

## Further study of Riemann integrability

### Uniform partition

In some situations it is beneficial to restrict ourselves to a special class of simple functions.

**Definition 1. (Uniform partition)** A uniform partition of size  $h > 0$ , denoted  $P_h$ , is the collection of the following compact intervals:

$$P_h := \{[i_1 h, (i_1 + 1) h] \times \cdots \times [i_N h, (i_N + 1) h] \mid (i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}. \quad (1)$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be Jordan measurable. For every  $h > 0$  denote by  $n_1(h)$  the number of intervals in  $P_h$  contained in  $A^\circ$ , and  $n_2(h)$  the number of intervals in  $P_h$  with non-empty intersection with  $A$ . Then

$$\mu(A) = \inf_{h>0} [n_2(h) h^N] = \sup_{h>0} [n_1(h) h^N] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [n_2(h) h^N] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [n_1(h) h^N]. \quad (2)$$

**Proof.** Take any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $A$  is Jordan measurable, there are simple graphs  $B, C$  such that

$$B \subseteq A^\circ, \bar{A} \subseteq C, \quad \mu(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \mu(B) \leq \mu(A) \leq \mu(C) \leq \mu(A) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (3)$$

Now consider  $B_h := \cup_{I \in P_h, I \subseteq B} I$ . Let  $m_1(h)$  denote the number of intervals in  $B_h$ . Then clearly  $m_1(h) \leq n_1(h)$ . Furthermore we have

$$m_1(h) h^N = \mu(B_h) \geq \mu(B) - h L \quad (4)$$

where  $L$  is the total length of the boundary of  $B$  (note that as  $B$  is a simple graph we do not need any calculus to define  $L$ ). Taking  $h < \frac{\varepsilon}{2L}$  we see that

$$\mu(A) \geq n_1(h) h^N \geq m_1(h) h^N \geq \mu(A) - \varepsilon. \quad (5)$$

Similarly we have, when  $h < h_0$  for some  $h_0$  determined by  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\mu(A) \leq n_2(h) h^N \leq \mu(A) + \varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

Thus by definition (2) is true. □

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f$  be a simple function and let  $A$  be Jordan measurable. Let

$$W_{h,\text{in}} := \{g \leq f \mid g \text{ is constant on } I^\circ \text{ for every } I \in P_h\}; \quad (7)$$

$$W_{h,\text{out}} := \{h \geq f \mid h \text{ is constant on } I^\circ \text{ for every } I \in P_h\}. \quad (8)$$

Then

$$\int_A f(x) dx = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[ \sup_{g \in W_{h,\text{in}}} \int_A g(x) dx \right] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[ \inf_{h \in W_{h,\text{out}}} \int_A h(x) dx \right]. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** Since  $f$  is an integrable simple function,  $f^+ := \max\{f, 0\}$  and  $f^- := \min\{f, 0\}$  are both integrable simple functions. Therefore we only need to prove the above for non-negative simple functions. By definition of simple functions

$$f^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i 1_{A_i}(x) \quad (10)$$

where  $c_i \geq 0$ . So it suffices to prove the theorem for  $1_{A_i}(x)$ , which is done in Theorem 2.  $\square$

### Riemann integrability using uniform partition

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ . Define

$$W_{h,\text{in}}(f) := \{g \leq f \mid g \text{ is constant on } I^\circ \text{ for every } I \in P_h\}; \quad (11)$$

$$W_{h,\text{out}}(f) := \{h \geq f \mid h \text{ is constant on } I^\circ \text{ for every } I \in P_h\}. \quad (12)$$

Then  $f$  is integrable if and only if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[ \sup_{g \in W_{h,\text{in}}} \int_A g(x) \, dx \right] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[ \inf_{h \in W_{h,\text{out}}} \int_A h(x) \, dx \right] \quad (13)$$

and in this case the common value is  $\int_A f(x) \, dx$ .

**Proof.** First clearly all functions in  $W_{h,\text{in}}(f)$  and  $W_{h,\text{out}}(f)$  are simple functions. Therefore the equality of the two limits indicates the integrability of  $f$  by definition.

