1 - x_2|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i x_j} u(x_1) - \partial_{x_i x_j} u(x_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_{ij}(x_1-y) [f(y) - f(x_1)] - S_{ij}(x_2-y) [f(y) - f(x_2)] \\ &= \int_{B_\delta(x_1)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta(x_1)} \equiv A + B. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

For  $A$ , we bound  $|f(y) - f(x_1)| \leq \|f\|_{C^\alpha} |y - x_1|^\alpha$  and  $|f(y) - f(x_2)| \leq \|f\|_{C^\alpha} |y - x_2|^\alpha$ , and get

$$|A| \leq C \|f\|_{C^\alpha} \delta^\alpha = C \|f\|_{C^\alpha} |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha. \quad (40)$$

<sup>2</sup> Let  $\tilde{f}$  be the extended function. Then one notices that  $|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(y)| = |f(x) - f(y)|$  when  $x, y \in \Omega$ , vanishes when  $x, y \notin \Omega$ , and equals  $|f(x) - f(y')|$  when  $x \in \Omega$  and  $y \notin \Omega$ , where  $y'$  is the intersection of  $\partial\Omega$  and the line connecting  $x, y$ .

For  $B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta(x_1)} S_{ij}(x_1 - y) [f(y) - f(x_1)] - S_{ij}(x_2 - y) [f(y) - f(x_2)] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta(x_1)} S_{ij}(x_1 - y) [f(x_2) - f(x_1)] dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta(x_1)} [S_{ij}(x_1 - y) - S_{ij}(x_2 - y)] [f(y) - f(x_2)] dy \\
&\equiv B_1 + B_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

It is easy to see that  $B_1 = 0$ . For  $B_2$ , we estimate<sup>3</sup>

$$|S_{ij}(x_1 - y) - S_{ij}(x_2 - y)| \leq |\nabla S_{ij}(x_3 - y)| |x_1 - x_2| \leq C \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_3 - y|^{n+1}} \tag{42}$$

for some  $x_3$  lying on the line segment connecting  $x_1, x_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_2| &\leq C \|f\|_{C^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta(x_1)} \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_3 - y|^{n+1}} |y - x_2|^\alpha \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{C^\alpha} |x_1 - x_2| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta(x_1)} |x_1 - y|^{\alpha - (n+1)} dy \\
&= C \|f\|_{C^\alpha} |x_1 - x_2| |x_1 - x_2|^{\alpha - 1} \\
&= C \|f\|_{C^\alpha} |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where we have used the fact that  $|x_i - y|$  are all comparable ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) for  $y \notin B_\delta(x_1)$ .

b) We prove the stronger statement  $\partial_{x_i} u$  is Log-Lipschitz, that is

$$|\partial_{x_i} u(x_1) - \partial_{x_i} u(x_2)| \leq C \sup |f| |x_1 - x_2| \log(|x_1 - x_2|^{-1}). \tag{44}$$

It is easy to get

$$|\partial_{x_i} u(x_1) - \partial_{x_i} u(x_2)| \leq \sup_{\Omega} |f| \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{(x_1 - y)_i}{|x_1 - y|^n} - \frac{(x_2 - y)_i}{|x_2 - y|^n} \right| dy. \tag{45}$$

We extend  $f$  by 0 and break the integral to  $\int_{B_\delta(x_1)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta(x_1)}$  with  $\delta = 2|x_1 - x_2|$ . For the first term we obtain a bound  $C \sup_{\Omega} |f| |x_1 - x_2|$ , for the second we use

$$\left| \frac{(x_1 - y)_i}{|x_1 - y|^n} - \frac{(x_2 - y)_i}{|x_2 - y|^n} \right| \leq C \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|x_3 - y|^n} \tag{46}$$

with a uniform  $C$ . Now note that for  $R$  big enough,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta} = \int_{B_R \setminus B_\delta(x_1)} \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\delta/2}(x_3)}$ . The integration can be carried out explicitly and yields the bound

$$C |x_1 - x_2| (\log R - \log |x_1 - x_2|). \tag{47}$$

Thus ends the proof (the details are left as exercise).

c) This part is the same as b). Omitted. □

**Remark 8.** The techniques involved in the above proof is standard in the theory of singular integrals and are applied extensively in equations arising from fluid mechanics, mathematical biology, etc.

