Fatou's Lemma, Galerkin Approximations and the Existence of Walrasian Equilibria in Infinite Dimensions

M. Ali Khan¹ Nobusumi Sagara²

¹Department of Economics, Johns Hopkins University

²Department of Economics, Hosei University

July 21, 2017

Positivity IX University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

Theorem (Schmeidler 1970)

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of integrable functions on a measure space T with values in \mathbb{R}^k_+ for which $\int f_n d\mu \to x \in \mathbb{R}^k_+$. Then there exists an integrable function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}^k_+$ such that:

(i) f(t) is a limit point of $\{f_n(t)\}$ a.e. $t \in T$.

(ii) $\int f d\mu \leq x$.

- When k = 1, the result is a form of Fatou's lemma.
 - ▷ It cannot be proved by a successive application of Fatou's lemma k times.
 - For the proof, Lyapunov's convexity theorem is effectively used for the nonatomic parts of the measure space.
- A failure of Lyapunov's convexity theorem in infinite dimensions → the need to strengthen the notion of nonatomicity.
- In infinite-dimensional vector spaces without order structures, the inequality must be changed into an inclusion form.

N. Sagara (Hosei Univ.)

Fatou, Galerkin & Walras

Fatou's lemma in Banach spaces without order structures

- Sequence of Bochner integrable functions
- Introduction of saturated measure spaces
- Necessity and sufficiency of saturation for the Fatou property
- Application to large economies: the existence of Walrasian equilibria with an infinite-dimensional commodity spaces
 - b the existence result in finite dimensions
 - Galerkin approximation of infinite-dimensional commodity spaces
 - Fatou's lemma in infinite dimensions

Saturated Measure Spaces (Keisler & Sun 2009)

- A measure space (T, Σ, μ) is essentially countably generated ∑ is generated by a countable number of subsets of T together with the null sets.
 - ▷ (T, Σ, μ) is essentially uncountably generated $\iff \Sigma$ it is not essentially countably generated.
 - ▷ $\Sigma_S = \{A \cap S \mid A \in \Sigma\}$: the σ -algebra restricted to $S \in \Sigma$.
 - ▷ $L_S^1(\mu)$: the space of μ -integrable functions on (S, Σ_S) whose element is a restriction of a function in $L^1(\mu)$ to S.
- A finite measure space (T, Σ, μ) is saturated ^{def} → L¹_S(μ) is nonseparable ∀S ∈ Σ with μ(S) > 0 ⇔ Σ_S is essentially uncountably generated ∀S ∈ Σ with μ(S) > 0.
 - Saturation involves a "rich" σ-algebra with an uncountable number of measurable sets, which is a strengthened notion of nonatomicity.
 - For every uncountable cardinal κ, the product spaces {0,1}^κ with the uniform measure and [0, 1]^κ with the Lebesgue measure are saturated probability spaces.
 - $\diamond \ \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}\text{, } [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}\text{: nonatomic probability spaces, but not saturated.}$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Theorem (Khan & Sagara 2013)

Let E be a separable Banach space. If (T, Σ, μ) is saturated, then for every μ -continuous vector measure $m : \Sigma \to E$, then its range $m(\Sigma)$ is w-compact and convex. Conversely, if every μ -continuous vector measure $m : \Sigma \to E$ has the w-compact convex range, then (T, Σ, μ) is saturated whenever E is infinite dimensional.

- Saturation is not only sufficient, but also necessary for Lyapunov's convexity theorem holds in separable Banach spaces.
- a complete characterization of saturation in terms of Lyapunov's convexity theorem.
- The result is further extended to sequentially complete, separable, locally convex spaces by Khan & Sagara (2015, 2016), Sagara (2017).
 - Dual spaces with w*-topology of a separable Banach space are covered.

Notations

- L¹(μ, E): the space of E-valued Bochner integrable functions on a finite measure space (T, Σ, μ).
 - ▷ A sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $L^1(\mu, E)$ is *well-dominated* $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ there is an integrably bounded, *w*-compact-valued multifunction $K : T \rightarrow E$ such that $f_n(t) \in K(t)$ a.e. $t \in T \forall n$.
- the weak upper limit of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *E*:

$$w\text{-Ls}\{x_n\} = \left\{ x \in E \left| \begin{array}{c} \exists \text{ a subsequence } \{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\} : \\ x = w\text{-}\lim_{i \to \infty} x_{n_i} \end{array} \right\}.$$

• Γ : $T \rightarrow E$: a multifunction (with nonempty values).

