

KRIVINE'S FUNCTION CALCULUS AND BOCHNER INTEGRATION

V.G. TROITSKY AND M.S. TÜRER

ABSTRACT. We prove that Krivine's Function Calculus is compatible with integration. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space, X a Banach lattice, $\mathbf{x} \in X^n$, and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function such that $f(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous and positively homogeneous for every $\omega \in \Omega$, and $f(\mathbf{s}, \cdot)$ is integrable for every $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Put $F(\mathbf{s}) = \int f(\mathbf{s}, \omega) d\mu(\omega)$ and define $F(\mathbf{x})$ and $f(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ via Krivine's Function Calculus. We prove that under certain natural assumptions $F(\mathbf{x}) = \int f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d\mu(\omega)$, where the right hand side is a Bochner integral.

1. MOTIVATION

In [Kal12], the author defines a real-valued function of two real or complex variable via $F(s, t) = \int_0^{2\pi} |s + e^{i\theta}t| d\theta$. This is a positively homogeneous continuous function. Therefore, given two vectors u and v in a Banach lattice X , one may apply Krivine's Function Calculus to F and consider $F(u, v)$ as an element of X . The author then claims that

$$(1) \quad F(u, v) = \int_0^{2\pi} |u + e^{i\theta}v| d\theta,$$

where the right hand side here is understood as a Bochner integral; this is used later in [Kal12] to conclude that $\|F(u, v)\| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \|u + e^{i\theta}v\| d\theta$ because Bochner integrals have this property: $\|\int f\| \leq \int \|f\|$. A similar exposition is also found in [DGTJ84, p. 146]. Unfortunately, neither [Kal12] nor [DGTJ84] includes a proof of (1). In this note, we prove a general theorem which implies (1) as a special case.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We start by reviewing the construction of Krivine's Function Calculus on Banach lattices; see [LT79, Theorem 1.d.1] for details. For Banach lattice terminology, we refer the reader to [AA02, AB06].

Date: September 4, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46B42. Secondary: 46A40.

Key words and phrases. Banach lattice, Function Calculus, Bochner integral.

The first author was supported by an NSERC grant.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **positively homogeneous** if

$$F(\lambda t_1, \dots, \lambda t_n) = \lambda F(t_1, \dots, t_n) \text{ for all } t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lambda \geq 0.$$

Let H_n be the set of all continuous positively homogeneous functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} . Let S_∞^n be the unit sphere of ℓ_∞^n , that is,

$$S_\infty^n = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |t_i| = 1\}.$$

It can be easily verified that the restriction map $F \mapsto F|_{S_\infty^n}$ is a lattice isomorphism from H_n onto $C(S_\infty^n)$. Hence, we can identify H_n with $C(S_\infty^n)$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the i -th coordinate projection $\pi_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ clearly belongs to H_n .

Let X be a (real) Banach lattice and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Let $e \in X_+$ be such that x_1, \dots, x_n belong to I_e , the principal order ideal of e . For example, one could take $e = |x_1| \vee \dots \vee |x_n|$. By Kakutani's representation theorem, the ideal I_e equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_e = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |x| \leq \lambda e\}$$

is lattice isometric to $C(K)$ for some compact Hausdorff K . Let $F \in H_n$. Interpreting x_1, \dots, x_n as elements of $C(K)$, we can define $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in $C(K)$ as a composition. We may view it as an element of I_e and, therefore, of X ; we also denote it by \tilde{F} or $\Phi(F)$. It may be shown that, as an element of X , it does not depend on the particular choice of e . This results in a (unique) lattice homomorphism $\Phi: H_n \rightarrow X$ such that $\Phi(\pi_i) = x_i$. The map Φ will be referred to as **Krivine's function calculus**. This construction allows one to define expressions like $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $0 < p < \infty$ in every Banach lattice X ; this expression is understood as $\Phi(F)$ where $F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Furthermore,

$$(2) \quad \|F(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \|F\|_{C(S_\infty^n)} \cdot \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^n |x_i| \right\|.$$

Let L_n be the sublattice of H_n or, equivalently, of $C(S_\infty^n)$, generated by the coordinate projections π_i as $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows from the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem that L_n is dense in $C(S_\infty^n)$. It follows from $\Phi(\pi_i) = x_i$ that $\Phi(L_n)$ is the sublattice generated by x_1, \dots, x_n in X , hence $\text{Range } \Phi$ is contained in the closed sublattice of X generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . It follows from, e.g., Exercise 8 on [AB06, p.204] that this sublattice is separable.

