

MATH 436 FALL 2012 HOMEWORK 2

DUE OCT. 11 IN CLASS

Note. All problem numbers refer to “Updated” version of lecture note.

- **Ex. 2.2.** Find the solution of the following Cauchy problems.

a) $x u_x + y u_y = 2 x y$, with $u = 2$ on $y = x^2$.

b) $u u_x - u u_y = u^2 + (x + y)^2$ with $u = 1$ on $y = 0$.

Solution.

a) We have

$$\frac{dx}{x} = \frac{dy}{y} = \frac{du}{2xy}. \quad (1)$$

The first equality gives $x dy = y dx \implies d(y/x) = 0$ so $\Phi = y/x$. The second inequality gives $2x dy = du$ which together with $x dy = y dx$ gives $du = d(xy)$. Therefore $\Psi = u - xy$. Finally the general solution is given by

$$F(y/x, u - xy) = 0 \implies u = xy + f(y/x). \quad (2)$$

Applying the initial condition, we have

$$2 = x x^2 + f(x) \implies f(x) = 2 - x^3. \quad (3)$$

Therefore the solution is

$$u = xy + 2 - \frac{y^3}{x^3}. \quad (4)$$

b) We have

$$\frac{dx}{u} = \frac{dy}{-u} = \frac{du}{u^2 + (x + y)^2}. \quad (5)$$

The first = gives $d(x + y) = 0$. Taking advantage of this we have

$$\frac{dx}{u} = \frac{du}{u^2 + (x + y)^2} \implies 2 dx = \frac{d(u^2)}{u^2 + (x + y)^2} \implies d(2x - \ln[u^2 + (x + y)^2]) = 0 \quad (6)$$

Therefore the general solution is

$$F(x + y, 2x - \ln[u^2 + (x + y)^2]) = 0. \quad (7)$$

This simplifies to

$$\ln[u^2 + (x + y)^2] = 2x - f(x + y). \quad (8)$$

Applying the initial condition we have

$$\ln[1 + x^2] = 2x - f(x) \implies f(x) = 2x - \ln[1 + x^2]. \quad (9)$$

So finally the solution is

$$\ln[u^2 + (x + y)^2] = \ln[1 + (x + y)^2] - 2y \quad (10)$$

which simplifies to

$$u^2 + (x + y)^2 = e^{-2y} [1 + (x + y)^2]. \quad (11)$$

- **Ex. 2.4.** Consider a quasi-linear equation

$$a(x, y, u) u_x + b(x, y, u) u_y = c(x, y, u) \quad (12)$$

(without specifying any initial conditions). Let $u_1(x, y)$, $u_2(x, y)$ be two solutions. Assume that the surfaces $u - u_1(x, y) = 0$ and $u - u_2(x, y) = 0$ intersect along a curve Γ in the xyu space. Show that Γ must be a characteristic curve.

Proof. Let Γ be parametrized by s , that is $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} x_0(s) \\ y_0(s) \\ u_0(s) \end{pmatrix}$. Since u_1, u_2 are different solutions, we have $\begin{pmatrix} u_{1x} \\ u_{1y} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} u_{2x} \\ u_{2y} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ except may be at isolated points along Γ . This implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_0 \\ \dot{y}_0 \\ \dot{u}_0 \end{pmatrix} // \left[\begin{pmatrix} u_{1x} \\ u_{1y} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} u_{2x} \\ u_{2y} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] // \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Therefore Γ must be a characteristic curve. \square

- **Ex. 2.7.** Show that the initial value problem

$$u_t + u_x = 0, \quad u = x \text{ on } x^2 + t^2 = 1 \quad (14)$$

has no solution. However, if the initial data are given only over the semicircle that lies in the half-plane $x + t \leq 0$, the solution exists but is not differentiable along the characteristic base curves that issue from the two end points of the semicircle.

Proof. The characteristic curves are $x - t = c$ with $u = \text{constant}$ along each curve. Therefore the problem does not have classical solution. On the other hand, if the initial condition is $u = x$ on $x^2 + t^2 = 1$, $x + t \leq 0$, then we can use $\tau = x - t$ to parametrize the initial curve and obtain

$$u_0(\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{2 - \tau^2} + \tau}{2}. \quad (15)$$

If we take $s = x + t$, the solution is $u(\tau, s) = \frac{\sqrt{2 - \tau^2} + \tau}{2}$ which gives $u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [(2 - (x - t))^2 + (x - t)]$. Observe that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 - \tau^2} + \tau} \left[\frac{-\tau}{\sqrt{2 - \tau^2}} + 1 \right] \quad (16)$$

which becomes ∞ at $\tau = \pm\sqrt{2}$. It is clear that u_x, u_t becomes ∞ at the end points of the semicircle.