- ▷ $f: T \to E$ is a selector of $\Gamma \iff f(t) \in \Gamma(t)$ a.e. $t \in T$.
- $\triangleright \ S_{\Gamma}^{1}$: the set of Bochner integrable selectors of Γ .

 \circ *S*¹_Γ ≠ ∅ if *E* is separable, and Γ is measurable and integrably bounded.

• The Bochner integral of Γ:

$$\int \Gamma d\mu := \left\{ \int f d\mu \mid f \in \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{1} \right\}.$$

Definition

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in $L^1(\mu, E)$ with w-Ls $\{\int f_n d\mu\} \neq \emptyset$.

(i) $\{f_n\}$ satisfies the *weak upper closure property* if there exists $f \in L^1(\mu, E)$ such that:

(a)
$$f(t) \in \text{w-Ls}\{f_n(t)\}$$
 a.e. $t \in T$.

(b)
$$\int f d\mu \in w$$
-Ls $\left\{ \int f_n d\mu \right\}$.

(ii) $\{f_n\}$ satisfies the *Fatou property* if:

$$w\text{-Ls}\left\{\int f_n d\mu\right\}\subset\int w\text{-Ls}\{f_n\}d\mu.$$

Theorem (Khan & Sagara 2014)

Let (T, Σ, μ) be a nonatomic finite measure space and E be an infinitedimensional Banach space. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) (T, Σ, μ) has the saturation property.
- Every well-dominated sequence in L¹(μ, E) has the weak upper closure property.

(iii) Every well-dominated sequence in $L^1(\mu, E)$ has the Fatou property.

- For an exact Fatou's lemma to be true in Banach spaces, saturation is not only sufficient, but also necessary.
- The result can be formulated in dual spaces of a separable Banach spaces with Gelfand integrals.

Khan, M. A., N. Sagara and T. Suzuki. An exact Fatou lemma for Gelfand integrals: A characterization of the Fatou property, *Positivity* **20** (2016), 343–354.

Background on Large Economies

- large economies: a prototype of perfect competition with the continuum of agents modeled as a nonatomic finite measure space.
 - Aumann (1966): the existence of Walrasian equilibria in large economies without any convexity assumption on preferences in the setting of finite-dimensional commodity spaces.

• a failure of the Lyapunov convexity theorem in infinite dimensions.

- $\triangleright~$ nonconvexity of the aggregate demand multifunction $\Rightarrow~$ inapplicability of fixed point theorems.
- ▶ the inevitability of the convexity assumptions on preferences.
- a need to strengthen the notion of nonatomicity so that the Lyapunov convexity theorem holds in infinite-dimensional commodity spaces.
 - Rustichini & Yannelis (1991): the assumption "many more agents than commodities".
 - Podczeck (1997): a condition on the nonatomic disintegration of the measure space of agents.
 - ▷ Khan & Sagara (2016): relaxation and purification under saturation.

- Finite agent economies with commodity space L[∞] under convex preferences.
 - ▷ the first application of Galerkin approximations to GE.
- the idea of the procedure:
 - Take a net of finite dimensional vector subspaces of L[∞] directed by set inclusion and consider a net of truncated subeconomies in which a finite-dimensional vector subspace is a commodity space.
 - Each truncated subeconomy has equilibria by the classical finite-dimensional result of Arrow–Debreu.
 - Take the limit of the net of equilibria. Then the limit corresponds to a Walrasian equilibria in the original L[∞] economy.
- a natural approach to the existence of Walrasian equilibria also in large economies with a separable Banach space and L[∞] without convex preferences.
 - the combination of the Galerkin approximation with finitedimensional projections and Fatou's lemma in infinite dimensions.
 - an alternative technique to the existence result which employs fixed point theorems in infinite dimensions (cf. Khan & Yannelis 1991).

