## MATH 118 WINTER 2015 LECTURE 38 (MAR. 20, 2015)

• Convex and Concave Functions

DEFINITION 1. (CONVEX FUNCTIONS) A function  $f:[a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is convex if and only if

$$\forall x, y \in [a, b], \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \qquad f(\lambda \, x + (1 - \lambda) \, y) \leqslant \lambda \, f(x) + (1 - \lambda) \, f(y). \tag{1}$$

DEFINITION 2. (CONCAVE FUNCTIONS) A function  $f:[a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is concave if and only if

$$\forall x, y \in [a, b], \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \qquad f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y) \ge \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y). \tag{2}$$

**Exercise 1.** What kind of function is both convex and concave over an interval [a, b]?

**Exercise 2.** What kind of function satisfies  $\forall x, y \in [a, b], \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y)$ ?

PROPOSITION 3.  $f: [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is convex if and only if g(x) := -f(x) is concave.

**Proof.** We prove "only if" and leave "if" as exercise.

Let  $f:[a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be convex. Let  $x, y \in [a, b], \lambda \in [0, 1]$  be arbitrary. Then by definition of g and convexity of f we have

$$g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y) = -f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y)$$
  

$$\geq -[\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y)]$$
  

$$= \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda) g(y).$$
(3)

Thus ends the proof.

Exercise 3. Prove the "if" part.

• The role of convexity in optimization.

THEOREM 4. Let f(x) be convex on [a, b]. Then any local minimizer of the problem

 $\min f(x) \qquad subject \ to \ a \leqslant x \leqslant b \tag{4}$ 

is also global.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be a local minimizer of the problem. Assume that it is not global. Then there is  $x_1 \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(x_1) < f(x_0)$ . Wlog assume  $x_1 > x_0$ . We also assume that  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  and leave the cases  $x_0 = a, x_0 = b$  as exercises.

Let  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary. There is  $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta)$  such that  $x_0 + \delta_1 \in (x_0, x_1)$ . We find  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that  $x_0 + \delta_1 = \lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda) x_1$ . Moving  $x_0$  to the right we easily obtain  $\delta_1 = (1 - \lambda) (x_1 - x_0)$  and therefore

$$x_0 + \delta_1 = \lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda) x_1 \tag{5}$$

for  $\lambda = \frac{x_1 - x_0 - \delta_1}{x_1 - x_0} \in (0, 1)$ . Now by convexity of f we have

$$f(x_0 + \delta_1) \leq \lambda f(x_0) + (1 - \lambda) f(x_1) < \lambda f(x_0) + (1 - \lambda) f(x_0) = f(x_0),$$
(6)

a contradiction to the fact that  $x_0$  is a local minimizer.

**Exercise 4.** Write down the detailed proof for the case  $x_1 < x_0$ .

- Properties of convex functions.
  - 1. f is convex on  $[a, b] \iff \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in [a, b], \forall \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \ge 0, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 1,$  $f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n) \le \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \dots + \lambda_n f(x_n).$  (7)

**Proof.** We prove  $\implies$  and leave  $\Leftarrow$ , which is trivial, as an exercise.

We prove by induction. The base case n = 2 is exactly the definition of convexity. Now assume that (7) holds for n - 1.

Let  $x_1, ..., x_n \in [a, b]$  be arbitrary,  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n \ge 0$  be arbitrary but satisfying  $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n = 1$ .

If one of  $\lambda_i = 0$  then the situation reduces to the case n - 1. In the following we assume  $\lambda_i > 0$  for all *i*. Now by definition of convexity and the induction hypothesis we have

$$f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n) = f\left(\lambda_1 x_1 + (1 - \lambda_1) \frac{\lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n}{1 - \lambda_1}\right)$$
  

$$\leqslant \lambda_1 f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda_1) f\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} x_2 + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n}{1 - \lambda_1} x_n\right)$$
  

$$\leqslant \lambda_1 f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda_1) \left[\frac{\lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1} f(x_2) + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n}{1 - \lambda_1} f(x_n)\right]$$
  

$$= \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \dots + \lambda_n f(x_n).$$
(8)

There is one small gap in this proof which is left as exercise.

**Exercise 5.** Why is  $\frac{\lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n}{1 - \lambda_1} \in [a, b]$ ? 2. f is convex on  $[a, b] \iff \forall a \leq x < y < z \leq b, \ \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y} \ge \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \iff \forall a \leq x < y < z \leq b, \ \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{y - x} \ge \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}$ .

$$z-x$$
  $y-x$ 

**Proof.** We prove the second  $\implies$  and leave others as exercises.

Let  $a \leq x < y < z \leq b$ . We first find  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda) z$ . Subtracting x from both sides we have  $y - x = (1 - \lambda) (z - x) \Longrightarrow \lambda = \frac{z - y}{z - x}$ . By convexity of f we have

$$f(y) \leqslant \frac{z-y}{z-x} f(x) + \frac{y-x}{z-x} f(z)$$

$$\tag{9}$$

which simplifies to  $\frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \ge \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}$ .

Exercise 6. Prove the remaining relations.

3. f is convex on [a, b], then for every  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ ,  $\lim_{x \to x_0+} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$  and  $\lim_{x \to x_0-} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$  exist and are finite. In particular, f(x) is continuous on (a, b).

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  be arbitrary. Consider the function  $F: (x_0, b) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ 

$$F(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}.$$
(10)

From the above property we see that

i. F is increasing;

ii.  $F(x) \ge \frac{f(a) - f(x_0)}{a - x_0}$  for all  $x \in (x_0, b)$ .

Therefore  $\lim_{x\to x_0+} F(x)$  exists and is finite. The proof for the left limit is similar.  $\Box$ 

**Exercise 7.** Prove that f is continuous on (a, b).

**Remark 5.** Note that f does not need to be continuous on [a, b].

**Exercise 8.** Prove that  $f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & x \in (-1, 1) \\ 2 & x = \pm 1 \end{cases}$  is convex.

4. The following are simple consequences of Property 2:

THEOREM 6. Let f be differentiable on (a, b). Then f is convex on (a, b) if and only if f'(x) is increasing on (a, b).

Exercise 9. Prove Theorem 6.

THEOREM 7. Let f be twice ifferentiable on (a, b). Then f is convex on (a, b) if and only if  $f''(x) \ge 0$  on (a, b).

Exercise 10. Prove Theorem 7.

**Example 8.** Applying Theorem 7 it is trivial to prove that  $f(x) = -\ln x$  is convex on  $(0,\infty)$ . Now taking arbitrary  $r_1, ..., r_n > 0$  and setting  $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{n}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n, we have

$$-\ln\left(\frac{r_1+\cdots+r_n}{n}\right) \leqslant -\frac{1}{n}\left[\ln(r_1)+\cdots+\ln(r_n)\right]$$
$$= -\ln\left[(r_1\cdots r_n)^{1/n}\right].$$
(11)

Exercise 11. Prove that

$$(r_1 \cdots r_n)^{1/n} \leqslant \frac{r_1 + \cdots + r_n}{n}.$$
(12)

**Exercise 12.** Let a, b > 0 be arbitrary. Let p > 1 and  $q := \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Prove Young's inequality:

$$a \, b \leqslant \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}.\tag{13}$$

Then prove Hölder's inequality:  $\forall x_1, ..., x_n, y_1, ..., y_n > 0, p > 1, q = \frac{p}{p-1},$ 

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k y_k \leqslant \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k^q\right)^{1/q}.$$
(14)

 $(Hint:^1)$ 

1. First show that wlog we can assume  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^p = \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k^q = 1$ .