

Math 655: Statistical Theories of Turbulence

Fall, 2015 Assignment 3

October 23, 2015 due November 20, 2015

1. (a) Let f and g be functions from $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with Fourier transforms $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $g_{\mathbf{k}}$, respectively. Prove in n dimensions that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \langle f_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}}^* \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell}} d\mathbf{k} = \int \langle f(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) g(\mathbf{r}) \rangle d\mathbf{r}.$$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \langle f_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}}^* \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell}} d\mathbf{k} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iiint \langle f(\mathbf{r}') g(\mathbf{r}) \rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}' + i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} + i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell}} d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{k} = \int \langle f(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) g(\mathbf{r}) \rangle d\mathbf{r}.$$

- (b) Consider the case where f and g are periodic on an n -dimensional cube V of side 2π and introduce the Fourier coefficients

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_V f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x}.$$

Use the discrete formulation of part(a) to derive the n -dimensional version of the *Wiener-Khinchin* formula [Frisch, p. 54].

Hints: The inverse Fourier theorem tells us that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}};$$

this result can be expressed either as

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')} = (2\pi)^n \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}').$$

or

$$\int_V e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x} = (2\pi)^n \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$$

The discrete Fourier version of part(a) reads

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle f_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}}^* \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_V \langle f(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) g(\mathbf{r}) \rangle d\mathbf{r}.$$

On setting $f = g$ and taking the discrete Fourier transform, we find

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int_V \langle |f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell} + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell}} d\boldsymbol{\ell} = \langle |f_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_V \int_V \langle f(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) f(\mathbf{r}) \rangle e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell}} d\mathbf{r} d\boldsymbol{\ell}$$

Under the assumption of homogeneity, we have $\langle f(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) f(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\ell})$. Since $\int_V d\mathbf{r} = (2\pi)^n$, we deduce

$$\langle |f_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\ell}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\ell}} d\boldsymbol{\ell}.$$

2. Show that even though Burgers equation,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2},$$

is nonlinear, it can be reduced to the solution of a (linear) heat equation by the transformation

$$u(x, t) = -2\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log h(x, t).$$

First, rewrite Burgers equation as

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}(u^2)_x = \nu u_{xx}.$$

We are asked to try to express the solution in terms of a potential $\phi = -2\nu \log h$ (which we assume to be C^2), such that $u = \phi_x$:

$$\phi_{xt} + \frac{1}{2}(\phi_x^2)_x = \nu \phi_{xxx}.$$

On integrating with respect to x , we find

$$\phi_t + \frac{1}{2}(\phi_x^2) = \nu \phi_{xx} + C(t),$$

where $C(t)$ is an unknown function of t . Now $\phi_t = -2\nu h_t/h$, $\phi_x = -2\nu h_x/h$ and

$$\phi_{xx} = \frac{2\nu}{h^2} h_x^2 - \frac{2\nu}{h} h_{xx}.$$

Thus

$$C(t) = \phi_t + \frac{1}{2}(\phi_x^2) - \nu \phi_{xx} = -\frac{2\nu h_t}{h} + \frac{2\nu^2 h_x^2}{h^2} - \frac{2\nu^2}{h^2} h_x^2 + \frac{2\nu^2}{h} h_{xx} = -\frac{2\nu h_t}{h} + \frac{2\nu^2}{h} h_{xx},$$

which simplifies to the linear equation

$$h_t + \frac{C(t)}{2\nu} h = \nu h_{xx}$$

If we let $H(x, t) = h(x, t)e^{\int C(t) dt/(2\nu)}$, we obtain a heat equation for $H(x, t)$,

$$H_t = \nu H_{xx},$$

which can then be solved to find $u(x, t)$:

$$u(x, t) = -2\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log h(x, t) = -2\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log H(x, t).$$

Note that u does not actually depend on the function $C(t)$.

This remarkable result is known as the Cole-Hopf transformation (Forsyth 1906, Cole 1950, Hopf 1952).

3. Let v_x represent any particular component of the velocity for homogeneous isotropic three-dimensional turbulence. Show that

$$\langle v_x^2 \rangle = \frac{2E}{3}, \quad \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{2}{15}Z,$$

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{5E}{Z}, \quad R_\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} \frac{E}{Z^{1/2}\nu},$$

where E is the turbulent energy, Z is the turbulent enstrophy,

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{\langle v_x^2 \rangle}{\left\langle \left(\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right\rangle} \right)^{1/2}$$

is the *Taylor microscale*, and $R_\lambda = \lambda\sqrt{\langle v_x^2 \rangle}/\nu$ is the *Taylor Reynolds number*.

