## Math 373: Mathematical Programming and Optimization I Fall, 2023 Assignment 2 October 6, due October 26

1. Let P be a convex polyhedron. Complete the proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem 1**: A point  $\mathbf{x} \in P$  is an extreme point of P if and only if the set  $P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$  (the set obtained by removing  $\mathbf{x}$  from P) is convex.

Proof: Let  $x \in P$ . Suppose  $P \setminus \{x\}$  is convex. Then it contains every convex combination of points  $y, z \in P \setminus \{x\}$ . Since x does not belong to  $P \setminus \{x\}$  it cannot be expressed as a convex combination of points y and z in  $P \setminus \{x\}$ . That is, x is an extreme point of P.

Suppose  $\boldsymbol{x} \in P$  is an extreme point of P. If  $P \setminus \{\boldsymbol{x}\}$  were not convex, there would exist points  $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z} \in P \setminus \{\boldsymbol{x}\} \subset P$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that  $t\boldsymbol{y} + (1 - t)\boldsymbol{z} \notin P \setminus \{\boldsymbol{x}\}$ . But since P is convex, we know that  $t\boldsymbol{y} + (1 - t)\boldsymbol{z} \in P$ . Thus  $t\boldsymbol{y} + (1 - t)\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{x}$ , contradicting the definition of an extreme point. Thus  $P \setminus \{\boldsymbol{x}\}$  must be convex.

2. Let  $\boldsymbol{x}$  be an element of the polyhedron  $P = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{x} \ge \boldsymbol{0}\}$ . Prove that a vector  $\boldsymbol{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a feasible direction at  $\boldsymbol{x}$  if and only if  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{0}$  and  $d_i \ge 0$  for every i such that  $x_i = 0$ .

If  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a feasible direction, then  $\boldsymbol{x} + t\boldsymbol{d} \in P$  for some positive scalar t. That is,  $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x} + t\boldsymbol{d}) = \boldsymbol{b}$  and  $\boldsymbol{x} + t\boldsymbol{d} \geq \boldsymbol{0}$ . Then  $t\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x} + t\boldsymbol{d}) - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{0}$ , so that  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{0}$ . Moreover, for each zero component  $x_i$ , the condition  $x_i + td_i \geq 0$  reduces to  $d_i \geq 0$ .

Conversely, if there exists a direction such that Ad = 0 and  $d_i \ge 0$  for every i such that  $x_i = 0$ , then A(x + td) = Ax + tAd = b + 0 = b for every real t. We are also given that  $x_i + td_i = td_i \ge 0$  for every component i such that  $x_i = 0$ . For those i for which  $x_i > 0$ , then unless  $d_i = 0$  (in which case  $x_i + td_i > 0$  for every t) let us enforce  $t \le x_i/|d_i| > 0$ , so that  $x_i + td_i \ge |td_i| + td_i \ge 0$ . On choosing  $t^* = \min_{\substack{i \\ x_i > 0, d_i \ne 0}} x_i/|d_i| > 0$ ,

we thus see that  $x + t^* d \ge 0$ . Hence d is a feasible direction at x.

3. Let  $\boldsymbol{x}$  be a basic feasible solution of a linear programming problem  $\Pi$  written in standard form, with associated basis matrix  $\boldsymbol{B}$  and set of nonbasic indices N. Let  $\boldsymbol{y}$  be any feasible solution to  $\Pi$  and consider the difference vector  $\boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}$ .

(a) Prove that  $d_j \ge 0$  for every  $j \in N$ .

For any feasible solution  $\boldsymbol{y}$  we have  $\boldsymbol{y} \geq \boldsymbol{0}$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a basic feasible solution, we know for each  $j \in N$  that  $x_j = 0$  and hence  $d_j = y_j - x_j \geq 0$ .

5

4

1

(b) If  $d_j = 0$  for every  $j \in N$ , prove that  $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{x}$ .

This would imply that

$$oldsymbol{0} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{d}_B + \sum_{j\in N}oldsymbol{A}_j d_j = oldsymbol{B}oldsymbol{d}_B.$$

The linear independence of the columns of B then implies that  $d_B = 0$  and hence d = 0, so that y = x.

(c) If the reduced cost  $\bar{c}_j$  of every nonbasic variable  $x_j$  is positive, use parts (a) and (b) to prove that  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is the unique optimal solution to  $\Pi$ .

2

1

1

Recall that  $\bar{c}_j$  is the rate of change along the *j*th simplex direction. That is, the change in cost on moving from  $\boldsymbol{x}$  to  $\boldsymbol{y}$  is

$$\boldsymbol{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{c}_{B}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{d}_{B} + \sum_{j\in N}c_{j}d_{j} = \sum_{j\in N}(c_{j} - c_{B}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}_{j})d_{j} = \sum_{j\in N}c_{j}d_{j}.$$

We know from part (a) that  $d_j \ge 0$ . Moreover, if  $\boldsymbol{y} \neq \boldsymbol{x}$ , we know from part (b) that  $d_j > 0$  for some  $j \in N$ . Given  $\bar{c}_j > 0$  for each  $j \in N$ , we see that

$$oldsymbol{c}^{\intercal}oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{c}^{\intercal}oldsymbol{x} = \sum_{j\in N} ar{c}_j d_j > 0.$$

Since this holds for every feasible vector  $\boldsymbol{y} \neq \boldsymbol{x}$ , we see that  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is the unique optimal solution.

(d) Suppose that  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a nondegenerate optimal solution to  $\Pi$ . If the reduced cost  $\bar{c}_j$  of some nonbasic variable  $x_j$  is zero at  $\boldsymbol{x}$ , prove that  $\Pi$  does not have a unique optimal solution.

Let d' be the *j*th simplex direction. Since x is nondegenerate, we know that the solution y = x + td' is feasible for some t > 0. From the definition of the *j*th simplex direction, we see that

$$\boldsymbol{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{x} = t\bar{c}_j d'_j = 0.$$

That is, y is a distinct feasible solution with the same optimal cost as x.