A Galerkin approximation scheme of a Banach space *E* is a sequence {*Vⁿ*}_{n∈ℕ} of finite-dimensional subspaces of *E* such that for every *x* ∈ *E*, there exists a sequence {*x_n*}_{n∈ℕ} with *x_n* ∈ *Vⁿ* for each *n* ∈ ℕ and *x_n* → *x*.

Theorem

Let *E* be a separable Banach space and $\{V^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Galerkin approximation scheme of *E* such that $V^1 \subset V^2 \subset \cdots$ and $\overline{\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V^n}^{\|\cdot\|} = E$. If P_n is a continuous projection of *E* onto V^n , then for every $x \in E$ the sequence $\{P_n x\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ contains a subsequence converging weakly to *x*.

イロト イヨト イヨト ・

Large Economies in a Banach Space

- (T, Σ, μ) : the set of agents.
 - a finite measure space.
- E: a commodity space.
 - an ordered Banach space.
- $X(t) \subset E$: a consumption set for agent $t \in T$.
- $\succeq(t) \subset X(t) \times X(t)$: a preference relation on X(t) for agent t.
 - \triangleright a complete, transitive binary relation on X(t).
- ω(t) ∈ X(t): an initial endowment of agent t.
 ω ∈ L¹(μ, E).
- $\mathcal{E} = \{(T, \Sigma, \mu), X, \succeq, \omega\}$: an economy.
- E*: a price space.
- A function $f \in L^1(\mu, E)$ is an *allocation* with free disposal for $\mathcal{E} \iff f(t) \in X(t)$ a.e. $t \in T$ and $\int f d\mu \leq \int \omega d\mu$.
- A price-allocation pair (*p*, *f*) is a Walrasian equilibrium with free disposal for *E* def def for a.e. *t* ∈ *T*: ⟨*p*, *f*(*t*)⟩ ≤ ⟨*p*, ω(*t*)⟩ and ⟨*p*, *x*⟩ > ⟨*p*, ω(*t*)⟩ whenever *x* ≻(*t*) *f*(*t*).

- (i) $X : T \rightarrow E_+$ is an integrably bounded multifunction with weakly compact, convex values.
- (ii) gph $X \in \Sigma \otimes \text{Borel}(E, w)$.
- (iii) $\forall t \in T \exists z(t) \in X(t): \omega(t) z(t) \in int E_+.$
- (iv) \succeq (*t*) is weakly closed in *X*(*t*) × *X*(*t*) \forall *t* \in *T*.
- (v) $\{(t, x, y) \in T \times E \times E \mid x \succeq (t) y\} \in \Sigma \otimes Borel(E, w) \otimes Borel(E, w).$
- (vi) If $x \in X(t)$ is a satiation point for $\succeq(t)$, then $x \ge \omega(t)$; if $x \in X(t)$ is not a satiation point for $\succeq(t)$, then $x \in \overline{\{y \in X(t) \mid y \succ(t) x\}}^w$.
 - Continuous preferences on the weakly compact consumption set have a satiation point.
 - No monotonicity assumption on preferences.
 - int *E*₊ ≠ Ø is an inevitable assumption to deal with infinite dimensionality in general equilibrium theory.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Theorem

Let (T, Σ, μ) be a saturated finite measure space and E be an ordered separable Banach space such that int $E_+ \neq \emptyset$. Then for every economy \mathcal{E} satisfying Assumption, there exists a Walrasian equilibrium (p, f) with free disposal for \mathcal{E} with $p \in E_+^* \setminus \{0\}$.

Auxiliary Theorem (Khan & Yannelis 1991)

Let (T, Σ, μ) be a nonatomic finite measure space. Suppose that the economy \mathcal{E}_k with a finite-dimensional commodity space \mathbb{R}^k satisfies the following conditions.