Intuitively the reason is clear: Approaching the end points, the ratio between the distance between two characteristics and the distance along the semicircle of the two intersection points become larger and larger, approaching infinity. \square

- **Ex. 2.12.** Consider the wave equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = g(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = h(x). \quad (17)$$

Show that

- a) If we set $v(x, t) = u_t - u_x$, then v satisfies

$$v_t + v_x = 0, \quad v(x, 0) = h(x) - g'(x). \quad (18)$$

- b) Use method of characteristics to solve the v equation and then the u equation.
Show that the solution is given by the d'Alembert's formula

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [g(x+t) + g(x-t)] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} h(s) ds. \quad (19)$$

Solution. a) is obvious. For b), we first solve the v equation:

$$v_t + v_x = 0, \quad v(x, 0) = h(x) - g'(x) \quad (20)$$

to obtain

$$v(x, t) = h(x-t) - g'(x-t). \quad (21)$$

Now we solve

$$u_t - u_x = v = h(x-t) - g'(x-t), \quad u(x, 0) = g(x). \quad (22)$$

The characteristics are $x+t=c$. So we introduce new variables $\tau = x+t$, $s = x-t$. The equation becomes

$$\frac{du}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} [g'(s) - h(s)], \quad u_0(\tau) = g(\tau) \text{ along } x_0(\tau) = \tau, t_0(\tau) = 0. \quad (23)$$

which in the new variables becomes

$$\frac{du}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} [g'(s) - h(s)], \quad u_0(\tau, \tau) = g(\tau). \quad (24)$$

The solution is

$$u(s, \tau) = g(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\tau}^s h(\xi) d\xi + g(s) - g(\tau) \right] = \frac{g(\tau) + g(s)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^s h(\xi) d\xi. \quad (25)$$

This leads to

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [g(x+t) + g(x-t)] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} h(s) ds. \quad (26)$$

- **Ex. 2.18.** Solve (that is construct entropy solution for all t)

$$u_t + \left(\frac{u^4}{4} \right)_x = 0, \quad u(0, x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x < 0 \\ 0 & x > 0 \end{cases}. \quad (27)$$

Solution. The speed of the shock is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1/4 - 0}{1 - 0} = \frac{1}{4} \quad (28)$$

therefore the solution is

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & x < t/4 \\ 0 & x > t/4 \end{cases}. \quad (29)$$

- **Ex. 2.19.** Compute explicitly the unique entropy solution of

$$u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2} \right)_x = 0, \quad u(0, x) = g \quad (30)$$

for

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x < -1 \\ 0 & -1 < x < 0 \\ 2 & 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & x > 1 \end{cases}. \quad (31)$$

Draw a picture of your answer. Be sure to illustrate what happens for all times $t > 0$.

Solution. It is clear that initially we have two shocks and one rarefaction wave. The two shocks are

1. Starting from $(-1, 0)$ with slope 2,
2. Starting from $(1, 0)$ with slope $1/2$.

Note that after passing $(0, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$ both shocks are not straight anymore. Denote them by $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$. First consider $x_1(t)$.

When $t < 2$ we have $x_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}t - 1$. For $t \geq 2$ we have

$$\dot{x}_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_1}{t} + 1 \right), \quad x_1(2) = 0. \quad (32)$$

Now let $y(t) = x_1(t) - t$. We have

$$\dot{y}(t) = \dot{x}_1(t) - 1 = \frac{x_1}{2t} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{y}{2t} \implies y = Ct^{1/2}. \quad (33)$$

Now as $y(2) = x_1(2) - 2 = -2$, we have $C = -\sqrt{2}$. Thus

$$x_1(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}t - 1 & t \leq 2 \\ t - \sqrt{2}t^{1/2} & t > 2 \end{cases}. \quad (34)$$

For $x_2(t)$ we have

$$\dot{x}_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_2}{t} + 0 \right), \quad x_2(1) = 2. \quad (35)$$

Solving the equation we have

$$\ln x = \frac{1}{2} \ln t + C \implies x = Ct^{1/2}. \quad (36)$$

Using $x_2(1) = 2$ we have

$$C = 2. \quad (37)$$

Thus the right shock is

$$x_2(t) = \begin{cases} 2t & t \leq 1 \\ 2t^{1/2} & t > 1 \end{cases}. \quad (38)$$

Setting $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$ we see that the two shocks meet at the point $(2 + \sqrt{2}, 6 + 4\sqrt{2})$.

Finally, after $t = 6 + 4\sqrt{2}$, there is only one shock with speed $1/2$. To the left $u = 1$ and to the right $u = 0$.

- **Ex. 2.22.** Prove that

$$u(t, x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ x/t & 0 < x < t \\ 1 & x > t \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

is a weak solution to the problem

$$u_t + u u_x = 0, \quad u(0, x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ 1 & x > 0 \end{cases}. \quad (40)$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the following: Consider finitely many regions Ω_i such that $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$ and $\cup \Omega_i = \mathbb{R} \times \{t > 0\}$. Let $u(x, t)$ be such that $u_t + f(u)_x = 0$ in each Ω_i , continuous across every $\Gamma_{ij} := \bar{\Omega}_i \cap \bar{\Omega}_j$, and furthermore $u(x, t) \rightarrow u_0(x)$ at every x when $t \rightarrow 0$, then u is a weak solution.

Take any $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We have, using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint u \phi_t + f(u) \phi_x \, dx \, dt + \int u_0(x) \phi(x, 0) \, dx &= \sum_i \iint_{\Omega_i} u \phi_t + f(u) \phi_x \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad + \int u_0(x) \phi(x, 0) \, dx \\ &= -\sum_i \iint_{\Omega_i} (u_t + f(u)_x) \phi \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Gamma_{ij}} n_t [u] + n_x [f(u)] \, ds \\ &\quad - \sum_i \int_{\partial \Omega_i \cap \{t=0\}} u \phi \, dx \\ &\quad + \int u_0(x) \phi(x, 0) \, dx \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

thanks to the fact that u is continuous across Γ_{ij} , which means $[u] = 0$. \square