

MATH 117 FALL 2014 LECTURE 37 (Nov. 12, 2014)

Read: Bowman §5.A, 314 Integration §1.

- Riemann Integration.
 - We define Riemann integral as follows.

DEFINITION 1. (PARTITION OF AN INTERVAL) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$. A partition of $[a, b]$ is a set $P \subseteq [a, b]$ such that *i.* P is finite, *ii.* $a, b \in P$.

Example 2. $\{0, 1\}$, $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\}$ are partitions of $[0, 1]$; $\{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$, $\{0, 1, 2\}$, $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\}$ are not partitions of $[0, 1]$.

DEFINITION 3. (UPPER/LOWER SUM) Let $f: [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Let P be a partition of $[a, b]$, denoted as $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ with $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$. Then we define the upper/lower sums as:

$$U(f, P) := \sum_{k=1}^n M_k(x_k - x_{k-1}); \quad L(f, P) := \sum_{k=1}^n m_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) \quad (1)$$

where

$$M_k := \sup_{[x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x), \quad m_k := \inf_{[x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x). \quad (2)$$

DEFINITION 4. (UPPER/LOWER INTEGRALS) Let $f: [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Define its upper/lower integrals as

$$U(f) := \inf_{P \text{ is a partition for } [a, b]} U(f, P); \quad L(f) := \sup_{P \text{ is a partition for } [a, b]} L(f, P). \quad (3)$$

DEFINITION 5. (RIEMANN INTEGRABILITY) Let $f: [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Then f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if $U(f) = L(f) \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case the common value is called the Riemann integral of f over $[a, b]$ (or from a to b) and denoted $\int_a^b f(x) dx$.

- Examples.

Example 6. Prove that $f(x) = 1$ is integrable on $[0, 1]$ and find the integral.

Proof. Let $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be an arbitrary partition with $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$. Then for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\sup_{[x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x) = \inf_{[x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x) = 1. \quad (4)$$

Thus

$$U(f, P) = 1; \quad L(f, P) = 1. \quad (5)$$

As this holds for every partition P , we further have

$$U(f) = 1 = L(f). \quad (6)$$

Thus by definition f is integrable with $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 1$. □

Example 7. Prove that $D(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$ is not integrable on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be an arbitrary partition with $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$. Then for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\sup_{[x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x) = 1; \quad \inf_{[x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Consequently

$$U(f, P) = 1, \quad L(f, P) = 0. \quad (8)$$

As this holds for every partition P , we conclude

$$U(f) = 1, \quad L(f) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Since $1 \neq 0$ $D(x)$ is not integrable on $[0, 1]$. \square

Exercise 1. Prove by definition the integrability of $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$ on $[-1, 1]$ and find $\int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx$.

- o Refinement of partition.

DEFINITION 8. Let P, Q be partitions of $[a, b]$. Say Q refines P if and only if $P \subseteq Q$.

Example 9. $\{0, 1\}, \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\right\}$ are both partitions of $[0, 1]$ and the latter refines the former.

LEMMA 10. Let $f: [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and P, Q be partitions of $[a, b]$ with $P \subseteq Q$. Then

$$U(f, P) \geq U(f, Q); \quad L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q). \quad (10)$$

Proof. We prove the first one and leave the second one as exercise.

Denote $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ and $Q = \{y_0, \dots, y_m\}$. As $P \subseteq Q$, $Q - P$ consists of $k = m - n$ elements, we denote them by z_1, \dots, z_k . Now define

$$Q_1 = P \cup \{z_1\}, \quad Q_2 = P \cup \{z_1, z_2\}, \quad \dots, \quad Q_{k-1} = P \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}\}. \quad (11)$$

It suffices to prove

$$U(f, P) \geq U(f, Q_1) \geq U(f, Q_2) \geq \dots \geq U(f, Q_{k-1}) \geq U(f, Q). \quad (12)$$

It is clear now that it suffices to prove the following: Let $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ be an arbitrary partition of $[a, b]$. Let $\tilde{x} \in [a, b]$ be different from x_0, \dots, x_n , then

$$U(f, P) \geq U(f, P \cup \{\tilde{x}\}). \quad (13)$$

Let $l \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ be such that $\tilde{x} \in (x_l, x_{l+1})$. Then as

$$\sup_{[x_l, x_{l+1}]} f(x) \geq \sup_{[x_l, \tilde{x}]} f(x); \quad \sup_{[x_l, x_{l+1}]} f(x) \geq \sup_{[\tilde{x}, x_{l+1}]} f(x) \quad (14)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - U(f, P \cup \{\tilde{x}\}) &= \left(\sup_{[x_l, x_{l+1}]} f(x) \right) \cdot (x_{l+1} - x_l) \\ &\quad - \left(\sup_{[x_l, \tilde{x}]} f(x) \right) \cdot (\tilde{x} - x_l) \\ &\quad - \left(\sup_{[\tilde{x}, x_{l+1}]} f(x) \right) \cdot (x_{l+1} - \tilde{x}) \\ &\geq \left(\sup_{[x_l, x_{l+1}]} f(x) \right) \cdot [(x_{l+1} - x_l) - (\tilde{x} - x_l) - (x_{l+1} - \tilde{x})] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Thus ends the proof. \square