

# REAL PARTITIONS OF MEASURE SPACES

V. G. Troitskiĭ

UDC 517.518.1

The notion of real partition introduced in the article presents a convenient tool for transferring many properties of the Lebesgue measure to a broad class of measure spaces. In particular, with the help of the notion introduced, existence is proved for measure-preserving mappings of several probability spaces onto the unit segment with the Lebesgue measure.

We recall that a measure space is called *nonatomic* if any measurable set in it of positive measure includes a measurable subset with a lesser but nonzero measure. A measure space is said to be *pointwise nonatomic* if all singletons in it are measurable and have zero measure. A space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , with  $X$  a complete separable metric space and  $\mathcal{A}$  its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, is referred to as *Polish space*.

We denote the Borel and Lebesgue algebras on the unit segment by  $\text{Bo}$  and  $\text{Lb}$  respectively. The symbol  $m$  will stand for the Lebesgue measure.

**Theorem 1** (E. Marczewski (Szpilrajn) [1, 2]). *Every pointwise nonatomic probability Polish space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is isomorphic to the space  $B = ([0, 1], \text{Bo}, m)$ ; i.e., there exists a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is one-to-one to within sets of zero measure and such that  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are measure-preserving.*

We introduce the notion of real partition.

**DEFINITION 1.** A *real partition* of a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is defined to be a partition  $(D_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$  of the space, such that  $\mu(A_t^-) = \mu(A_t^+) = t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $A_t^- = \bigcup_{s < t} D_s$  and  $A_t^+ = \bigcup_{s \leq t} D_s$ . A real partition is called *nondegenerate* if the set  $D_t$  is nonempty for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Every complete nonatomic probability space admits a real partition.*

**PROOF.** Let  $X = (X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a complete nonatomic probability space. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of chains in  $\mathcal{A}$  of the form  $(E_t)_{t \in T \subset [0, 1]}$  such that  $\mu(E_t) = t$  and  $E_s \subset E_t$  for  $s < t$ . Introduce in  $\mathcal{S}$  some natural order by putting  $(E_t^1)_{t \in T_1} < (E_t^2)_{t \in T_2}$  when  $T_1 \subset T_2$  and  $E_t^1 = E_t^2$  for all  $t$  in  $T_1$ . By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal chain  $(E_t)_{t \in T_0} \subset [0, 1]$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Demonstrate that  $T_0 = [0, 1]$ . Let  $t_0 \in [0, 1] \setminus T_0$ ,  $a = \sup\{t \in T_0 \mid t < t_0\}$ , and  $b = \inf\{t \in T_0 \mid t > t_0\}$ . Prove that  $a, b \in T_0$ . Take an increasing sequence  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset T_0$  converging to  $a$ , and consider the set  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{t_n}$ . It is obvious that  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(A) = a$ . If  $t \in T_0$  and  $t < a$ , then there exists an  $n$  such that  $t < t_n$ . Consequently,  $E_t \subset A$ . But if  $t \in T_0$  and  $t > a$ , then all  $E_{t_n}$  lie in  $E_t$ ; thus,  $A \subset E_t$ . Since  $(E_t)_{t \in T_0}$  is maximal, we obtain  $a \in T_0$ . Analogously,  $b \in T_0$ . Assume that  $a < b$ ; then  $\mu(E_b \setminus E_a) = b - a > 0$ . In this case, owing to the fact that  $X$  is nonatomic, there exists a subset  $F \subset E_b \setminus E_a$  such that  $0 < \mu(F) < b - a$ . Hence  $E_a \subset E_a \cup F \subset E_b$  and  $\mu(E_a \cup F) = a + \mu(F) < b$ , which contradicts the fact that  $(E_t)_{t \in T_0}$  is maximal. Thus,  $T_0 = [0, 1]$ .

Put  $A_t^- = \bigcup_{s < t} E_s$  and  $A_t^+ = \bigcap_{s > t} E_s$ . Since the space is complete and the inner and outer measures of the sets  $A_t^-$  and  $A_t^+$  coincide and equal  $t$ , it follows that  $A_t^-$  and  $A_t^+$  are measurable and have measure  $t$ .

It is obvious that  $A_{t_1}^- \subset E_{t_1} \subset A_{t_1}^+ \subset A_{t_2}^- \subset E_{t_2} \subset A_{t_2}^+$  for  $t_1 < t_2$ . Consider  $D_t := A_t^+ \setminus A_t^-$ . Then  $D_{t_1} \cap D_{t_2} = \emptyset$ . Demonstrate that  $A_t^- = \bigcup_{s < t} D_s$ . Given an  $x_0 \in A_t^-$ , put  $t_0 = \inf\{t \mid x_0 \in E_t\}$  and prove that  $x_0 \in D_{t_0}$ . If  $s \in ]t_0, t[$ , then  $x_0$  belongs to  $E_s$  and  $A_{t_0}^+$ . Similarly,  $x_0 \notin A_{t_0}^-$ , for there would otherwise exist an  $s < t_0$  such that  $x_0 \in E_s$ .

Consequently,  $x_0 \in A_{t_0}^+ \setminus A_{t_0}^- = D_{t_0}$ . Thus,  $A_t^- = \bigcup_{s < t} D_s$  and  $A_t^+ = \bigcup_{s \leq t} D_s$ .

To complete the proof, it remains to observe that  $A_0^- = \emptyset$  and  $A_1^+ = X$ . ■

**DEFINITION 2.** We say that a measure space *includes a Cantor set* if in this space there is a subset of zero measure that has the cardinality of the continuum.