On the other hand, if  $f$  is integrable, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are simple functions  $g, h$  such that

$$g \leq f \leq h, \quad \int_A f(x) \, dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \int_A g(x) \, dx \leq \int_A f(x) \, dx \leq \int_A h(x) \, dx \leq \int_A f(x) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (14)$$

By Theorem 3 there is  $h_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < h < h_0$ ,

$$\int_A f(x) \, dx - \varepsilon \leq \int_A g(x) \, dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \sup_{u \in W_{h,\text{in}}(g)} \int_A u(x) \, dx \quad (15)$$

and

$$\inf_{v \in W_{h,\text{out}}(h)} \int_A v(x) \, dx \leq \int_A h(x) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \int_A f(x) \, dx + \varepsilon. \quad (16)$$

Now note that

$$W_{h,\text{in}}(g) \subseteq W_{h,\text{in}}(f) \implies \sup_{u \in W_{h,\text{in}}(g)} \int_A u(x) \, dx \leq \sup_{u \in W_{h,\text{in}}(f)} \int_A u(x) \, dx \quad (17)$$

similarly

$$\inf_{v \in W_{h,\text{out}}(h)} \int_A v(x) \, dx \geq \inf_{v \in W_{h,\text{out}}(f)} \int_A v(x) \, dx. \quad (18)$$

On the other hand we have

$$\sup_{u \in W_{h,\text{in}}(f)} \int_A u(x) \, dx \leq \int_A f(x) \, dx \leq \inf_{v \in W_{h,\text{out}}(f)} \int_A v(x) \, dx. \quad (19)$$

Putting the above together we have for all  $0 < h < h_0(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\int_A f(x) dx - \varepsilon \leq \sup_{u \in W_{h,\text{in}}(f)} \int_A u(x) dx \leq \int_A f(x) dx \quad (20)$$

and

$$\int_A f(x) dx \leq \inf_{v \in W_{h,\text{out}}(f)} \int_A v(x) dx \leq \int_A f(x) dx + \varepsilon. \quad (21)$$

By definition this means both limits

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[ \sup_{g \in W_{h,\text{in}}} \int_A g(x) dx \right], \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[ \inf_{h \in W_{h,\text{in}}} \int_A h(x) dx \right] \quad (22)$$

exist and both equal  $\int_A f(x) dx$ . □

**Exercise 1.** Fill in the details of the above proof.

### Regular domain

In practice it is often advantageous to make the integration domain  $E$  “regular”, such as interval or ball. The following theorem discusses this possibility.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ . If

- i.  $E_1$  is Jordan measurable;
- ii.  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $E_1$ .

Then the following function:

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} f(\mathbf{x}) & \mathbf{x} \in E_1 \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} \notin E_1 \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

is Riemann integrable on  $E_2$  with

$$\int_{E_2} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{E_1} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (24)$$

**Proof.** Take any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is integrable on  $E_1$  there are simple functions  $g \geq f \geq h$  such that

$$\int_{E_1} g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{E_1} h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} < \varepsilon. \quad (25)$$

Now we define

$$\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} g(\mathbf{x}) & \mathbf{x} \in E_1 \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} \notin E_1 \end{cases}, \quad \tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} h(\mathbf{x}) & \mathbf{x} \in E_1 \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} \notin E_1 \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

Clearly  $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}$  are still simple functions and furthermore satisfy  $\tilde{g} \geq \tilde{f} \geq \tilde{h}$ . But

$$\int_{E_2} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{E_1} g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \int_{E_2} \tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{E_1} h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (27)$$

Consequently

$$\int_{E_1} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{E_1} \tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} < \varepsilon. \quad (28)$$

This gives the integrability of  $\tilde{f}$  on  $E_2$  as well as (24). □

**Exercise 2.** If  $\tilde{f}$  is integrable on  $E_2$ , can we conclude  $f$  is integrable on  $E_1$ ?

**Remark 6.** Thanks to the above theorem, when calculating higher dimensional integrals, we can always take the domain to be a compact interval.