

## Application: Constrained Optimization

### Single equality constraint

We consider the following problem:

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to } g(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $f, g: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ .

Recall that the necessary condition involving first order derivatives is the following Lagrange multiplier theory. Define the Lagrange function:

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) := f(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda g(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2)$$

If  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a local minimizer for the equality constrained problem (1), then there is  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}_0, \lambda_0)$  is a critical point of  $L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$ .

**Exercise 1.** Prove that  $(\mathbf{x}_0, \lambda_0)$  is neither a local minimizer nor a local maximizer of  $L$ .

Clearly, if  $(\mathbf{x}_0, \lambda_0)$  is a critical point of  $L$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_0$  may be neither local minimizer nor local maximizer of  $f$ .

**Exercise 2.** Give an example illustrating the above point.

Now we try to derive second order conditions that are sufficient or necessary for  $\mathbf{x}_0$  to be a local minimizer.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the constrained minimization problem (1). Let  $(\mathbf{x}_0, \lambda_0)$  be a critical point of  $L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$ . Further assume  $(\text{grad } g)(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a local minimizer if the following holds:  $G^T H_L G$  is positive definite at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , where

$$H_L = \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^N, \quad G = \frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, X_N)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})} \quad (3)$$

with  $X_N$  the implicit function determined through  $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  (assuming  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \neq 0$ ).

**Proof.** Since  $(\text{grad } g)(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , by Implicit Function Theorem we can represent on  $x_i$  as functions of other  $x_j$ 's. Wlog assume  $x_N = X_N(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$ .

Now define

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) := f(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, X_N(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})). \quad (4)$$

Observe that  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{01} \\ \vdots \\ x_{0N} \end{pmatrix}$  is a local minimizer for (1) if and only if  $\begin{pmatrix} x_{01} \\ \vdots \\ x_{0N-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is a local minimizer of  $F$  without any constraint.

The Lagrange multiplier theory dictates that  $\begin{pmatrix} x_{01} \\ \vdots \\ x_{0N-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is a critical point of  $F$ . Also recall that from

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N} \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_i}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad (5)$$

at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , we have

$$\lambda_0 = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}(\mathbf{x}_0) \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}(\mathbf{x}_0) \right). \quad (6)$$

We calculate the second derivatives of  $F$ .

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, X_N) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, X_N) \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}). \quad (7)$$

Taking derivative again

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_N} \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_j} \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_N} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_N^2} \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_j} \right] \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_i} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N} \frac{\partial^2 X_N}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now using  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_i} = 0 \implies \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_i} = -\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}\right)$  the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_N} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_N} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_N^2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N} \frac{\partial^2 X_N}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now differentiating  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_i} = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_N} \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_j} + \left[ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_j \partial x_N} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_N^2} \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_j} \right] \frac{\partial X_N}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \frac{\partial^2 X_N}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_N} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_j \partial x_N} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_N^2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \frac{\partial^2 X_N}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 X_N}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= -\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_N} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_j \partial x_N} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_N^2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Substituting into (9) we reach (denote  $\lambda := \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_N} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_N} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_N} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_j \partial x_N} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_N^2} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_N^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Recalling the definition of the Lagrange function, we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_N} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_j \partial x_N} + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}\right)^{-2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_N^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This leads to the following matrix relation

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \right)^{-2} G^T H_L G \quad (14)$$

where  $H_L = \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^N$ , and

$$G := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ -\left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \dots & -\left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{N-2}} & -\left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{N-1}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, X_N)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})}. \quad (15)$$

Thus ends the proof. □

**Remark 2.** Again, in fact  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a strict local minimizer.

**Remark 3.** The positive definiteness of  $G^T H_L G$  is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{v}^T H_L \mathbf{v} > 0 \quad (16)$$

for every  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  that is a tangent vector of the surface  $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ .

**Remark 4.** Note that the following is not sufficient for  $\mathbf{x}_0$  to be a local minimizer for the constrained optimization problem (1):

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{x}_0, \lambda_0) \text{ is a critical point for } L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda), \text{ and for every } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ tangent to } g(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \mathbf{v}^T H \mathbf{v} > 0 \\ &\text{where } H = \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 3.** Give an example justifying the above remark. (Hint: Consider  $g(x, y) = y - x^2$ ).

**Exercise 4.** Prove that if  $g$  is linear, then the claim

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{x}_0, \lambda_0) \text{ is a critical point for } L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda), \text{ and for every } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ tangent to } g(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \mathbf{v}^T H \mathbf{v} > 0 \text{ where} \\ &H = \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

is indeed true.

**Question 5.** Derive the theory for general equality constrained problem:

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (17)$$

**Question 6.** Prove the following result from [H. Hancock, *Theory of Maxima and Minima*, Dover, New York, 1960]: A matrix  $A$  satisfies  $\mathbf{v}^T A \mathbf{v} > 0 (\geq 0)$  for every  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfying  $G \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  if and only if all solutions to

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A - zI & G^T \\ G & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (18)$$

are positive (non-negative). Here  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ . Discuss how this result can be applied to checking optimality of critical points. Note that (18) is an algebraic equation in  $z$  of order  $N - M$ .

**Exercise 5.** (S. S. Rao, *Engineering Optimization: Theory and Practice*, 2009) Apply the above result to solve

$$\max f(x, y) = \pi x^2 y \quad \text{subject to } 2\pi x^2 + 2\pi xy = 24\pi. \quad (19)$$

(Solution: (2, 4).)

### Single inequality constraint and KKT conditions

Now we consider the problem

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to } g(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0. \quad (20)$$

Then if  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a local minimizer, we have to discuss two cases:

1.  $g(\mathbf{x}_0) > 0$  (the constraint is “not active”);
2.  $g(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$  (the constraint is “active”);

We discuss the two cases. The discussion in this section will not be fully rigorous.