**Remark 9.** One may notice that when  $f \in L^\infty$ , one cannot reach  $\partial_{x_i} u \in \text{Lip}$  (that is  $\partial_{x_i x_j} u \in L^\infty$ ). The reason is that the operator  $\partial_{x_i x_j} (-\Delta)^{-1}$  does not map  $L^\infty$  into  $L^\infty$ . Details can be found in any textbook in real harmonic analysis.

---

3. Note that the intermediate value theorem gives  $x_3$  depending on  $y$ . But when we are working outside  $B_\delta(x_1)$ ,  $|\xi - y|$  are all comparable for any  $\xi$  between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

When  $f$  does not have compact support, we cannot obtain uniform bounds for  $u$  over the whole  $\Omega$ , but we can obtain estimates on any smaller set  $\Omega_0 \subset \subset \Omega$ .<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and bounded, and  $\Omega_0 \Subset \Omega$ . Let  $u$  solve  $\Delta u = f$  in  $\Omega$ .*

a) *If  $f \in C^0(\Omega)$ , then  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and*

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega_0)} \leq c (\|f\|_{C^0(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \quad (48)$$

b) *If  $f \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$ , and*

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_0)} \leq c (\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \quad (49)$$

Here

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} u^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (50)$$

**Proof.** We just give an outline of the proof here. Set  $\eta$  be a cut-off function and consider  $\phi = \eta u$ . We have

$$\Delta \phi = F \equiv \eta f + 2 \nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta + u \Delta \eta \quad (51)$$

where the RHS has compact support.

This gives

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty} \leq c(\eta) \|f\|_{L^\infty} + C(\eta) \|u\|_{C^1} \quad (52)$$

and

$$\|F\|_{C^\alpha} \leq c(\eta) \|f\|_{C^\alpha} + C(\eta) \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}. \quad (53)$$

Next we show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $N(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{C^1} \leq N(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \quad (54)$$

and

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq N(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon \|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}. \quad (55)$$

This is shown via reductio ad absurdum using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem.

Thus we obtain

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega_0)} \leq C(\eta) [\varepsilon \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}] + c(\eta) N(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \quad (56)$$

(and a similar estimate for  $\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_0)}$ ) with the problem that the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  norm on the LHS is on  $\Omega_0$  while that on the RHS is on a bigger set  $\Omega$  and therefore cannot be absorbed into the LHS.

This difficulty is overcome by the following technical trick. Consider the case when  $\Omega_0 = B_r$ ,  $\Omega = B_{R_2}$ , we have

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_r)} \leq C(\eta) [\varepsilon \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_{R_2})} + \|u\|_{L^2(B_{R_2})}] + c(\eta) N(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{C^0(B_{R_2})}. \quad (57)$$

Now set<sup>5</sup>

$$A \equiv \sup_{0 \leq r \leq R} (R-r)^3 \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_r)}. \quad (58)$$

for some  $R > R_2$ .

Now choose  $R_1$  such that

$$A_1 \leq 2(R-R_1)^3 \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_{R_1})}, \quad (59)$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq 2(R-R_1)^3 \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_{R_1})} \\ &\leq 2(R-R_1)^3 [\varepsilon C(\eta) \|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_{R_2})} + C(\eta) \|u\|_{L^2(B_{R_2})}] \\ &\quad + 2(R-R_1)^3 c(\eta) N(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{C^0(B_{R_2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

