

Lecture 8

The Puzzle-Mad Kidnapper (7.1 of Ecco): Baskerhound has kidnapped the son of a wealthy heiress and has sent her the following message.

“I am thinking of a number between 1 and 2000. If you can determine what that number is in 15 or fewer questions, I will release your son. Otherwise I will kill him. I will answer each question with a yes or a no. But beware, I may lie once. Also, I will answer your questions only after you have asked all of them.”

Consider the following questions.



1. If Baskerhound does not lie, how can we solve the problem with 11 questions?
2. How can we extend our solution with 11 questions to a solution with 33 questions when Baskerhound may lie once?
3. How can we extend our solution with 11 questions to a solution with 23 questions when Baskerhound may lie once?
4. How can we solve the problem?

Counting in binary:

Binary Number System	Decimal Number System
1	$1 = 1 \cdot 2^0$
10	$2 = 1 \cdot 2^1 + 0 \cdot 2^0$
11	$3 = 1 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0$
100	$4 = 1 \cdot 2^2 + 0 \cdot 2^1 + 0 \cdot 2^0$
101	$5 = 1 \cdot 2^2 + 0 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0$
110	$6 = 1 \cdot 2^2 + 1 \cdot 2^1 + 0 \cdot 2^0$
111	$7 = 1 \cdot 2^2 + 1 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0$
⋮	⋮
$b_n b_{n-1} \dots b_2 b_1 b_0$	$\sum_{i=0}^n b_i 2^i$

Single error correcting codes

Definition 1: The *Triple Repetition Code* (published in 1950 by Alt) is a code which sends the same message 3 times. It can correct one corrupted symbol and detect up to two corrupted symbols.

Example 4: You have received the following codeword from the Triple Repetition Code, if necessary correct the codeword. Up to one symbol could be corrupted.

10101
 10111
 10101

The codeword sent was

1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	1

} Message is 10101

Definition 2: The efficiency of a code is given by the following calculation:

$$\text{efficiency} = \frac{\text{"codeword length"} - \text{"number of check digits"}}{\text{"codeword length"}} = \frac{\text{"message length"}}{\text{"codeword length"}}$$

Example 5: What is the efficiency of the triple repetition code?

$$\text{eff} = \frac{15 - 10}{15} = \frac{5}{15} \quad (\text{There are } \underline{10} \text{ check digits})$$

Example 6: Ask the questions that solve part 2 of the puzzle-mad kidnapper problem.

Repeat binary rep in Example 3 3 times

Ranking the Triple Repetition Code

Efficiency: 5/15



Likeability:

Overall Math Quality:



Definition 3: *Liu's Grid Code* (discovered in 1996 by a grade 6 student in Taipei, Taiwan) places the message bit in a 3 by 3 grid with an additional check digit at the end of each row and each column. The check digits are chosen so that each row and each column have an even number of 1's. This code can correct one corrupted symbol and detect up to two corrupted symbols.

Example 9: You have received the following codeword from Liu's Grid Code, if necessary correct the codeword. Up to one symbol could be corrupted.

1	1	1	1	✓	4
1	0	1	0	✓	2
0	1	0	1	✓	2
0	0	0			
✓	✓	✓			
2	2	2			

No corrupted symbols

1	0	0	1	✓	2
0	1	0	0	x	1
1	0	1	0	✓	2
0	1	0			
-	-	x			
2	2	1			

The message is
1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1

1	1	1	1	✓	4
0	1	1	0	✓	2
0	0	1	1	✓	2
1	0	0			
✓	✓	x			
2	2	3			

The message is
1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1
but the check digit was corrupted.

Ranking for Liu's Grid Code

Efficiency: 9/15




Likeability:

Overall Math Quality: ★★★★★

Definition 4: *Liu's Star Code* (discovered in 1997 by the same student in Taipei, Taiwan) places 10 message bits on the intersection points of a star made with 5 lines. Each of the five lines are extended beyond the star to make room for 5 check digits. The check digits are chosen so that each line in the star has an even number of 1's. This code can correct one corrupted symbol and detect up to two corrupted symbols.

Example 10: You have received the following codeword from Liu's Star Code, if necessary correct the codeword. Up to one symbol could be corrupted.



Ranking for Liu's Star Code

Efficiency: $\frac{10}{15}$




Likeability:

Overall Math Quality: ★★★★★

Example 11: Liu's Star Code can be represented in different way without using a star. In this representation the subsets of the set $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ are used. Each subset of size two represents a message bit m_i while each subset of size one represents a check digit c_j . Find a correspondence between the two representations:

a	a	a	a								a				
b				b	b	b						b			
	c			c			c	c					c		
		d			d		d		d					d	
			e			e		e	e						e
m_{10}	m_9	m_8	m_7	m_6	m_5	m_4	m_3	m_2	m_1		c_1	c_2	c_3	c_4	c_5



Leads to the Hamming code.

