

# A REMARK ON INVARIANT SUBSPACES OF POSITIVE OPERATORS

VLADIMIR G. TROITSKY

ABSTRACT. If  $S$ ,  $T$ ,  $R$ , and  $K$  are non-zero positive operators on a Banach lattice such that  $S \leftrightarrow T \leftrightarrow R \leq K$ , where “ $\leftrightarrow$ ” stands for the commutation relation,  $T$  is non-scalar, and  $K$  is compact, then  $S$  has an invariant subspace.

Throughout this note,  $X$  is a (real or complex) Banach lattice. For two operators  $S$  and  $T$  on  $X$ , the notation  $S \leftrightarrow T$  means that  $S$  and  $T$  commute. A (norm closed) subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  is said to be invariant under an operator  $T$  in  $L(X)$  if  $\{0\} \neq Y \neq X$  and  $TY \subseteq Y$ . We follow the notations and terminology of [AA02].

There have been many extensions of Lomonosov’s theorem [Lom73] to positive operators; see Chapter 10 of [AA02] for a review of the subject. In particular, if  $T \leftrightarrow R \geq K$  for some positive non-zero operators  $T$ ,  $R$ , and  $K$  with  $T$  quasinilpotent and  $K$  compact, then  $T$  has an invariant subspace (even an invariant closed ideal). The condition  $T \leftrightarrow R \geq K$  can be replaced with  $T \leftrightarrow R \leq K$  or, even more generally, with  $T \leftrightarrow R \geq C \leq K$  for some non-zero positive operator  $C$ ; in the latter case,  $T$  is said to be *compact friendly*. There have been several more recent similar extensions of Lomonosov’s theorem to positive quasinilpotent operators: [Drn01, IM04, AT05, ÇE07, FTT08, PT09, Ges09, FV09, DK11]. In this note we do not require that  $T$  be quasinilpotent. Our result was motivated by Theorem 3.5 of [ÇM11], where quasinilpotence is not required either.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $S$ ,  $T$ ,  $R$ , and  $K$  are non-zero positive operators on a Banach lattice such that  $S \leftrightarrow T \leftrightarrow R \leq K$ ,  $T$  is non-scalar, and  $K$  is compact. Then  $S$  has an invariant subspace.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S$  has no invariant subspaces. Let  $\tilde{S} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n S^n$  where  $t$  is a positive real such that series converges. Then  $\tilde{S} \geq I$ ,  $\tilde{S} \geq tS$ , and  $\tilde{S}$  commutes with  $T$ .

---

*Date:* February 19, 2012.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47B65. Secondary: 47A15.

*Key words and phrases.* positive operators, invariant subspaces.

The author was supported by NSERC.

*Claim:* for every  $x > 0$ , the vector  $\tilde{S}x$  is quasi-interior, that is, the order ideal  $J$  generated by  $\tilde{S}x$  is dense in  $X$ . Indeed,  $\tilde{S}x \geq x > 0$ , so that  $J \neq \{0\}$ . Note that  $J$  is invariant under  $S$  because for every  $z \in J$  we have  $|z| \leq \lambda \tilde{S}x$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ , so that

$$|Sz| \leq S|z| \leq \lambda S\tilde{S}x = \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n S^{n+1}x = \frac{\lambda}{t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n+1} S^{n+1}x \leq \frac{\lambda}{t} \tilde{S}x.$$

Since  $S$  has no invariant subspaces,  $J$  has to be dense in  $X$ . This proves the claim.

Since  $R \neq 0$ , there exists  $x_0 > 0$  such that  $Rx_0 > 0$ . By the claim,  $\tilde{S}Rx_0$  is quasi-interior. Since  $R$  is positive and non-zero, it cannot vanish on a quasi-interior vector, hence  $R\tilde{S}Rx_0 > 0$ . Iterating this step, we get  $R\tilde{S}R\tilde{S}Rx_0 > 0$ . It follows that  $R\tilde{S}R\tilde{S}R \neq 0$ . Since  $\tilde{S}R \leq \tilde{S}K$  and the latter operator is compact,  $R\tilde{S}R\tilde{S}R$  is compact by Aliprantis-Burkinshaw's Cube Theorem [AA02, Theorem 2.34]. Hence,  $T$  commutes with a non-zero compact operator. Therefore,  $T$  has a hyperinvariant subspace: in case of a complex Banach lattice this follows from Lomonosov's Theorem, while in the case of a real Banach lattice we use Corollary 2.4 of [Sir05].  $\square$

**Remark 2.** We have, actually, proved more than stated: we proved that either  $S$  has an invariant closed ideal or  $T$  commutes with a non-zero compact operator and, therefore, has a hyperinvariant subspace. We would also like to point out that the assumption that  $T$  is positive is not really needed.

To put Theorem 1 in perspective, note that, under the assumptions of the theorem, the following facts are well known.