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space and X a Banach space. A function $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is *measurable* if there is a sequence (f_n) of simple functions from Ω to X such that $\lim_n \|f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)\| = 0$ almost everywhere. If, in addition, $\int \|f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \rightarrow 0$

then f is **Bochner integrable** with $\int_A f d\mu = \lim_n \int_A f_n d\mu$ for every measurable set A . In the following theorem, we collect a few standard facts about Bochner integral for future reference; we refer the reader to [DU77, Chapter II] for proofs and further details.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$.*

- (i) *If f is the almost everywhere limit of a sequence of measurable functions then f is measurable.*
- (ii) *If f is separable-valued and there is a norming set $\Gamma \subseteq X^*$ such that x^*f is measurable for every $x^* \in \Gamma$ then f is measurable.*
- (iii) *A measurable function f is Bochner integrable iff $\|f\|$ is integrable.*
- (iv) *If $f(\omega) = u(\omega)x$ for some fixed $x \in X$ and $u \in L_1(\mu)$ and for all ω then f is measurable and Bochner integrable.*
- (v) *If f is Bochner integrable and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded operator from X to a Banach space Y then $T(\int f d\mu) = \int Tf d\mu$.*

3. MAIN THEOREM

Throughout the rest of the paper, we assume that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a finite measure space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $f(\cdot, \omega)$ is in H_n for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $f(\mathbf{s}, \cdot)$ is integrable for every $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For every $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, put $F(\mathbf{s}) = \int f(\mathbf{s}, \omega) d\mu(\omega)$. It is clear that F is positively homogeneous.

Suppose, in addition, that F is continuous. Let X be a Banach lattice, $\mathbf{x} \in X^n$, and $\Phi: H_n \rightarrow X$ the corresponding function calculus. Since $F \in H_n$, $\tilde{F} = F(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(F)$ is defined as an element of X . On the other hand, for every ω , the function $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto f(\mathbf{s}, \omega)$ is in H_n , hence we may apply Φ to it. We denote the resulting vector by $\tilde{f}(\omega)$ or $f(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$. This produces a function $\omega \in \Omega \mapsto f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \in X$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that F is continuous and the function $M(\omega) := \|f(\cdot, \omega)\|_{C(S_\infty^n)}$ is integrable. Then $f(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ is Bochner integrable as a function of ω and $F(\mathbf{x}) = \int f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d\mu(\omega)$, where the right hand side is a Bochner integral.*

Proof. Special case: $X = C(K)$ for some compact Hausdorff K . By uniqueness of function calculus, Krivine's function calculus Φ agrees with "point-wise" function calculus. In particular,

$$\tilde{F}(k) = F(x_1(k), \dots, x_n(k)) \text{ and } (\tilde{f}(\omega))(k) = f(x_1(k), \dots, x_n(k), \omega)$$

for all $k \in K$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. We view \tilde{f} as a function from Ω to $C(K)$.

We are going to show that \tilde{f} is Bochner integrable. It follows from $\tilde{f}(\omega) \in \text{Range } \Phi$ that \tilde{f} is a separable-valued function. For every $k \in K$, consider the point-evaluation functional $\varphi_k \in C(K)^*$ given by $\varphi_k(x) = x(k)$. Then

$$\varphi_k(\tilde{f}(\omega)) = (\tilde{f}(\omega))(k) = f(x_1(k), \dots, x_n(k), \omega).$$

for every $k \in K$. By assumptions, this function is integrable; in particular, it is measurable. Since the set $\{\varphi_k : k \in K\}$ is norming in $C(K)^*$, Theorem 2.1(ii) yields that \tilde{f} is measurable.

Clearly, $|(\tilde{f}(\omega))(k)| \leq M(\omega)$ for every $k \in K$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, so that $\|\tilde{f}(\omega)\|_{C(K)} \leq M(\omega)$ for every ω . It follows that $\int \|\tilde{f}(\omega)\|_{C(K)} d\mu(\omega)$ exists and, therefore, \tilde{f} is Bochner integrable by Theorem 2.1(iii).

