Casimir Cascades in Two-Dimensional Turbulence

John C. Bowman (University of Alberta)

Acknowledgements: Jahanshah Davoudi (University of Toronto)

September 8, 2009

`www.math.ualberta.ca/∼bowman/talks`

Two-Dimensional Turbulence

- Navier–Stokes equation for vorticity $\omega = \widehat{\boldsymbol{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{u}$:

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \omega = -\nu \nabla^2 \omega + f.$$

- In Fourier space:

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial t} = S_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \nu k^2 \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} + f_{\boldsymbol{k}},$$

where $S_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \dfrac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{k}}{p^2} \omega_{\boldsymbol{p}}^* \omega_{-\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}}^*.$

- When $\nu = 0$ and $f_{\boldsymbol{k}} = 0$:

energy $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \dfrac{|\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2}{k^2}$ and enstrophy $Z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} |\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2$ are conserved.

Casimir Invariants

- Inviscid unforced two dimensional turbulence has uncountably many other Casimir invariants.

- Any continuously differentiable function of the (scalar) vorticity is conserved by the nonlinearity:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int f(\omega)\,d\boldsymbol{x} = \int f'(\omega)\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t}\,d\boldsymbol{x} = -\int f'(\omega)\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\omega\,d\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= -\int \boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}f(\omega)\,d\boldsymbol{x} = \int f(\omega)\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}\,d\boldsymbol{x} = 0.$$

- Do these invariants also play a fundamental role in the turbulent dynamics, in addition to the quadratic (energy and enstrophy) invariants? Do they exhibit cascades?

- Polyakov [1992] has suggested that the higher-order Casimir invariants cascade to large scales, while Eyink [1996] suggests that they might cascade to small scales.

High-Wavenumber Truncation

- Only the quadratic invariants survive high-wavenumber truncation (Montgomery calls them rugged invariants).

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial t} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}} \frac{\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{kpq}}}{q^2} \omega_{\boldsymbol{p}}^* \omega_{\boldsymbol{q}}^*.$$

where $\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{kpq}} = (\widehat{\boldsymbol{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{q}) \, \delta(\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{q})$.

- Enstrophy evolution:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} |\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}} \frac{\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{kpq}}}{q^2} \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}^* \omega_{\boldsymbol{p}}^* \omega_{\boldsymbol{q}}^* = 0.$$

- Invariance of $Z_3 = \int \omega^3 \, dx$ follows from:

$$0 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s}} \left[\sum_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}} \frac{\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{kpq}}}{q^2} \omega_{\boldsymbol{p}}^* \omega_{\boldsymbol{q}}^* \omega_{\boldsymbol{r}}^* \omega_{\boldsymbol{s}}^* + 2 \text{ other similar terms} \right].$$

- The absence of an explicit $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ in the first term means that setting $\omega_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = 0$ for $\ell > K$ breaks the symmetry in the summations!

- This means that high-wavenumber truncation destroys the invariance of Z_3.

- However, since the missing terms involve $\omega_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ and $\omega_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ for p and q higher than the truncation wavenumber K, one might expect almost exact invariance of Z_3 for a well-resolved simulation.

- We will show that this is indeed the case.

Enstrophy Balance

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial t} + \nu k^2 \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} = S_{\boldsymbol{k}} + f_{\boldsymbol{k}},$$

- Multiply by $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}^*$ and integrate over wavenumber angle $\Rightarrow$ enstrophy spectrum $Z(k)$ evolves as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Z(k) + 2\nu k^2 Z(k) = 2T(k) + G(k),$$

where $T(k)$ and $G(k)$ are the corresponding angular averages of $\mathrm{Re}\,\langle S_{\boldsymbol{k}}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}^* \rangle$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,\langle f_{\boldsymbol{k}}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}^* \rangle$.

Nonlinear Enstrophy Transfer Function

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Z(k) + 2\nu k^2 Z(k) = 2T(k) + G(k).$$

- Let

$$\Pi(k) \doteq 2 \int_k^\infty T(p)\, dp$$

  represent the nonlinear transfer of enstrophy into $[k, \infty)$.

- Integrate from k to ∞:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_k^\infty Z(p)\, dp = \Pi(k) - \epsilon_Z(k),$$

where $\epsilon_Z(k) \doteq 2\nu \int_k^\infty p^2 Z(p)\, dp - \int_k^\infty G(p)\, dp$ is the total enstrophy transfer, via dissipation and forcing, out of wavenumbers higher than k.

- A positive (negative) value for $\Pi(k)$ represents a flow of enstrophy to wavenumbers higher (lower) than k.

- When $\nu = 0$ and $f_{\boldsymbol{k}} = 0$:

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty Z(p)\,dp = 2 \int_0^\infty T(p)\,dp,$$

  so that

$$\Pi(k) = 2 \int_k^\infty T(p)\,dp = -2 \int_0^k T(p)\,dp.$$

- Note that $\Pi(0) = \Pi(\infty) = 0$.

- In a steady state, $\Pi(k) = \epsilon_Z(k)$.