Hints: Use the properties of the partial derivatives of the homogeneous isotropic correlation tensor $\Gamma_{kk'}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \langle v_k(\mathbf{x})v_{k'}(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle$. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial v_k(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_{k'}(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\ell})}{\partial x_{j'}} \right\rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_{j'}} \left\langle \frac{\partial v_k(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j} v_{k'}(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) \right\rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_{j'}} \left\langle \frac{\partial v_k(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\ell})}{\partial x_j} v_{k'}(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_{j'}} \langle v_k(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\ell})v_{k'}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_{j'}} \Gamma_{kk'}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, parity requires that $\Gamma_{kj}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \langle v_k(0)v_j(-\boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle = \langle v_j(0)v_k(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle = \Gamma_{jk}(\boldsymbol{\ell})$ and incompressibility implies that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_k} \Gamma_{jk}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_k} \Gamma_{kj}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = 0.$$

For isotropic turbulence $\langle v_x^2 \rangle = \langle v_y^2 \rangle = \langle v_z^2 \rangle$. We then see immediately that $2E = 3\langle v_x^2 \rangle$ and hence $\langle v_x^2 \rangle = 2E/3$.

On denoting $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\ell}$, $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}')$, and $\boldsymbol{\omega}' = \boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathbf{x}')$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2Z &= \langle \omega_i \omega'_i \rangle = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ij'k'} \left\langle \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v'_{k'}}{\partial x'_{j'}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v'_k}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v'_j}{\partial x_k} \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_j} \Gamma_{kk}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_k} \Gamma_{kj}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_j} \Gamma_{kk}(\boldsymbol{\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

The most general isotropic form for Γ_{ij} is

$$\Gamma_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = A(\boldsymbol{\ell})\delta_{ij} + B(\boldsymbol{\ell})\ell_i\ell_j,$$

but incompressibility implies that

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i} \Gamma_{ij}(\ell) = A'(\ell) \frac{\ell_i}{\ell} \delta_{ij} + B'(\ell) \ell \ell_j + B(\ell) (\delta_{ii} \ell_j + \ell_i \delta_{ij}) = \ell_j \left(\frac{A'}{\ell} + B' + 4B \right).$$

On multiplying by ℓ_j and summing over j , we find that $0 = A' + B'\ell^2 + 4B\ell$. By differentiating this result with respect to ℓ and taking the limit as $\ell \rightarrow 0$, we deduce

$$0 = A''(0) + 4B(0).$$

We need to find the Laplacian of $\Gamma_{ii}(\ell) = A(\ell)\delta_{ii} + B(\ell)\ell_i\ell_i = 3A(\ell) + B(\ell)\ell^2$.

That is, we want to compute

$$\begin{aligned} -2Z &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} \ell^2 \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ii}}{\partial \ell} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} (3A'\ell^2 + B'\ell^4 + 2B\ell^3) \\ &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\ell^2} (3A''\ell^2 + 6A'\ell + B''\ell^4 + 4B'\ell^3 + 2B'\ell^3 + 6B\ell^2) = 9A''(0) + 6B(0) = \frac{15}{2}A''(0), \end{aligned}$$

on noting that $A'(0) = 0$, since $A(\ell)$ is an even function, and $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} A'(\ell)/\ell = A''(0)$.

Finally, we note that since

$$-\left\langle \left(\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right\rangle = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \ell^2} \Gamma_{00}(\ell \hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \ell^2} (A + B\ell^2) = A''(0) + 2B(0) = \frac{A''(0)}{2},$$

it follows that $2Z = 15 \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right\rangle$.