The following observation was made by S. A. Mal'ugin.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X = (X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a complete nonatomic measure space. If the cardinality of the space  $X$  is greater than the cardinality of the continuum, then  $X$  contains a Cantor set.*

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we assume that  $X$  is a probability space; otherwise we can take a subset of finite measure. In this case, by Theorem 2, there exists a real partition  $(D_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ ; moreover,  $\mu(D_t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0,1]$ . If the cardinality of each of the  $D_t$ 's were less than the cardinality of the continuum, then the cardinality of the space  $X$  would be less than the cardinality of the continuum, which fact would contradict the hypothesis. Thus, there exists  $t_0$  such that the cardinality of the continual subset  $D_{t_0}$  is not less than the cardinality of the continuum. Since the measure is complete, every continual subset  $D_{t_0}$  is a Cantor set in  $X$ . ■

By using Theorem 2, we can essentially widen the class of spaces in Theorem 1 by replacing isomorphy with a weaker condition.

**Theorem 4.** *For every complete nonatomic probability space that includes a Cantor set, there exists a measure-preserving epimorphism of the space onto the unit segment with the Lebesgue measure.*

**PROOF.** Let  $X = (X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a complete nonatomic probability space and let a set  $C$  of zero measure have the cardinality of the continuum. Our aim is to construct an epimorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow I$ , where  $I = ([0, 1], \text{Lb}, m)$ .

Let  $C = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ . Consider the space  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mu})$ , where  $\tilde{X} = X \setminus C$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{A \setminus C \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , and  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ . It is a complete nonatomic probability space; therefore, by Theorem 2, it admits a real partition, i.e., a partition  $(\tilde{D}_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{A}_t^-) = \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{A}_t^+) = t$ , where  $\tilde{A}_t^- = \bigcup_{s < t} \tilde{D}_s$  and  $\tilde{A}_t^+ = \bigcup_{s \leq t} \tilde{D}_s$ . Put  $D_t = \tilde{D}_t \cup \{C_t\}$ ,  $A_t^- := \bigcup_{s < t} D_s$ , and  $A_t^+ := \bigcup_{s \leq t} D_s$ . The completeness of  $X$  and the equality  $\mu(C) = 0$  yield measurability of  $A_t^-$  and  $A_t^+$ ; moreover,  $\mu(A_t^-) = \mu(A_t^+) = t$ . Thereby,  $(D_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  presents a nondegenerate real partition of  $X$ .

We define the epimorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by the rule  $\varphi|_{D_t} = t$ . In this event,  $\varphi(A_t^-) = [0, t)$  and  $\varphi(A_t^+) = [0, t]$ . Since the segments of the forms  $[0, t)$  and  $[0, t]$ , with the measure equal to  $t$  by definition, generate the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra with the Lebesgue measure, the mapping  $\varphi^{-1}$  preserves the Lebesgue measure of Borel subsets in  $[0, 1]$ . From the completeness of the space  $X$ , it follows that  $\varphi$  also preserves the measure of Lebesgue subsets. ■

As an illustration of Theorem 4, we present a simple proof for the following assertion:

**Theorem 5.** *In every nonatomic probability space there is a nonmeasurable set.*

This fact occurs implicitly in the article [3].

**PROOF.** Suppose that there exists a nonatomic probability space  $X = (X, \mathcal{P}(X), \mu)$ . The fact that the space  $X$  is nonatomic implies that  $X$  is pointwise nonatomic. Since a pointwise nonatomic measure cannot be defined on the algebra of all subsets of a set whose cardinality is less or equal to the cardinality of the continuum (see [3, 4]), we conclude that the cardinality of the space  $X$  is greater than the cardinality of the continuum. Now Theorems 3 and 4 guarantee existence for an epimorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow I = ([0, 1], \text{Lb}, m)$ ; moreover, the sets  $D_t = \varphi^{-1}(t)$  form a nondegenerate real partition of the space  $X$ . Denote this partition by  $\xi$ . Consider the  $\sigma$ -algebras

$$\mathcal{S} = \varphi^{-1}(\text{Lb}) = \{\varphi^{-1}(A) \mid A \in \text{Lb}\}, \quad \mathcal{P} = \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}[0, 1]) = \{\varphi^{-1}(A) \mid A \subset [0, 1]\}.$$

Take the quotient algebras by the partition  $\xi$  (the notion of the quotient algebra by a partition can be found, for instance, in [5]). It is obvious that the space  $(X_\xi, \mathcal{S}_\xi, \mu_\xi)$  is isomorphic to  $I$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{S}_\xi \subset \mathcal{P}_\xi = \mathcal{P}(X_\xi) = (\mathcal{P}(X))_\xi$ . Consequently, the space  $(X_\xi, \mathcal{P}(X_\xi), \mu_\xi)$  is pointwise nonatomic (since  $\mathcal{S}_\xi \subset \mathcal{P}(X_\xi)$ ) and has the cardinality of the continuum. The contradiction obtained proves the theorem. ■

### References

1. E. Marczewski (Szpilrajn), "Sur les ensembles et les fonctions absolument mesurables," C. R. Soc. Sci. Varsovie, **30**, 39–67 (1937).
2. K. R. Parthasarathy, Introduction to Probability and Measure [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1983).
3. S. Ulam, "Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre," Fund. Math., **16**, 140–150 (1930).
4. K. Kuratowski and A. Mostowski, Theory of Sets [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1970).
5. A. A. Samorodnitskii, Measure Theory [in Russian], Leningrad Univ., Leningrad (1990).

TRANSLATED BY G. V. DYATLOV