- (i) $X : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k_+$ is an integrably bounded multifunction with compact, convex values.
- (ii) gph $X \in \Sigma \otimes \text{Borel}(\mathbb{R}^k)$.
- (iii) $\forall t \in T \exists z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^k_+$: $\omega(t) z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^k_{++}$.
- (iv) \succeq (*t*) is closed in $\mathbb{R}^k_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k_+ \ \forall t \in T$.
- (v) $\{(t, x, y) \in T \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k \mid x \succeq (t) y\} \in \Sigma \otimes \text{Borel}(\mathbb{R}^k) \otimes \text{Borel}(\mathbb{R}^k).$

Then there exists a Walrasian equilibrium (p, f) with free disposal for \mathcal{E}_k with $p \in \mathbb{R}^k_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

• This is not covered by Aumann (1966) with monotone preferences.

- $\{V^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$: a Galerkin approximation scheme of *E* such that $V^1 \subset V^2 \subset \cdots$ with $\overline{\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}V^n}^{\|\cdot\|} = E$.
- P_n : a continuous projection of *E* onto V^n .
 - ▷ $V_+^n = V^n \cap E_+$ is a positive cone of V^n and $P_n : E \to V^n$ is a positive linear operator.
- Construct a sequence of economies with a finite-dimensional truncation as follows:
 - Xⁿ(t) := P_n(X(t)) ⊂ P_n(E₊) ⊂ Vⁿ₊: a consumption set of each agent restricted to the finite-dimensional commodity space Vⁿ.
 ≿_n(t): the restriction of the preference ≿(t) to Xⁿ(t), i.e., ≿_n(t) := ≿(t) ∩ (Xⁿ(t) × Xⁿ(t)).
 ω_n(t) = P_nω(t) ∈ X_n(t): the initial endowment with ω_n ∈ L¹(μ, Vⁿ).
 - ▷ $\mathcal{E}_n = \{(T, \Sigma, \mu), X_n, \succeq_n, \omega_n\}$: a finite-dimensional truncation of economy \mathcal{E} with commodity space V^n conformed with the Galerkin approximation scheme.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

- The finite-dimensional truncated economy \mathcal{E}_n of \mathcal{E} satisfies:
 - (i_n) $X^n : T \rightarrow V^n_+$ is an integrably bounded multifunction with compact, convex values.
 - (ii_n) gph $X^n \in \Sigma \otimes \text{Borel}(V^n)$.
 - (iii_n) $\forall t \in T \exists z_n(t) \in X^n(t): \omega_n(t) z_n(t) \in \text{int } V^n_+.$
 - (iv_n) $\succeq_n(t)$ is a closed subset of $V_+^n \times V_+^n \ \forall t \in T$.
 - $(\mathbf{v}_n) \ \{(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in T \times V^n \times V^n \mid \mathbf{x} \succeq (t) \mathbf{y}\} \in \Sigma \otimes \text{Borel}(V^n) \otimes \text{Borel}(V^n).$
- By Auxiliary Theorem, there is a Walrasian equilibrium
 (*q_n*, *f_n*) ∈ ((*Vⁿ*)^{*}₊ \ {0}) × *L*¹(μ, *Vⁿ*) with free disposal for *E_n* ∀*n* ∈ N.

Sketch of the Proof: (Step 2)

- By the Fatou's lemma, there exist $f, g \in L^1(\mu, E)$ such that: $f(t), g(t) \in X(t), \quad (f(t), g(t)) \in w$ -Ls $\{(f_n(t), \omega_n(t))\}$ a.e. $t \in T,$ $(\int f d\mu, \int g d\mu) \in w$ -Ls $\{(\int f_n d\mu, \int \omega_n d\mu)\}.$
 - ▷ Along a subsequence: $(\int f_n d\mu, \int \omega_n d\mu) \rightarrow (\int f d\mu, \int g d\mu)$ weakly and for a.e. $t \in T$: $\omega_n(t) \rightarrow g(t)$ weakly.
 - ▷ For a.e. $t \in T$: $\omega_n(t) = P_n \omega(t) \to \omega(t)$ weakly. $\therefore g(t) = \omega(t)$ a.e. $t \in T$ and $\int \omega_n d\mu \to \int \omega d\mu$ weakly.
 - ▷ Since $\int f_n d\mu \leq \int \omega_n d\mu \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, at the limit: $\int f d\mu \leq \int \omega d\mu$.
 - \triangleright f is an allocation with free disposal for \mathcal{E} .
- Since 0 ≠ q_n ∈ (Vⁿ)* ⊂ E*, by the Krein–Rutman theorem, q_n can be extended as a continuous positive linear functional to E.
 - ▷ Normalize equilibrium price for \mathcal{E}_n such that:

$$p_n = rac{q_n}{\|q_n\|} \in \Delta^* := \{p \in E^*_+ \mid \|p\| = 1\}.$$

- ▷ There is a subsequence such that $p_n \rightarrow p \in \Delta^*$ weakly^{*}.
- ▷ $(p, f) \in \Delta^* \times L^1(\mu, E)$ is a Walrasian equilibrium with free disposal for \mathcal{E} .

