

Definition of Riemann Integration

Definition 1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be bounded. Denote

$$W_{\text{upper}}(f) := \{g \geq f, g \text{ is a simple function}\}; \quad W_{\text{lower}}(f) := \{h \leq f, h \text{ is a simple function}\}. \quad (1)$$

Then define the upper and lower integrals of f on E as:

$$U(f, E) := \inf_{g \in W_{\text{upper}}} \int_E g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}; \quad L(f, E) := \sup_{h \in W_{\text{lower}}} \int_E h(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2)$$

We say $f(x)$ is Riemann integrable on the set E if and only if $U(f, E) = L(f, E)$ is finite. We denote this common value by

$$\int_E f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (3)$$

Exercise 1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be bounded. Then $U(f, E) \geq L(f, E)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable on E , then it is bounded on E . That is there is $M > 0$ such that $\forall \mathbf{x} \in E, |f(\mathbf{x})| \leq M$.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then either f is not bounded above or not bounded below. Wlog assume f is not bounded above.

Take any $g \in W_{\text{upper}}(f)$. g is a simple function so

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i 1_{A_i}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (4)$$

It is clear that

$$g(\mathbf{x}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i| < \infty \quad (5)$$

is bounded above. So $W_{\text{upper}}(f)$ is empty and $U(f, E)$ is not finite. □

Theorem 3. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be bounded. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be such that $\mu(E) = 0$. Then f is integrable on E with $\int_E f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0$.

Proof. As f is bounded, there is $M > 0$ such that $|f(\mathbf{x})| \leq M$, that is $-M \leq f(\mathbf{x}) \leq M$. Now take simple functions

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = M, \quad h(\mathbf{x}) = -M. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that $g \in W_{\text{upper}}(f)$ and $h \in W_{\text{lower}}(f)$. Furthermore we have

$$\int_E g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_E h(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq L(f, E) \leq U(f, E) \leq 0. \quad (8)$$

Consequently $U(f, E) = L(f, E) = 0$. □

Theorem 4. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Then f is integrable on E if and only if there are simple functions $g_n \geq f$ and $h_n \leq f$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E (g_n - h_n) d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Proof.

- If. We have

$$\int_E (g_n(\mathbf{x}) - h_n(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} \geq U(f, E) - L(f, E) \quad (10)$$

and the conclusion follows.

- Only if. By definitions of sup and inf, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $g_n \geq f$ and $h_n \leq f$, simple functions, such that

$$\int_E g_n(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \leq U(f, E) + \frac{1}{n}, \quad \int_E h_n(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \geq L(f, E) - \frac{1}{n}. \quad (11)$$

As f is integrable

$$U(f, E) = L(f, E), \quad (12)$$

we have

$$0 \leq \int_E (g_n - h_n) d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{2}{n}. \quad (13)$$

Application of the Squeeze Theorem gives the desired result. □

The above theorem can be written in a slightly different way.

Theorem 5. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Then f is integrable on E if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are simple functions $g \geq f \geq h$ such that

$$\int_E [g(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{x})] d\mathbf{x} < \varepsilon. \quad (14)$$

Example 6. Prove that $f(x, y) = \sin(x + y)$ is integrable on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$g_n(x, y) := \begin{cases} \max_{\frac{i}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{i+1}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \leq y \leq \frac{j+1}{n}} \sin(x + y) & \frac{i}{n} < x < \frac{i+1}{n}, \frac{j}{n} < y < \frac{j+1}{n}, i, j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \\ 1 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

$$h_n(x, y) := \begin{cases} \min_{\frac{i}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{i+1}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \leq y \leq \frac{j+1}{n}} \sin(x + y) & \frac{i}{n} < x < \frac{i+1}{n}, \frac{j}{n} < y < \frac{j+1}{n}, i, j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \\ -1 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Then h_n, g_n are simple functions and $h_n \leq f \leq g_n$.

Now for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \left[\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}\right] \times \left[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right]$, we have

$$|\sin(x_1 + y_1) - \sin(x_2 + y_2)| = |\cos(\xi)| |(x_1 + y_1) - (x_2 + y_2)| \leq \frac{2}{n}. \quad (17)$$

Therefore for all $(x, y) \in \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right)$,

$$g_n(x, y) - h_n(x, y) \leq \frac{2}{n}. \quad (18)$$

Consequently

$$\int_E (g_n - h_n) \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \sum_{i=1, j=1}^n \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (19)$$

Integrability now follows. □

Exercise 2. Prove that $\sin(x + y)$ is integrable on $\Omega := \{(x, y) \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$.

Exercise 3. Consider calculating $\int_{\Omega} \sin(x + y) \, d(x, y)$ as follows: For any $h > 0$, define

$$I(h) := \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}; (ih, jh) \in \Omega} \sin(ih + jh) h^2. \quad (20)$$

For what h can we be sure that

$$\left| I(h) - \int_{\Omega} \sin(x + y) \, d(x, y) \right| < 10^{-3}? \quad (21)$$

Exercise 4. Let $I := [a, b] \times [c, d]$. Let $f(x): [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g(x): [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $F(x, y) := f(x)g(y)$. Prove that $F(x, y)$ is integrable on I if and only if f, g are integrable on $[a, b], [c, d]$ respectively. Furthermore we have

$$\int_I F(x, y) \, d(x, y) = \left[\int_a^b f(x) \, dx \right] \left[\int_c^d g(x) \, dx \right]. \quad (22)$$

Similar to simple functions, we have the following properties.

Theorem 7. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$. Let f, g be Riemann integrable on E . Then

a) For every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, cf is Riemann integrable on E , and

$$\int_E cf(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = c \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (23)$$

b) $f \pm g$ is Riemann integrable on E , with

$$\int_E (f \pm g)(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \pm \int_E g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (24)$$

c) If $f \geq g$ for all $x \in E$, then

$$\int_E f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \geq \int_E g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (25)$$

Proof. We prove b) and leave a), c) for exercises.

As f, g are integrable on A , we can find simple functions $u_n \geq f \geq v_n$, $w_n \geq g \geq h_n$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A (u_n(x) - v_n(x)) \, dx = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A (w_n(x) - h_n(x)) \, dx = 0. \quad (26)$$

Now clearly

$$u_n + w_n \geq f + g \geq v_n + h_n \quad (27)$$

and

$$\int_A [(u_n + w_n) - (v_n + h_n)] \, dx = \int_A (u_n - v_n) \, dx + \int_A (w_n - h_n) \, dx \quad (28)$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Theorem 8. *Let f be integrable on E_1 and also on E_2 . Then f is integrable on $E_1 \cap E_2, E_1 \cup E_2, E_1 - E_2$. Furthermore*

$$\int_{E_1 \cup E_2} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{E_1} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{E_2} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{E_1 \cap E_2} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (29)$$

In particular when $\mu(E_1 \cap E_2) = 0$ we have

$$\int_{E_1 \cup E_2} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{E_1} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{E_2} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (30)$$

Proof. Left as exercises. \square

Theorem 9. (MVT) *Let f, g be integrable on E . Denote*

$$M := \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in E} f(\mathbf{x}), \quad m := \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in E} f(\mathbf{x}). \quad (31)$$

Assume $g \geq 0$. Then there is $c \in [m, M]$ such that

$$\int_E f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = c \int_E g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (32)$$

Proof. Exercise. \square

Remark 10. If we further assume f is continuous on \bar{E} and \bar{E} is connected (doesn't need to be path connected), we can take $c = f(\xi)$ for some $\xi \in \bar{E}$.