MATH 436 FALL 2012 HOMEWORK 3

Due Oct. 25 in Class

Note. All problem numbers refer to "Updated" version of lecture note.

• Ex. 2.28. d), e). Solve

$$u_t + u_x^2 + u = 0, \quad u(x,0) = x$$
 (1)

and

$$u_t + u_x^2 = 0, \quad u(x,0) = -x^2.$$
 (2)

Show that the solution of the latter breaks down when t = 1/4.

• Ex. 2.29. (Snell's law) Consider the eiconal equation

$$u_x^2 + u_y^2 = n(x, y)^2, \qquad n(x, y) = \begin{cases} n_1 & y < 0\\ n_2 & y > 0 \end{cases}.$$
(3)

Here $n_2 > n_1$ are constants. Let the initial condition be $u(x, 0) = n_1 x \cos \theta$ with $\theta \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$.

- a) Solve the equation.
- b) By considering the directions ∇u , confirm Snell's law.¹
- Ex. 2.32. a) Reduce the following equation

$$u_{xx} + 4 u_{xy} + 3 u_{yy} + 3 u_x - u_y + u = 0 \tag{4}$$

to canonical form. Then use further transformation

$$u(\xi,\eta) = \exp\left(\alpha\,\xi + \beta\,\eta\right)v(\xi,\eta) \tag{5}$$

and choose the constants α, β to eliminate the first derivative terms.

• Ex. 2.33. Consider the general linear 2nd order equation in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\sum_{i,j}^{n} a_{ij} u_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i u_{x_i} + c u + d = 0.$$
(6)

with constant coefficients. Prove that there is a change of variables which reduce the equation to canonical form.

• Ex. 2.40. Let $\varphi(x, y) = \text{constant}$ be a family of characteristics for

$$a(x, y) u_x + b(x, y) u_y = c(x, y) u + d(x, y).$$
(7)

Let $\xi = \varphi(x, y)$ and $\eta = \psi(x, y)$ be perpendicular to it. Show that the equation reduces to

$$(a\psi_x + b\psi_y)u_\eta = cu + d. \tag{8}$$

^{1.} Check wiki if you forget what it is.

Now assume u is continuous across $\xi = 0$ while u_{ξ} has a jump there, then the jump $[u_{\xi}]$ satisfies

$$(a\psi_x + b\psi_y)[u_\xi]_\eta = c[u_\xi]. \tag{9}$$

Thus the propagation of jumps are determined by a equation.

• Ex. 2.42. Consider the first order quasi-linear equation:

$$a(x, y, u) u_x + b(x, y, u) u_y = c(x, y, u).$$
(10)

Assume that u is smooth everywhere except that along $\Phi = 0$ there is a "jump" in its 2nd order derivatives. Derive the equation for Φ . Then consider the case when the "jump" is in its *k*th derivative and all (k-1)th derivatives are continuous across the curve.