4. Meaning: The closure  $\overline{\Omega_0}$  is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

5. Here it seems we need to assume the finiteness of this quantity.

Now observe that  $C(\eta) \sim \frac{1}{(R_2 - R_1)^2}$  and  $c(\eta) \sim 1$ , we have, using the definition of  $A_1$ ,

$$A_1 \leq C \frac{(R - R_1)^3}{(R - R_2)^3} \frac{\varepsilon}{(R_1 - R_2)^2} A_1 + C' N(\varepsilon) \frac{(R - R_1)^3}{(R_2 - R_1)^2} \|u\|_{L^2(B_{R_2})} + C'' (R - R_1)^3 \|f\|_{C^0(B_{R_2})}. \quad (61)$$

Now for fixed  $R, R_1$ , one can choose  $R_2$  and  $\varepsilon$  appropriately so that the coefficient of  $A_1$  on the RHS is less than 1. Thus we obtain the desired estimate for

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_r)} \leq \frac{1}{(R - r)^3} A_1. \quad (62)$$

Now we can cover  $\Omega_0$  by balls  $B_r$ , and set  $R = r + d$  where  $d = \text{dist}(\Omega_0, \partial\Omega)$ , and finish the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.** *If  $u$  solves  $\Delta u = f$  with  $f \in C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $u \in C^{k+2,\alpha}(\Omega_0)$  for any  $\Omega_0 \subset \subset \Omega$  and*

$$\|u\|_{C^{k+2,\alpha}(\Omega_0)} \leq c (\|f\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \quad (63)$$

*In particular,  $u \in C^\infty$  when  $f \in C^\infty$ .*

### 3. Regularity and existence: method of continuity.

We briefly discuss why the regularity estimates matter. Consider two bounded linear operators  $L, L'$  from Banach spaces  $X$  to  $Y$ .<sup>6</sup> Assume that we know that  $L$  is surjective and wish to establish that  $L'$  is also surjective, in other words the solvability of

$$L'x = y. \quad (64)$$

for arbitrary  $y \in Y$ .

Define a family of operators

$$L_t = (1 - t)L + tL'. \quad (65)$$

Thus  $L_0 = L$  and  $L_1 = L'$ .

**Assumption.** We have uniform (that is, independent of  $t$ ) a priori (that is, assuming the existence of solutions) estimates

$$\|u\|_X \leq c \|L_t u\|_Y. \quad (66)$$

Under this assumption, one has

**Theorem 12.** *If  $L_0$  is surjective, so is  $L_1$ .*

**Proof.** The idea is to show that there is  $\varepsilon$  independent of  $t$ , such that if  $L_\tau$  is surjective, so is  $L_t$  for all  $t \in (\tau, \tau + \varepsilon)$ .

To see this, note that the estimate  $\|u\|_X \leq c \|L_t u\|_Y$  implies that all  $L_t$ 's are injective. Thus the inverse  $L_\tau^{-1}$  is well-defined and bounded.

We write

$$L_t u = f \quad (67)$$

into

$$L_\tau u = f + (L_\tau - L_t)u = f + (t - \tau)(L_0 - L_1)u. \quad (68)$$

This gives

$$u = L_\tau^{-1} f + (t - \tau) L_\tau^{-1} (L_0 - L_1)u. \quad (69)$$

Therefore all we need to do is to show the existence of a fixed point of the mapping (from  $X$  to  $X$ ):

$$u \mapsto Tu \equiv L_\tau^{-1} f + (t - \tau) L_\tau^{-1} (L_0 - L_1)u. \quad (70)$$

It is clear that if we take  $t - \tau$  small enough, we can find  $0 < r < 1$ , such that

$$\|Tu - Tv\|_X \leq r \|u - v\|_X. \quad (71)$$

---

6. For example, in the case  $L = \sum_{i,j} a^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  with  $a^{ij} \in C^\alpha$ ,  $X = C^{2,\alpha}$ ,  $Y = C^\alpha$ .

Now set  $v_0=0$  and  $v_n=Tv_{n-1}$ , we see that  $\{v_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  and therefore has a limit  $v$  which is a fixed point.  $\square$

An application of this theorem is to show the existence of the solutions to

$$L'u = \sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_i b^i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x) u(x) = f \quad (72)$$

for Hölder continuous  $a^{ij}, b^i, c$  starting from the existence of the Poisson equation which can be shown by explicitly construct the solutions.