

## MATH 314 FALL 2013 HOMEWORK 1 SOLUTIONS

- There are 6 problems, each 5 points. Total 30 points.
- Please justify all your answers through proof or counterexample.

**Question 1.** *The Fibonacci numbers are defined through*

$$f_1 = 1, f_2 = 1, f_3 = 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

*and then through the general formula*

$$f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} \quad (2)$$

*for all  $n > 2$ . Prove using mathematical induction that for all  $n > 1$ ,*

$$f_1 + f_3 + \dots + f_{2n-1} = f_{2n}. \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** Let the statements  $P(n)$  be defined as

$$P(n) = \{f_1 + f_3 + \dots + f_{2n-1} = f_{2n}\} \quad (4)$$

- Prove  $P(2)$ : When  $n = 2$  we have

$$f_1 + f_3 = 3 = f_4. \quad (5)$$

- Prove  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ . Assume

$$f_1 + f_3 + \dots + f_{2n-1} = f_{2n}. \quad (6)$$

Adding  $f_{2n+1}$  to both sides we have

$$f_1 + f_3 + \dots + f_{2n-1} + f_{2n+1} = f_{2n} + f_{2n+1} = f_{2n+2} = f_{2(n+1)} \quad (7)$$

which is exactly  $P(n+1)$ . □

**Remark.** Note that the claim

$$f_1 + f_3 + \dots + f_{2n-1} = f_{2n}. \quad (8)$$

in fact holds for all  $n \geq 1$  since  $f_1 = f_2$ .

**Question 2.** *Let  $A, B$  be mathematical statements. Prove the following*

- $A \implies B$  and  $B \implies A$  are not equivalent;
- $A \implies B$  and  $\neg B \implies \neg A$  are equivalent.

**Proof.** We prove through truth table.

$A$	$B$	$A \implies B$	$B \implies A$	$\neg B \implies \neg A$	
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	(9)

We see that  $A \implies B$  and  $\neg B \implies \neg A$  take the same value in all cases while  $B \implies A$  is different. Therefore  $A \implies B$  and  $\neg B \implies \neg A$  are equivalent and  $A \implies B$  and  $B \implies A$  are not equivalent. □

**Question 3.** *Let  $P$  be a mathematical statement. If we know that  $(\neg P) \implies P$  is true, what can we say about  $P$  itself?*

**Solution.** Since there are only two possibilities:  $P$  true or  $P$  false, we list both:

- $P$  false. Then  $\neg P$  is true and  $(\neg P) \implies P$  is false.

- $P$  true. Then  $\neg P$  is false and  $(\neg P) \implies P$  is true.

Since we know  $(\neg P) \implies P$  is true,  $P$  has to be true.

**Question 4.** Let  $P(x), Q(x)$  be statements involving a variable  $x$ . Critique the following statement:

$$(\exists x P(x)) \wedge (\exists x Q(x)) \implies [\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))]. \quad (10)$$

If it is true, prove it; If it is false, give a counterexample.

**Solution.** The statement means:

If there is  $x$  such that the statement  $P(x)$  holds, and if there is  $x$  such that the statement  $Q(x)$  holds, then there is  $x$  such that both statements hold simultaneously.

This is false. For example take  $P(x)$  to be “ $x > 1$ ” and  $Q(x)$  to be “ $x < 1$ ”. Then both

$$\exists x \ x > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \exists x \ x < 1 \quad (11)$$

are true. But the statement

$$\exists x \ (x > 1) \wedge (x < 1) \quad (12)$$

is clearly false.

**Question 5.** Uniform continuity is defined as follows.

A real function  $f(x)$  is said to be uniformly continuous if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0 \ \forall x, y \text{ satisfying } |x - y| < \delta, \quad |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon. \quad (13)$$

Obtain its working negation “ $f$  is not uniformly continuous”.

**Solution.** It is

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \ \forall \delta > 0 \ \exists x, y \text{ satisfying } |x - y| < \delta, \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \geq \varepsilon. \quad (14)$$

**Question 6.** The following are facts:

A rainy Tuesday is necessary for a rainy Sunday; If Tuesday rains then Wednesday rains. Wednesday rains only if Friday rains. If Monday is sunny then Friday is sunny; A rainy Monday is sufficient for a rainy Saturday.

- Write the above facts using formal logic statements (Use  $A - G$  to denote the statements “Monday rains”, ..., “Sunday rains”.)
- If we know furthermore that it rains on Sunday. Can we say anything about Saturday? Explain.

**Solution.**

- Let the statements  $A, B, \dots, G$  be “Monday rains”, “Tuesday rains”, ... “Sunday rains”. Then  $\neg A, \neg B, \dots, \neg G$  stand for “Monday sunny”, “Tuesday sunny”, ... “Sunday sunny”.
  - A rainy Tuesday is necessary for a rainy Sunday:  $G \implies B$ ;
  - If Tuesday rains then Wednesday rains.  $B \implies C$ ;
  - Wednesday rains only if Friday rains.  $C \implies E$ ;
  - If Monday is sunny then Friday is sunny;  $\neg A \implies \neg E$ . Equivalent to  $E \implies A$ ;
  - A rainy Monday is sufficient for a rainy Saturday.  $A \implies F$ .

- Now we know  $G$ . We have

$$[G \wedge (G \implies B)] \implies B; \quad (15)$$

$$[B \wedge (B \implies C)] \implies C; \quad (16)$$

$$[C \wedge (C \implies E)] \implies E; \quad (17)$$

$$[E \wedge (E \implies A)] \implies A; \quad (18)$$

$$[A \wedge (A \implies F)] \implies F. \quad (19)$$

Therefore Saturday rains.

**Remark.** Note that “If” and “Only if” are opposite; “sufficient” and “necessary” are opposite. Therefore, since “ $B$  if  $A$ ”, “ $A$  is sufficient for  $B$ ” means  $A \implies B$ , “ $B$  only if  $A$ ”, “ $A$  is necessary for  $B$ ” means  $B \implies A$ .