MATH 117 FALL 2014 LECTURE 32 (Oct. 31, 2014)

In today's lecture $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Some of the results can be generalized to the situation $a = -\infty$ or $b = \infty$, some cannot.

• Continuous invertible functions are monotone.

THEOREM 1. Let f be continuous on [a, b] and is one-to-one. Then f is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing.

Proof. It suffices to prove that, under the assumptions above,

- If f(a) < f(b), then f is strictly increasing;
- If f(a) > f(b), then f is strictly decreasing.

The proofs for the two cases are almost identical so we will only prove the first one. The proof is divided into two steps.

• Step 1. Let $x \in (a, b)$ be arbitrary. Then f(a) < f(x) < f(b).

Assume the contrary. Then there are two cases: f(x) < f(a) < f(b), f(a) < f(b) < f(x). Note that all inequalities are strict as f is one-to-one. We prove the first case and leave the second one as exercise.

Let $s \in (f(x), f(a))$. As f(a) < f(b), we see that there holds $s \in (f(x), f(b))$. Application of the Intermediate Value Theorem on [a, x] we see that there is $c_1 \in (a, x)$ such that $f(c_1) = s$. Application of IVT on [x, b] gives the existence of $c_2 \in (x, b)$ such that $f(c_2) = s$. As $c_1 < x < c_2$, $c_1 \neq c_2$. This is contradiction to the assumption that f is one-to-one.

• Step 2. Let a < x < y < b be arbitrary. From Step 1 we know that f(x) < f(b). Now repeat Step 1 for x, y, b (x as a, y as x, and b as b) we see that f(x) < f(y) < f(b).

Thus we have proved: For arbitrary $a \leq x < y \leq b$, f(x) < f(y). Thus f is strictly increasing. \Box

Exercise 1. Write detailed proof for the case f(a) < f(b) < f(x) in Step 1 of the above proof.

• Continuity of inverse functions

THEOREM 2. Let f be one-to-one on [a,b] and continuous. Then f is invertible on [a,b] with inverse function g defined on a closed interval [c,d]. Furthermore g is continuous, and g has the same monotonicity as f.

Proof. We first prove the existence of g, and then the monotonicity, and finally the continuity.

 \circ Existence of g.

All we need to prove is f([a, b]) is a closed interval. From Theorem 1 we know that f is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing. Wlog we assume it is strictly increasing. We claim f([a, b]) = [f(a), f(b)].

- $\begin{array}{ll} & f([a,b]) \subseteq [f(a),f(b)]. \text{ Let } x \in [a,b] \text{ be arbitrary. From Theorem 1 we see that} \\ & x \in [a,b] \Longrightarrow f(a) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant f(b) \Longrightarrow f(x) \in [f(a),f(b)]. \end{array}$
- [f(a), f(b)] ⊆ f([a, b]). Let y ∈ [f(a), f(b)]. By IVT there is x ∈ [a, b] such that f(x) = y so y ∈ f([a, b]).

In the following we denote f([a, b]) = [c, d].

• Monotonicity of g.

Assume f is strictly increasing (the case f is strictly decreasing is almost identical), we prove g is also strictly increasing. Let $c \leq y_1 < y_2 \leq d$. Assume $g(y_1) \geq g(y_2)$. Then $y_1 = f(g(y_1)) \geq f(g(y_2)) = y_2$. Contradiction. Therefore $g(y_1) < g(y_2)$.

 \circ Continuity of g.

Let $y_0 \in (c, d)$ be arbitrary. We will prove $\lim_{y \to y_0+} g(y) = g(y_0)$ and leave $\lim_{y \to y_0-} g(y) = g(y_0)$ as exercise.

As $y > y_0 \Longrightarrow g(y) > g(y_0)$ and g(y) is decreasing as y approaches y_0 from the right (some times written as $y \searrow y_0$), the limit $\lim_{y \to y_0+} g(y)$ exists. We denote it by L. Following Comparison Theorem $L \ge g(y_0)$.

Assume $L > g(y_0)$. Then we calculate, using the monotonicity and continuity of f, as well as the fact that g is the inverse function of f,

$$y_0 = f(g(y_0)) < f(L) = f\left(\lim_{y \to y_0+} g(y)\right) = \lim_{y \to y_0+} f(g(y)) = \lim_{y \to y_0+} y = y_0.$$
(1)

Contradiction. Therefore $L = g(y_0)$.

Exercise 2. Prove $\lim_{y \to y_0} g(y) = g(y_0)$.

Exercise 3. Prove continuity of g at y = c and y = d.

Example 3. $\ln x$, the inverse function of e^x , is strictly increasing and continuous on $(0, +\infty)$.

• Max and Min.

THEOREM 4. Let $f: [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then

a) There are $x_M, x_m \in [a, b]$ such that

$$\forall x \in [a, b], \qquad f(x_m) \leqslant f(x) \leqslant f(x_M). \tag{2}$$

We call x_M a "maximizer" of f over [a, b] and x_m a "minimizer" of f over [a, b].

b) $f([a,b]) = [f(x_m), f(x_M)]$. In particular f is bounded.

Proof. We prove the existence of x_M and leave the remaining of the proof as exercises. Denote $L := \sup_{[a,b]} f := \{y | y \in f([a,b])\}$. Then there are $x_n \in [a,b]$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = L$. Since $\{x_n\} \subset [a,b]$ it is a bounded sequence. Following Bolzano-Weierstrass there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ that converges to some $x_M \in \mathbb{R}$.

As $a \leq x_{n_k} \leq b$, by Comparison we have $a \leq x_M \leq b$ that is $x_M \in [a, b]$. Furthermore by continuity of f we have

$$f(x_M) = f\left(\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k}\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = L.$$
(3)

Thus ends the proof for existence of the maximizer.

Exercise 4. Prove the existence of x_m .

Exercise 5. Prove part b).