

Math 373: Mathematical Programming and Optimization I

Fall, 2024 Assignment 1

September 24, due October 7

1. Suppose that (x_1, x_2, x_3) is a feasible solution to the linear programming problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && 4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \\ &\text{subject to} && x_1 - x_2 \geq 3, \\ &&& 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \geq 4, \\ &&& x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let y_1 and y_2 be non-negative numbers.

- (a) Show that

$$x_1(y_1 + 2y_2) + x_2(-y_1 + y_2) + x_3y_2 \geq 3y_1 + 4y_2.$$

1

On summing the first constraint multiplied by y_1 with the second constraint multiplied by y_2 , we obtain

$$y_1(x_1 - x_2) + y_2(2x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \geq 3y_1 + 4y_2.$$

The desired result then follows on rearranging to isolate x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 .

- (b) Find constraints on y_1 and y_2 so that

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \geq x_1(y_1 + 2y_2) + x_2(-y_1 + y_2) + x_3y_2$$

at every feasible solution (x_1, x_2, x_3) .

1

We require that $y_1 + 2y_2 \leq 4$, $-y_1 + y_2 \leq 2$, and $y_2 \leq 1$.

- (c) Use parts (a) and (b) to find a lower bound to the optimal cost in terms of only the variables y_1 and y_2 .

1

By transitivity, we find

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \geq x_1(y_1 + 2y_2) + x_2(-y_1 + y_2) + x_3y_2 \geq 3y_1 + 4y_2.$$

- (d) Formulate the linear programming problem in the variables (y_1, y_2) that determines the **largest possible value** for the lower bound to the optimal cost found in part (c).

1

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize} && 3y_1 + 4y_2 \\ &\text{subject to} && y_1 + 2y_2 \leq 4, \\ &&& -y_1 + y_2 \leq 2, \\ &&& y_2 \leq 1, \\ &&& y_1, y_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is known as the *dual problem* to the original linear programming problem.

2. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on an interval I . Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex increasing (but not necessarily differentiable) function on \mathbb{R} . Show that $f \circ g$ is convex on I .

Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in I$. Since g is convex on \mathbb{R} we know that

$$g(t\mathbf{p} + (1-t)\mathbf{q}) \leq tg(\mathbf{p}) + (1-t)g(\mathbf{q}).$$

Since f is increasing and convex on \mathbb{R} ,

$$f(g(t\mathbf{p} + (1-t)\mathbf{q})) \leq f(tg(\mathbf{p}) + (1-t)g(\mathbf{q})) \leq tf(g(\mathbf{p})) + (1-t)f(g(\mathbf{q}))$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence $f \circ g$ is convex on \mathbb{R} .

3

3. Let P be a convex polyhedron. Complete the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1: *A point $\mathbf{x} \in P$ is an extreme point of P if and only if the set $P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$ (the set obtained by removing \mathbf{x} from P) is convex.*

Proof: Let $\mathbf{x} \in P$. Suppose $P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$ is convex. Then it contains every convex combination of points $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$. Since \mathbf{x} does not belong to $P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$ it cannot be expressed as a convex combination of points \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} in $P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$. That is, \mathbf{x} is an extreme point of P .

4

Suppose $\mathbf{x} \in P$ is an extreme point of P . If $P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$ were not convex, there would exist points $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \subset P$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $t\mathbf{y} + (1-t)\mathbf{z} \notin P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$. But since P is convex, we know that $t\mathbf{y} + (1-t)\mathbf{z} \in P$. Thus $t\mathbf{y} + (1-t)\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}$, contradicting the definition of an extreme point. Thus $P \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$ must be convex.

5