References

- [1] Aumann, R. J. Existence of competitive equilibria in markets with a continuum of traders, *Econometrica* **34** (1966), 1–17.
- [2] Bewley, T. F. Existence of equilibria in economies with infinitely many commodities, J. Econom. Theory 4 (1972), 514–540.
- [3] Keisler, H. J. and Y. N. Sun. Why saturated probability spaces are necessary, Adv. Math. 221 (2009), 1584–1607.
- [4] Khan, M. A. and M. K. Majumdar. Weak sequential convergence in L₁(μ, X) and an approximate version of Fatou's lemma, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **114** (1986), 569–573.
- [5] Khan, M. A. and N. Sagara. "Maharam-types and Lyapunov's theorem for vector measures on Banach spaces", *Illinois J. Math.* 57 (2013), 145–169.
- [6] Khan, M. A. and N. Sagara. Weak sequential convergence in L¹(μ, X) and an exact version of Fatou's lemma, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 412 (2014), 554–563.
- [7] Khan, M. A. and N. Sagara. "Maharam-types and Lyapunov's theorem for vector measures on locally convex spaces with control measures", J. Convex Anal. 22 (2015), 647–672.
- [8] Khan, M. A. and N. Sagara. "Maharam-types and Lyapunov's theorem for vector measures on locally convex spaces without control measures, *Pure Appl. Func. Anal.* 1 (2016), 47–62.
- [9] Khan, M. A. and N. Sagara. Relaxed large economies with infinite-dimensional commodity spaces: The existence of Walrasian equilibria, *J. Math. Econom.* 67 (2016), 95–107.
- [10] Khan, M. A. and N. C. Yannelis. Equilibria in markets with a continuum of agents and commodities, in: M. A. Khan and N. C. Yannelis (eds.), *Equilibrium Theory in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 233–248, 1991.

- [11] Lee, S. Competitive equilibrium with an atomless measure space of agents and infinite dimensional commodity spaces without convex and complete preferences, *Hitotsubashi J. Econom.* 54 (2013), 221–230.
- [12] Loeb, P.A. and Y.N. Sun. A general Fatou lemma, Adv. Math. 213 (2007), 741–762.
- [13] Podczeck, K. Markets with infinitely many commodities and a continuum of agents with nonconvex preferences, *Econom. Theory* 9 (1997), 385–426.
- [14] Podczeck, K. On the convexity and compactness of the integral of a Banach space valued correspondence, *J. Math. Econom.* **44** (2008), 836–852.
- [15] Rustichini, A. A counterexample and an exact version of Fatou's lemma in infinite dimensional spaces, Arch. Math. 52 (1989), 357–362.
- [16] Rustichini, A. and N. C. Yannelis. What is perfect competition?, in: M. A. Khan and N. C. Yannelis (eds.), *Equilibrium Theory in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 249–265, 1991.
- [17] Sagara, N. Relaxation and purification for nonconvex variational problems in dual Banach spaces: The minimization principle in saturated measure spaces, forthcoming in *SIAM J. Control Optim.*
- [18] Schmeidler, D. Fatou's lemma in several dimensions, Proc. Amer. Soc. 24 (1970), 300–306.
- [19] Sun, Y. N. Integration of correspondences on Loeb spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349 (1997), 129–153.
- [20] Sun, Y. N., N. C. Yannelis. Saturation and the integration of Banach valued correspondences, J. Math. Econom. 44 (2008), 861–865.
- [21] Yannelis, N. C. Fatou's lemma in infinite-dimensional spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1988), 303–310.