The square of the Taylor microscale is then given by

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{\langle v_x^2 \rangle}{\frac{2Z}{15}} = \frac{5E}{Z},$$

so that the Taylor Reynolds number is given by

$$\frac{1}{\nu} \sqrt{\frac{5E}{Z}} \sqrt{\frac{2E}{3}} = \sqrt{\frac{10}{3Z}} \frac{E}{\nu}.$$

4. A three-dimensional fluid subject to periodic boundary conditions is stirred by an isotropic Gaussian *white-noise* solenoidal force \mathbf{f} :

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f},$$

such that the Fourier transform $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}$ of \mathbf{f} satisfies $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = 0$ and

$$\langle \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}'}^*(t') \rangle = F_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta(t - t'),$$

Compute the mean rate of energy injection per unit volume ϵ in terms of $F_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Hint: A solenoidal white-noise Gaussian forcing \mathbf{f}_k has the form $\mathbf{f}_k(t) = N_k \left(\mathbf{1} - \frac{k\mathbf{k}}{k^2} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_k(t)$, where N_k is real and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_k$ is a unit central complex Gaussian random 3-vector: $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_k(t) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k'}^*(t') \rangle = \delta_{kk'} \mathbf{1} \delta(t - t')$. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{f}_k(t) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k'}^*(t') \rangle &= N_k N_{k'} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \right) \langle \xi_{kj}(t) \xi_{k'j'}^*(t') \rangle \left(\delta_{j'i} - \frac{k'_{j'} k'_i}{k'^2} \right) \\ &= N_k^2 \delta_{k,k'} \delta(t - t') \left(\mathbf{1} - \frac{k\mathbf{k}}{k^2} \right) : \left(\mathbf{1} - \frac{k\mathbf{k}}{k^2} \right) = 2N_k^2 \delta_{k,k'} \delta(t - t'). \end{aligned}$$

We then see that $2N_k^2 = F_k^2$ (the factor of 2 signifies that there are only two independent directions, once the incompressibility constraint has been taken into account).

Since the nonlinear (advective and pressure) terms conserve energy, the energy balance reads

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2V} \int \frac{\partial |\mathbf{u}|^2}{\partial t} d\mathbf{x} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{V} \int \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} \cdot \mathbf{u} d\mathbf{x} \right\rangle = \epsilon - \left\langle \frac{1}{V} \int \nu |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right\rangle,$$

where V denotes the volume of the periodic box and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \frac{1}{V} \int \langle \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle d\mathbf{x} = \text{Re} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{f}_k(t) \cdot \mathbf{u}_k^*(t) \rangle \\ &= \text{Re} \sum_{k,k'} \int \langle \mathbf{f}_k(t) \mathbf{f}_{k'}^*(t') \rangle : \left\langle \frac{\delta \mathbf{u}_k^*(t)}{\delta \mathbf{f}_{k'}^*(t')} \right\rangle dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Following Novikov [1964], we express

$$\mathbf{u}_k(t) = \mathbf{u}_k(t') + \int_{t'}^t A_k[\mathbf{u}(\bar{t})] d\bar{t} + \int_{t'}^t \mathbf{f}_k(\bar{t}) d\bar{t}$$

in terms of some unknown functional A_k of the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(t)$ at time t . If A_k has a bounded functional derivative, we can compute the nonlinear Green's function

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{u}_k(t)}{\delta \mathbf{f}_{k'}(t')} = \int_{t'}^t \frac{\delta A_k[\mathbf{u}(\bar{t})]}{\delta \mathbf{f}_{k'}(t')} d\bar{t} + \int_{t'}^t \delta_{kk'} \mathbf{1} \delta(\bar{t} - t') d\bar{t} = \int_{t'}^t \frac{\delta A_k[\mathbf{u}(\bar{t})]}{\delta \mathbf{f}_{k'}(t')} d\bar{t} + \delta_{kk'} \mathbf{1} H(t - t').$$

Since $H(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\epsilon = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} N_k^2 \left(\mathbf{1} - \frac{k\mathbf{k}}{k^2} \right) : \left(\mathbf{1} - \frac{k\mathbf{k}}{k^2} \right) H(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} 2N_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} F_k^2.$$

5. Consider the two-point velocity increment correlation tensor

$$B_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \langle (v_i(\mathbf{r}) - v_i(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}))(v_j(\mathbf{r}) - v_j(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell})) \rangle$$

of an incompressible three-dimensional homogeneous and isotropic turbulent velocity field.

(a) Using the fact that the most general isotropic second-rank tensor can be written in the form

$$B_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = A(\ell)\delta_{ij} + B(\ell)n_in_j,$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \boldsymbol{\ell}/\ell$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\boldsymbol{\ell}$, show that

$$B_{ij} = B_{TT}(\delta_{ij} - n_in_j) + B_{LL}n_in_j,$$

where B_{LL} is the longitudinal mean-square velocity increment (corresponding to the direction of $\boldsymbol{\ell}$) and B_{TT} is the transverse mean-square velocity increment (corresponding to the directions transverse to $\boldsymbol{\ell}$).