- If both  $X$  and  $X^*$  have order continuous norm, then  $R$  is compact by Dodds-Fremlin Theorem [AA02, Theorem 2.38], so that  $T$  has a hyperinvariant subspace by Lomonosov's Theorem.
- Note that  $R^3$  is always compact by the Cube Theorem, and  $T \leftrightarrow R^3$ . Thus, if  $R^3 \neq 0$  then it follows immediately from Lomonosov's Theorem that  $T$  has a hyperinvariant subspace. On the other hand, if  $R^3 = 0$  then  $\ker R$  is a non-trivial subspace invariant under  $T$ . Hence, in any case,  $T$  has an invariant subspace.
- Note that  $T$  is compact-friendly. Therefore, if  $T$  is quasinilpotent at a positive vector then Theorem 10.55 of [AA02] guarantees that  $S$  has an invariant closed ideal. The following result is an analogue of Theorem 10.55 in our setting.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $T$ ,  $R$ , and  $K$  are non-zero positive operators on a Banach lattice  $X$  such that  $T \leftrightarrow R \leq K$ ,  $T$  is non-scalar, and  $K$  is compact. If  $(S_n)$  is a sequence of positive operators commuting with  $T$  then there is a subspace invariant under  $T$ ,  $R$ , and all  $S_n$ 's.*

*Proof.* Let  $S = T + R + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n S_n$ , where  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of positive reals such that the series converges. Observe that  $S$  is a positive operator commuting with  $T$ . If  $S$  has an invariant closed ideal then this ideal remains invariant under  $T$ ,  $R$ , and each  $S_n$  because these operators are dominated by  $S$ . However, if  $S$  has no invariant closed subspaces, then  $T$  has a hyperinvariant subspace by Remark 2.  $\square$

**Example 4.**  $0 \leq R \leq K$ ,  $K$  is compact,  $R$  is not compact, and  $R^2 = 0$ .

This is the case in Example 5.19 of [AB06]; it is one of the few classical examples showing that Dodds-Fremlin Theorem may fail when  $X^*$  is not order continuous. Here is the example. Put  $X = \ell_1 \oplus L_2$ . Let  $(e_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  stand for the unit vector basis of  $\ell_1$ ,  $(r_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  stand for the sequence of the Rademacher functions in  $L_2$ , and  $r_0 = \mathbb{1}$  stand for the constant one function in  $L_2$ . Recall that the sequence  $(r_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is an orthonormal sequence in  $L_2$ . Note also that  $r_i^+ = \frac{1}{2}(r_i + \mathbb{1})$  for all  $i$ . We define  $R_0, K_0: \ell_1 \rightarrow L_2$  via  $K_0 e_i = \mathbb{1}$  and  $R_0 e_i = r_i^+$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . It is easy to see that the both operators are bounded,  $K_0$  is compact,  $R_0$  is not compact, and  $0 \leq R_0 \leq K_0$ . Now put  $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ R_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $R$  and  $K$  are two operators on  $X$  with  $0 \leq R \leq K$ ,  $K$  is compact,  $R$  is not compact, and  $R^2 = 0$ .

**Example 5.** With  $R$  and  $K$  as in Example 4, we will construct  $T$  such that  $T$  commutes with  $R$  but not with  $K$ . Put  $T = \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}$  where  $P: \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1$  is the left shift:  $P e_i = e_{i-1}$  if  $i > 1$  and  $P e_1 = 0$ ; and  $Q: L_2 \rightarrow L_2$  is defined as follows. Put  $Q \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$ ,  $Q r_1 = -\mathbb{1}$ ,  $Q r_i = r_{i-1}$  for  $i > 1$  and define  $Q$  arbitrarily on the orthogonal complement of the closed span of  $(r_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  in  $L_2$ . Using the fact that  $r_i^+ = \frac{1}{2}(r_i + \mathbb{1})$  we see that  $Q$  acts as a left shift on the sequence  $(r_i^+)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . It is easy to see that  $T$  commutes with  $R$  because for every  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i$  in  $\ell_1$  and every  $f \in L_2$  we have  $TR(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i, f) = (0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i+1} r_i^+) = RT(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i, f)$ . However,  $T$  does not commute with  $K$  because  $TK(e_1, 0) = (0, \mathbb{1})$  while  $KT(e_1, 0) = (0, 0)$ . Note that  $T$  is not positive.

**Example 6.** We construct three non-zero *positive* operators  $T$ ,  $R$ , and  $K$  such that  $0 \leq R \leq T$ ,  $K$  is compact,  $R$  is not compact, and  $T$  commutes with  $R$  but not with

$K$ . In particular, the operators  $K$ ,  $R$ , and  $T$ , together with any positive operator  $S$  which commutes with  $T$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.