- $g(\mathbf{x}_0) > 0$ . In this case there is  $r > 0$  such that  $B(\mathbf{x}_0, r) \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \mid g(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0\}$  and therefore the condition is the same as unconstrained minimization:

$\mathbf{x}_0$  is a local minimizer if

1.  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a critical point for  $f$ :  $(\text{grad } f)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{0}$ ;
2. The Hessian matrix of  $f$ ,  $\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}_0)\right)$  is positive definite.

On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a local minimizer, then

1.  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a critical point for  $f$ :  $(\text{grad } f)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{0}$ ;
2. The Hessian matrix of  $f$  is positive semi-definite.

- $g(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ . In this case the situation is more complicated. To obtain sufficient conditions, we realize that

1.  $\mathbf{x}_0$  must be a local minimizer for the equality constrained problem:

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to } g(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (21)$$

This can be guaranteed by requiring

- a. There is  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\text{grad } f)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \lambda_0 (\text{grad } g)(\mathbf{x}_0)$ ;
- b. For every  $\mathbf{v}$  tangent to  $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , that is for every  $\mathbf{v} \perp (\text{grad } g)(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , we have

$$\mathbf{v}^T \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \lambda_0 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^N \mathbf{v} > 0. \quad (22)$$

2. There is  $r > 0$  such that for all  $\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{x}_0, r) \cap \{\mathbf{x} \mid g(\mathbf{x}) > 0\}$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . This can be guaranteed by requiring

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} > 0 \quad (23)$$

for every  $\mathbf{v}$  “pointing into”  $\{\mathbf{x} \mid g(\mathbf{x}) > 0\}$ . Such  $\mathbf{v}$  can be characterized by

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot (\text{grad } g)(\mathbf{x}_0) > 0. \quad (24)$$

Recalling  $(\text{grad } f)(\mathbf{x}_0) = \lambda_0 (\text{grad } g)(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , we see that this is equivalent to  $\lambda_0 > 0$ .

**Exercise 6.** Prove that if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} > 0 \quad (25)$$

for every  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot (\text{grad } g)(\mathbf{x}_0) > 0. \quad (26)$$

then for all  $\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{x}_0, r) \cap \{\mathbf{x} \mid g(\mathbf{x}) > 0\}$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ .

One way to summarize the above is as follows.  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a local minimizer for

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to } g(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0. \quad (27)$$

if the following are satisfied: There exists  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

- i.  $(\mathbf{x}_0, \lambda_0)$  is a critical point of the Lagrange function  $L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) := f(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda g(\mathbf{x})$ ;
- ii.  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ ;
- iii.  $g(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq 0$ ;
- iv.  $\lambda_0 g(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ ;  $\lambda_0, g(\mathbf{x}_0)$  not both 0.
- v. The Hessian matrix of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is positive definite if  $\lambda_0 = 0$ ; The matrix  $\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)_{i,j=1}^N$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{v}^T \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \mathbf{v} > 0 \quad (28)$$

for all  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfying  $\mathbf{v} \cdot (\text{grad } g)(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ .

**Problem 1.** (S. S. Rao, *Engineering Optimization: Theory and Practice*, 2009) Solve

$$\max f(x, y) = 2x + y + 10 \quad \text{subject to } x + 2y^2 = 3. \quad (29)$$

Discuss the effect of changing the right hand side of the constraint to the optimum value of  $f$ .

### General KKT conditions

The analysis in the previous section can be readily generalized to the following general constrained optimization:

$$\min f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \quad (30)$$

where  $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^M$  and  $\mathbf{h}: \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^K$ . All functions are assumed to be having continuous second order derivatives.

**Remark 7.** Note that one can replace the  $K$  equality constraints  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  by  $2K$  inequality constraints  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}$ .

The following set of conditions are called KKT (Karush-Kuhn-Tucker) conditions.

- Sufficient conditions.  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is a local minimizer if there are  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^M$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^K$  such that

1. **(Feasibility)**  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{0}$ ;
2. **(Criticality)**  $\text{grad}_{\mathbf{x}}L(\mathbf{x}_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) = \mathbf{0}$  where

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) := f(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (31)$$

$$\text{and } \text{grad}_{\mathbf{x}}L := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_N} \end{pmatrix};$$

3.  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0 \geq \mathbf{0}$ ;
4. **(Strict complementarity)**  $\lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ; Furthermore for each  $i$ , exactly one of  $\lambda_i, g_i$  is 0.
5. **(Second order condition)** Let  $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$  be the set of “active” inequality constraints, that is  $i \in A \iff g_i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ . Then for every  $\mathbf{v}$  such that  $\forall i \in A, \mathbf{v}^T(\text{grad } g_i)(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{v}^T \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \mathbf{v} > 0. \quad (32)$$

- Necessary conditions. Change strictly complementarity to “complementarity”:  $\lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ; And change the  $> 0$  in (32) to  $\geq 0$ .

**Remark 8.** The (first order) KKT conditions take the form of solving a system of nonlinear equations. As a consequence one can invoke popular methods such as Newton’s method to find the critical points. This is the idea behind the so-called “Interior point revolution” in Optimization Theory which lies behind much progress in the past half century in linear and convex programming.

**Problem 2.** (S. S. Rao, *Engineering Optimization: Theory and Practice*, 2009) Consider

$$\max f(x, y) = (x - 1)^2 + y^2 \quad (33)$$

subject to

$$g_1(x, y) = x^3 - 2y \leq 0, \quad g_2(x, y) = x^3 + 2y \leq 0. \quad (34)$$

Determine whether the KKT conditions are satisfied at the maximizer.