The Hamming Code:

- Is a binary code that has codewords of length $2^k - 1$ (1,3,7,15 ...), where k is the number of check digits.
 $\# \text{ Info bits} = 2^k - 1 - k = 2^k - (k+1)$
- Assign each check digit a letter and write a different combination of these letters above each information digit. The check digits are chosen so there is an even number of 1's under every letter. For example:

a	a	a	a
b	b	b	b
c	c	c	c
0	1	0	1

info bits
ck bits determined by info bits

a	a	a	a
b	b	b	b
c	c	c	c
1	1	0	0

info bits
ck bits

a	a	a	a
b	b	b	b
c	c	c	c
0	0	0	1

info bits
ck bits

a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b
c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c
d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d	d
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1

Nonempty Subsets of {a,b,c,d}:

- {a,b,c,d}
{a,b,c}
{c,b,d}
{c,d}
{b,c,d}
{a,b}
{a,c}
{c,d}
{b,d}
{c,d}
- Size 4
Size 3
Size 2
- {a}
{b}
{c}
{d}
- Size 1

For example if $k=3$, length of a code word is $2^3 - 1 = 7$ and 3 of these bits are check bits.

Look at the nonempty subsets of the set $\{a, b, c\}$

- 3-element subsets: $\{a, b, c\}$
- 2-element subsets: $\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$
- 1-element subsets: $\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}$

Label bits according to these 7 nonempty subsets:

label	a	a	a	a		
info	b	b		b	← label check digits	
bits	c		c	c		
	0	1	0	1	1	0

Want:

Even # of 1's under each letter.

of 1's under a's : makes first check digit a 1
even

of 1's under b's : makes 2nd check digit a 1
even

of 1's under c's : makes 3rd check digit a 0
even

This allows us to correct one error.

- The Hamming Code can correct one corrupted digit. To do so find all letters that have an odd total of one's underneath them; afterwards switch the digit in the column containing these letters.

Error is in column labeled by a and c.

	↓			x	
a	a	a		a	
b	b		b		b
c		c	c		x c
0	1	1	0	1	1

Gets corrected to:

0	1	0	0	1	1	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Error is in column labeled by c.

a	a	a	a	↓
b	b		b	
c		c	c	x c
1	1	0	0	0

Gets corrected to:

1	1	0	0	0	0	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Error is in column labeled by a, b, and c

↓				x	
a	a	a	a	x	
b	b		b		x
c		c	c		c
1	0	0	1	0	1

Gets corrected to:

0	0	0	1	0	1	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Error is in column labeled by c and d.

		↓						x	
a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a		
b	b	b		b	b		b	x	
c	c		c	c	c	c	c		x c
d		d	d	d		d	d		d
0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

Gets corrected to:

0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Ranking the Hamming code

Efficiency: $\frac{11}{15}$



Likeability:

Overall Math Quality: ★★★★★

Example 12: Ask the questions that correspond to the hamming code:

position:	11 th	10 th	9 th	8 th	7 th	6 th	5 th	4 th	3 rd	2 nd	1 st	Check digits				
	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	┌───────────┐				
	a	a	a	a		a	a	a				a				
	b	b	b		b	b				b	b		b			
	c	c		c	c		c		c		c			c		
	d		d	d	d			d		d	d				d	
	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	12	13	14	15	

to solve part 4 of the puzzle-mad kidnapper problem.

• See the binary rep in Example 3 for Questions #1 through #11

- Q #12 $\bar{1}$ is # of 1's in positions 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 odd ← 1's under a's
- Q #13 $\bar{1}$ is # of 1's in positions 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11 odd ← 1's under b's
- Q #14 $\bar{1}$ is # of 1's in positions 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11 odd ← 1's under c's
- Q #15 $\bar{1}$ is # of 1's in positions 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11 odd ← 1's under d's

This Hamming code allows us to correct up to 1 error.

Basketball's number is then the binary number in positions 1 through 11.

In general, for a Hamming code with codewords of length $2^k - 1$, where k is the number of check bits, what is the efficiency?

$$\text{Eff} = \frac{\# \text{ bits in codeword} - \# \text{ check bits}}{\# \text{ bits in codeword}}$$

and for the Hamming code

$$\text{Eff} = \frac{2^k - 1 - k}{2^k - 1} = \frac{2^k - (k+1)}{2^k - 1} = 1 - \frac{k}{2^k - 1}$$

and as k gets very large, this number approaches 1.

Also, the Hamming code is optimal in the sense that there is no other single-error correcting code with code words of length $2^k - 1$ that has more code words.

(See Scarlet's notebook p. 29 for a proof)