We construct  $R$  and  $K$  similarly to Example 4. We again put  $X = \ell_1 \oplus L_2$ , but this time we consider  $\ell_1$  indexed by  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , so that the unit basis now starts with  $e_0$ . Again, we define  $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ R_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $R_0 e_i = r_i^+$  and  $K_0 e_i = \mathbb{1}$  for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  (recall that  $r_0 = \mathbb{1}$ ). We still have  $0 \leq R \leq K$ ,  $K$  is compact,  $R$  is not compact, and  $R^2 = 0$ . Put  $T = \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}$  where  $P: \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1$  and  $Q: L_2 \rightarrow L_2$  are defined as follows. Fix a positive real parameter  $\alpha$ . For  $f \in L_2$ , put

$$(Qf)(t) = f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + 2\alpha \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

It is easy to see that  $Q\mathbb{1} = (1 + \alpha)\mathbb{1}$ ,  $Qr_1 = (1 - \alpha)\mathbb{1}$ , and  $Qr_i = r_{i-1}$  for  $i > 1$ . It follows from  $r_i^+ = \frac{1}{2}(r_i + \mathbb{1})$  that  $Qr_1^+ = \mathbb{1}$  and  $Qr_i^+ = r_{i-1}^+ + \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathbb{1}$  whenever  $i > 1$ . Now we define  $P$  so that the action of  $P$  on  $(e_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  matches the action of  $Q$  on  $(r_i^+)_{i=0}^\infty$ , namely,

$$Pe_i = \begin{cases} (1 + \alpha)e_0 & i = 0, \\ e_0 & i = 1, \\ e_{i-1} + \frac{\alpha}{2}e_0 & i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $Q$  and  $P$  are positive, hence so is  $T$ . It is easy to verify that  $T$  commutes with  $R$ . However,  $T$  does not commute with  $K$  as  $TK(e_1, 0) = T(0, \mathbb{1}) = (0, (1 + \alpha)\mathbb{1})$ , while  $KT(e_1, 0) = K(e_0, 0) = (0, \mathbb{1})$ .

Note that  $(0, \mathbb{1})$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ ; it follows that  $T$  has a hyperinvariant subspace. Also, if  $\alpha = 1$  then  $T$  commutes with the compact positive operator  $C$  defined by  $C(x, f) = (0, (\int_0^1 f)\mathbb{1})$ . We do not know whether  $T$  commutes with a compact operator when  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [AA02] Y.A. Abramovich and C.D. Aliprantis, *An invitation to operator theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 50, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR 2003h:47072
- [AAB93] Y.A. Abramovich, C.D. Aliprantis, and O.Burkinshaw, *Invariant subspaces of operators on  $\ell_p$ -spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **115** (1993), no. 2, 418–424. MR 94h:47009
- [AAB94] ———, *Invariant subspace theorems for positive operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **124** (1994), no. 1, 95–111. MR 95e:47006
- [AB06] C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, Reprint of the 1985 original. MR 2262133
- [AT05] R. Anisca and V.G. Troitsky, *Minimal vectors of positive operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54** (2005), no. 3, 861–872. MR 2151236

- [ÇE07] M. Çağlar and Z. Ercan, *Invariant subspaces for positive operators on locally convex solid Riesz spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **18** (2007), no. 3, 417–420. MR 2373689 (2008k:47012)
- [ÇM11] M. Çağlar and T. Misirlioğlu, *A note on a problem of Abramovich, Aliprantis and Burkinshaw*, Positivity **15** (2011), no. 3, 473–480. MR 2832600
- [DK11] R. Drnovšek and M. Kandić, *More on positive commutators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **373** (2011), no. 2, 580–584. MR 2720706 (2011j:47123)
- [Drn01] R. Drnovšek, *Common invariant subspaces for collections of operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **39** (2001), no. 3, 253–266. MR 1818060 (2001m:47012)
- [FTT08] J. Flores, P. Tradacete, and V.G. Troitsky, *Invariant subspaces of positive strictly singular operators on Banach lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **343** (2008), no. 2, 743–751. MR 2401530 (2009c:47008)
- [FV09] A. Fernández Valles, *Invariant ideals for uniform joint locally quasinilpotent operators*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **39** (2009), no. 5, 1699–1712. MR 2546660 (2010i:47064)
- [Ges09] H.E. Gessesse, *Invariant subspaces of super left-commutants*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 4, 1357–1361. MR 2465659 (2009i:47017)
- [IM04] M.C. Isidori and A. Martellotti, *Invariant subspaces for compact-friendly operators in Sobolev spaces*, Positivity **8** (2004), no. 2, 109–122. MR 2097082
- [Lom73] V.I. Lomonosov, *Invariant subspaces of the family of operators that commute with a completely continuous operator*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **7** (1973), no. 3, 55–56. MR 54:8319
- [PT09] A.I. Popov and V.G. Troitsky, *A version of Lomonosov's theorem for collections of positive operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 5, 1793–1800. MR 2470839
- [Sir05] G. Sirotkin, *A version of the Lomonosov invariant subspace theorem for real Banach spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54** (2005), no. 1, 257–262. MR 2126724 (2006f:47007)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, AB, T6G 2G1. CANADA

*E-mail address:* troitsky@ualberta.ca