- This provides an excellent numerical diagnostic for when a steady state has been reached.

Forcing at $k = 2$, friction for $k < 3$, viscosity for $k \geq k_H = 300$ (1023×1023 dealiased modes)

Cutoff viscosity $(k \geq k_H = 300)$

Cutoff viscosity $(k \geq k_H = 300)$

Molecular viscosity $(k \geq k_H = 0)$

Nonlinear Casimir Transfer

- Fourier decompose the fourth-order Casimir invariant
 $Z_4 = N^3 \sum_j \omega^4(x_j)$ in terms of N spatial collocation points x_j:

$$Z_4 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{p}} \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} \, \omega_{\boldsymbol{p}} \, \omega_{\boldsymbol{q}} \, \omega_{-\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}}.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} Z_4 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \left[S_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}} \omega_{\boldsymbol{p}} \, \omega_{\boldsymbol{q}} \, \omega_{-\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}} + 3\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}} S_{\boldsymbol{p}} \, \omega_{\boldsymbol{q}} \, \omega_{-\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}} \right]$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} Z_4 = N^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \left[S_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_j \omega^3(x_j) e^{2\pi i \boldsymbol{j}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}/N} + 3\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_j S(x_j)\omega^2(x_j) e^{2\pi i \boldsymbol{j}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}/N} \right]$$

$$\doteq \sum_k T_4(k). \qquad \text{Here } S_{\boldsymbol{k}} \text{ is the nonlinear source term in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}.$$

Dealiasing: 2/4 Zero Padding Rule

- Computing Z_4 requires computing a double convolution: the Fourier transform of the cubic quantity ω^3.

- Correctly dealiasing therefore requires a 2/4 zero padding rule (instead of the usual 2/3 rule for a quadratic convolution).

  $\Rightarrow$ even though a 2048×2048 pseudospectral simulation was used, the maximum physical wavenumber retained in each direction was 512.

Downscale Transfer of Z_4

Nonlinear transfer Π_4 of Z_4 averaged over $t \in [250, 740]$.

Vorticity Field

Transfer vs. Flux

- Distinguish between transfer and flux.

- The mean rate of enstrophy transfer to $[k, \infty)$ is given by

$$\Pi(k) = \int_k^\infty T(k) \, dk = -\int_0^k T(k) \, dk.$$

- In a steady state, $\Pi(k)$ will trivially be constant within a true inertial range.

- In contrast, the enstrophy flux through a wavenumber k is the amount of enstrophy transferred to small scales *via* triad interactions involving mode k.

Flux Decomposition for a Single $(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q})$ Triad


$$L_k = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{k}|=k \\ |\boldsymbol{p}|<k \\ |\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}|<k}} M_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{p}}\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{p}}\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}}\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}^* - \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{k}|=k \\ |\boldsymbol{p}|<k \\ |\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}|>k}} M_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}}\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}}\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{p}}^*.$$

• Note that energy is conserved: $L_k + S_k = T_k = -T_p - T_q$. Thus

Conclusions

- Even though higher-order Casimir invariants do not survive wavenumber truncation, it is possible, with sufficiently well resolved simulations, to check whether they cascade to large or small scales.

- We computed the transfer function of the globally integrated ω^4 inviscid invariant.

- Numerical evidence suggests that in the enstrophy inertial range there is a direct cascade of this invariant to small scales.

- However, for the globally integrated ω^3 inviscid invariant, we found no systematic cascade: it appears to slosh back and forth between the large and small scales. This is expected since ω^3 does not have a definite sign.

- One should distinguish between nonlocal transfer and flux. To compute this decomposition efficiently, one needs to develop a restricted Fast Fourier transform.

Asymptote: 2D & 3D Vector Graphics Language

Andy Hammerlindl, John C. Bowman, Tom Prince

`http://asymptote.sf.net`

(freely available under the GNU public license)

Asymptote Lifts TeX to 3D

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\alpha x^2}\, dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}$$

http://asymptote.sf.net

Kraichnan–Leith–Batchelor Theory

- In an infinite domain
  [Kraichnan 1967], [Leith 1968], [Batchelor 1969]:

    – large-scale $k^{-5/3}$ energy cascade;

    – small-scale k^{-3} enstrophy cascade.

- In a bounded domain, the situation may be quite different...

Long-Time Behaviour in a Bounded Domain

Tran and Bowman, PRE 69, 036303, 1–7 (2004).

References

[Batchelor 1969]   G. K. Batchelor, Phys. Fluids, **12 II**:233, 1969.

[Eyink 1996]   G. L. Eyink, Physica D., p. 97, 1996.

[Kraichnan 1967]   R. H. Kraichnan, Phys. Fluids, **10**:1417, 1967.

[Leith 1968]   C. E. Leith, Phys. Fluids, **11**:671, 1968.

[Polyakov 1992]   A. Polyakov, 1992, PUPT–1369.

[Tran & Bowman 2004] C. V. Tran & J. C. Bowman, Physical Review E, **69**:1, 2004.