Put $h := \int \tilde{f}(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$, where the right hand side is a Bochner integral. Applying Theorem 2.1(v), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(k) = \varphi_k(h) &= \int \varphi_k(\tilde{f}(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = \int f(x_1(k), \dots, x_n(k), \omega) d\mu(\omega) \\ &= F(x_1(k), \dots, x_n(k)) = \tilde{F}(k). \end{aligned}$$

for every $k \in K$. It follows that $\int \tilde{f}(\omega) d\omega = \tilde{F}$.

General case. Let $e = |x_1| \vee \dots \vee |x_n|$. Then $(I_e, \|\cdot\|_e)$ is lattice isometric to $C(K)$ for some compact Hausdorff K . Note also that $|x| \leq \|x\|_e e$ for every $x \in I_e$; this yields $\|x\| \leq \|x\|_e \|e\|$, hence the inclusion map $T: (I_e, \|\cdot\|_e) \rightarrow X$ is bounded. Identifying I_e with $C(K)$, we may view T as a bounded lattice embedding from $C(K)$ into X .

By the construction on Krivine's Function Calculus, Φ actually acts into I_e , i.e., $\Phi = T\Phi_0$, where Φ_0 is the $C(K)$ -valued function calculus. By the special case, we know that $\int \tilde{f}(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \tilde{F}$ in $C(K)$. Applying T , we obtain the same identity in X by Theorem 2.1(v). \square

Finally, we analyze whether any of the assumptions may be removed. Clearly, one cannot remove the assumption that F is continuous; otherwise, \tilde{F} would make no sense. The following example shows that, in general, F need not be continuous.

Example 3.2. Let $n = 2$, let μ be a measure on \mathbb{N} given by $\mu(\{k\}) = 2^{-k}$. For each k , we define $f_k = f(\cdot, k)$ as follows. Note that it suffices to define f_k on S_∞^2 . Let I_k be the straight line segment connecting $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 2^{-k+1})$. Define f_k so that it vanishes on $S_\infty^2 \setminus I_k$, $f_k(1, 0) = f_k(1, 2^{-k+1}) = 0$, $f_k(1, 2^{-k}) = 2^k$, and is linear on each half of I_k . Then $f_k \in H_2$ and $F(\mathbf{s})$ is defined for every $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. It follows from $F(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} f_k(\mathbf{s})$ that $F(1, 0) = 0$ and $F(1, 2^{-k}) \geq 2^{-k} f_k(1, 2^{-k}) = 1$, hence F is discontinuous at $(1, 0)$.

The assumption that M is integrable cannot be removed as well. Indeed, consider the special case when $X = C(S_\infty^n)$ and $x_i = \pi_i$ as $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case, Φ is the identity map and $\tilde{f}(\omega) = f(\cdot, \omega)$. It follows from Theorem 2.1(iii) that \tilde{f} is Bochner integrable iff $\|\tilde{f}\|$ is integrable iff M is integrable.

Finally, the assumption that $f(\cdot, \omega)$ is in H_n for every ω may clearly be relaxed to “for almost every ω ”.

4. DIRECT PROOF

In the previous section, we presented a proof of Theorem 3.1 using representation theory. In this section, we present a direct proof. However, we impose an additional assumption: we assume that $f(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous on S_∞^n uniformly on ω , that is,

$$(3) \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(\mathbf{s}, \omega) - f(\mathbf{t}, \omega)| < \varepsilon \\ \text{for all } \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in S_\infty^n \text{ and all } \omega \in \Omega \text{ provided that } \|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{t}\|_\infty < \delta.$$

In Theorem 3.1, we assumed that F was continuous and M was integrable. Now these two conditions are satisfied automatically. In order to see that F is continuous, fix $\varepsilon > 0$; let δ be as in (3), then

$$(4) \quad |F(\mathbf{s}) - F(\mathbf{t})| \leq \int |f(\mathbf{s}, \omega) - f(\mathbf{t}, \omega)| d\mu(\omega) < \varepsilon \mu(\Omega)$$

whenever $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in S_\infty^n$ with $\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{t}\|_\infty < \delta$. The proof of integrability of M will be included in the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $f(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous on S_∞^n uniformly on ω . Then $f(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ is Bochner integrable as a function of ω and $F(\mathbf{x}) = \int f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d\mu(\omega)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, by scaling μ and \mathbf{x} , we may assume that μ is a probability measure and $\left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^n |x_i| \right\| = 1$; this will simplify computations. In particular, (2) becomes $\|H(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \|H\|_{C(S_\infty^n)}$ for every $H \in C(S_\infty^n)$. Note also that \mathbf{x} in the theorem is a “fake” variable as \mathbf{x} is fixed. It may be more accurate to write \tilde{F} and $\tilde{f}(\omega)$ instead of $F(\mathbf{x})$ and $f(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$, respectively. Hence, we need to prove that \tilde{f} as a function from Ω to X is Bochner integrable and its Bochner integral is \tilde{F} .