Since $n_L = 1$ and $n_T = 0$ we see that $B_{LL} = A + B$ and $B_{TT} = A$. Hence

$$B_{ij} = B_{TT}\delta_{ij} + (B_{LL} - B_{TT})n_in_j,$$

(b) Show that

$$B_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \frac{2}{3} \langle v^2 \rangle \delta_{ij} - 2 \langle v_i(\mathbf{r})v_j(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle$$

and hence

$$\frac{\partial B_{ij}}{\partial \ell_j} = 0.$$

Using homogeneity and the parity symmetry $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow -\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow -\mathbf{v}$, we simplify

$$\begin{aligned} B_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) &= \langle v_i(\mathbf{r})v_j(\mathbf{r}) \rangle - \langle v_i(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell})v_j(\mathbf{r}) \rangle - \langle v_i(\mathbf{r})v_j(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle + \langle v_i(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell})v_j(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle \\ &= 2 \langle v_i(\mathbf{0})v_j(\mathbf{0}) \rangle - 2 \langle v_i(\mathbf{r})v_j(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the parity symmetry $x \rightarrow -x$, $v_x \rightarrow -v_x$ implies that $\langle v_x(\mathbf{0})v_y(\mathbf{0}) \rangle = -\langle v_x(\mathbf{0})v_y(\mathbf{0}) \rangle$, etc., so that $\langle v_i(\mathbf{0})v_j(\mathbf{0}) \rangle = 2E\delta_{ij}/3$ (no summation), using our first result from Question 3. Since $E = \frac{1}{2} \langle v^2 \rangle$, we conclude that

$$B_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \frac{2}{3} \langle v^2 \rangle \delta_{ij} - 2 \langle v_i(\mathbf{0})v_j(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \rangle$$

It is now clear that the incompressibility condition $\partial v_j(\boldsymbol{\ell})/\partial \ell_j = 0$ implies that $\partial B_{ij}/\partial \ell_j = 0$.

(c) Show that

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} (\ell^2 B_{LL}) = 2B_{TT}.$$

Show that your result agrees with Eq. (12) in Kolmogorov's first 1941 paper, *The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fluid for very large Reynolds numbers*. Note: The notation that Kolmogorov uses for the transverse and longitudinal components is defined in his third paper, *Dissipation of energy in the locally isotropic turbulence*. Kolmogorov used this result to calculate an expression for the mean energy dissipation rate in terms of the viscosity and the second derivative of B_{LL} .

On multiplying our result in part (a) by n_i and summing over i , we find

$$n_i B_{ij} = B_{TT}(n_j - n_j) + B_{LL}n_j = B_{LL}n_j$$

On differentiating both sides with respect to ℓ_j we find

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial \ell_j} B_{ij} + n_i \frac{\partial B_{ij}}{\partial \ell_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_j} (B_{LL}n_j).$$

From part(c), we know that the second term on the left-hand side vanishes. Also,

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial \ell_j} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\ell} - \frac{\ell_i \ell_j}{\ell^2} = \frac{1}{\ell} (\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j).$$

In particular $\partial n_j / \partial \ell_j = 2/\ell$. Using part(a), we then find that

$$\frac{1}{\ell} (\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) [B_{TT}(\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) + B_{LL}n_i n_j] = \frac{\partial B_{LL}}{\partial \ell} n_j n_j + \frac{2}{\ell} B_{LL}.$$

On using the facts that

$$\sum_{ij} (\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) (\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) = \sum_i (1 - n_i^2 - n_i^2) + \sum_i n_i^2 \sum_j n_j^2 = 3 - 1 - 1 + 1 = 2$$

and

$$\sum_{ij} (\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) n_i n_j = \sum_i n_i^2 - \sum_i n_i^2 \sum_j n_j^2 = 1 - 1 = 0,$$

we finally obtain Kolmogorov's result ($-\bar{B}$ in Kolmogorov's notation translates to $B_{TT} - B_{LL}$):

$$\frac{2}{\ell} B_{TT} = \frac{\partial B_{LL}}{\partial \ell} + \frac{2}{\ell} B_{LL} = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell} (\ell^2 B_{LL}).$$