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let δ be as in (3). It follows from (4) that

$$(5) \quad |F(\mathbf{s}) - F(\mathbf{t})| < \varepsilon \text{ whenever } \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in S_\infty^n \text{ with } \|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{t}\|_\infty < \delta.$$

Each of the $2n$ faces of S_∞^n is a translate of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit cube B_∞^{n-1} . Partition each of these faces into $(n-1)$ -dimensional cubes of diameter less than δ , where the diameter is computed with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -metric. Partition each

of these cubes into simplices. Therefore, there exists a partition of the entire S_∞^n into finitely many simplices of diameter less than δ . Denote the vertices of these simplices by $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m$. Thus, we have produced a triangularization of S_∞^n with nodes $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m$.

Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Define a function $L: S_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $L(\mathbf{s}_j) = a_j$ as $j = 1, \dots, m$ and then extending it to each of the simplices linearly; this can be done because every point in a simplex can be written in a unique way as a convex combination of the vertices of the simplex. We write $L = T\mathbf{a}$. This gives rise to a linear operator $T: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow C(S_\infty^n)$. For each $j = 1, \dots, m$, let e_j be the j -th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^m ; put $d_j = Te_j$. Clearly,

$$(6) \quad T\mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j d_j \text{ for every } \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Let $H \in C(S_\infty^n)$. Let $L = T\mathbf{a}$ where $a_j = H(\mathbf{s}_j)$. Then L agrees with H at $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m$. We write $L = SH$; this defines a linear operator $S: C(S_\infty^n) \rightarrow C(S_\infty^n)$. Clearly, this is a linear contraction.

Suppose that $H \in C(S_\infty^n)$ is such that $|H(\mathbf{s}) - H(\mathbf{t})| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{t}\|_\infty < \delta$. Let $L = SH$. We claim that $\|L - H\|_{C(S_\infty^n)} < \varepsilon$. Indeed, fix $\mathbf{s} \in S_\infty^n$. Let $\mathbf{s}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{j_n}$ be the vertices of a simplex in the triangularization of S_∞^n that contains \mathbf{s} . Then \mathbf{s} can be written as a convex combination $\mathbf{s} = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \mathbf{s}_{j_k}$. Note that $\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}_{j_k}\|_\infty < \delta$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that

$$|L(\mathbf{s}) - H(\mathbf{s})| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k L(\mathbf{s}_{j_k}) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k H(\mathbf{s}) \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_k |H(\mathbf{s}_{j_k}) - H(\mathbf{s})| < \varepsilon.$$

This proves the claim.

Let $G = SF$. It follows from (5) and the preceding observation $\|G - F\|_{C(S_\infty^n)} < \varepsilon$, so that

$$(7) \quad \|G(\mathbf{x}) - F(\mathbf{x})\| < \varepsilon.$$

Similarly, for every $\omega \in \Omega$, apply S to $f(\cdot, \omega)$ and denote the resulting function $g(\cdot, \omega)$. In particular, $g(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega) = f(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and every $j = 1, \dots, m$. It follows also that

$$(8) \quad \|f(\cdot, \omega) - g(\cdot, \omega)\|_{C(S_\infty^n)} < \varepsilon$$

for every ω , and, therefore

$$(9) \quad \|\tilde{f}(\omega) - \tilde{g}(\omega)\| = \|f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) - g(\mathbf{x}, \omega)\| < \varepsilon,$$

where $\tilde{g}(\omega) = g(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ is the image under Φ of the function $\mathbf{s} \in S_\infty^n \mapsto g(\mathbf{s}, \omega)$. Note that

$$(10) \quad G(\mathbf{s}_j) = F(\mathbf{s}_j) = \int f(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega) d\mu(\omega) = \int g(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, m$. Since $G = SF = T\mathbf{a}$ where $a_j = F(\mathbf{s}_j) = G(\mathbf{s}_j)$ as $j = 1, \dots, m$, it follows from (6) that

$$(11) \quad G = \sum_{j=1}^m G(\mathbf{s}_j) d_j.$$

Similarly, for every $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$(12) \quad g(\cdot, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^m g(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega) d_j.$$

Applying Φ to (11) and (12), we obtain $\tilde{G} = G(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^m G(\mathbf{s}_j) d_j(\mathbf{x})$ and

$$\tilde{g}(\omega) = g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^m g(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega) d_j(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^m f(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega) d_j(\mathbf{x}).$$

Together with Theorem 2.1(iv), this yields that \tilde{g} is measurable and Bochner integrable. It now follows from (10) and (11) that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^m G(\mathbf{s}_j) d_j(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\int g(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega) d\mu(\omega) \right) d_j(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^m g(\mathbf{s}_j, \omega) d_j(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mu(\omega) = \int g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d\mu(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

We will show next that \tilde{f} is Bochner integrable. It follows from (9) and the fact that ε is arbitrary that \tilde{f} can be approximated almost everywhere (actually, everywhere) by measurable functions; hence \tilde{f} is measurable by Theorem 2.1(i). Next, we claim that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $|f(\mathbf{s}, \omega) - f(\mathbf{1}, \omega)| \leq \lambda$ for all $\mathbf{s} \in S_\infty^n$ and all $\omega \in \Omega$. Here $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$. Indeed, let $\mathbf{s} \in S_\infty^n$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Find j_1, \dots, j_l such that $\mathbf{s}_{j_1} = \mathbf{1}$, \mathbf{s}_{j_k} and $\mathbf{s}_{j_{k+1}}$ belong to the same simplex as $k = 1, \dots, l-1$, and \mathbf{s}_{j_l} is a vertex of a simplex containing \mathbf{s} . It follows that

$$|f(\mathbf{s}, \omega) - f(\mathbf{1}, \omega)| \leq |f(\mathbf{s}, \omega) - f(\mathbf{s}_{j_l}, \omega)| + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} |f(\mathbf{s}_{j_{k+1}}, \omega) - f(\mathbf{s}_{j_k}, \omega)| \leq l\varepsilon \leq m\varepsilon.$$

This proves the claim with $\lambda = m\varepsilon$. It follows that

$$\|\tilde{f}(\omega)\| \leq \|f(\cdot, \omega)\|_{C(S_\infty^n)} = \sup_{\mathbf{s} \in S_\infty^n} |f(\mathbf{s}, \omega)| \leq |f(\mathbf{1}, \omega)| + \lambda.$$

Since $|f(\mathbf{1}, \omega)| + \lambda$ is an integrable function of ω , we conclude that $\|\tilde{f}\|$ is integrable, hence \tilde{f} is Bochner integrable by Theorem 2.1(iii). It now follows from (9) that

$$(14) \quad \left\| \int f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d\mu(\omega) - \int g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d\mu(\omega) \right\| \leq \int \|f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) - g(\mathbf{x}, \omega)\| d\mu(\omega) < \varepsilon$$

Finally, combining (7), (13), and (14), we get

$$\left\| F(\mathbf{x}) - \int f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) d\mu(\omega) \right\| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this proves the theorem. \square

Some of the work on this paper was done during a visit of the second author to the University of Alberta. We would like to thank the referee whose helpful remarks and suggestions considerably improved this paper.

REFERENCES

- [AA02] Y.A. Abramovich and C.D. Aliprantis, *An invitation to operator theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 50, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [AB06] C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, Reprint of the 1985 original.
- [DGTJ84] W.J. Davis, D.J.H. Garling, and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *The complex convexity of quasinormed linear spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **55** (1984), no. 1, 110–150.
- [DU77] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15.
- [Kal12] N.J. Kalton, *Hermitian operators on complex Banach lattices and a problem of Garth Dales*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **86** (2012), no. 3, 641–656.
- [LT79] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979, Function spaces.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA,
EDMONTON, AB, T6G 2G1, CANADA.

Email address: troitsky@ualberta.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, İSTANBUL KÜLTÜR UNIVERSITY,
BAKIRKÖY 34156, İSTANBUL, TURKEY

Email address: m.turer@iku.edu.tr