

SECOND-ORDER HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

We consider the initial/boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + Lu = f & \text{in } U_T \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial U \times [0, T] \\ u = g & \text{on } U \times \{t=0\} \\ u_t = h & \text{on } U \times \{t=0\} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here  $L$  is again the second-order elliptic operator in the divergence or nondivergence forms.

**1. Weak solutions.**

**1.1. Definition.**

We assume

$$a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^1(\bar{U}_T), \quad f \in L^2(U_T), \quad g \in H_0^1(U), \quad h \in L^2(U). \quad (2)$$

Define the bilinear form

$$B[u, v; t] := \int_U \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(\cdot, t) u_{x_i} v_{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n b^i(\cdot, t) u_{x_i} v + c(\cdot, t) u v \right] dx. \quad (3)$$

**Definition 1. (Weak solutions)** We say a function

$$u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(U)), \quad \text{with } u' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(U)), \quad u'' \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(U)) \quad (4)$$

is a weak solution of the hyperbolic initial/boundary-value problem provided that it satisfies the initial conditions, and

$$\langle u'', v \rangle + B[u, v; t] = (f, v) \quad (5)$$

for each  $v \in H_0^1(U)$  and a.e. time  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

**1.2. Existence.**

As in the parabolic case, we use Galerkin's method. The procedure is very similar to the parabolic case. See Evans pp.380–385 for details.

**1.3. Uniqueness.**

The proof for uniqueness is different from the parabolic case. The main reason is the following. Ideally, we would like to take  $u'$  to be the test function and have

$$(u'', u') + B[u, u'; t] = 0 \quad (6)$$

which leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|u'\|_{L^2(U)}^2 + A[u, u; t]) \leq C (\|u'\|_{L^2(U)}^2 + A[u, u; t]) \quad (7)$$

where

$$A[u, u; t] := \int_U \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j}. \quad (8)$$

And Gronwall's inequality would lead to  $u \equiv 0$  and uniqueness follows.

The problem here is that, as  $u' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(U))$  instead of  $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(U))$ , we cannot use it as a test function.

**Proof. (of uniqueness)** Fix  $0 \leq s \leq T$  and set

$$v(t) := \begin{cases} \int_t^s u(\tau) d\tau & 0 \leq t \leq s \\ 0 & s \leq t \leq T \end{cases}. \quad (9)$$

Then  $v(t) \in H_0^1(U)$  for each  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and can be used as a test function. Plug into the equation, we have

$$\langle u'', v \rangle + B[u, v; t] = 0. \quad (10)$$

Integrate from 0 to  $s$  and then integrate the first term, we have

$$\int_0^s -(u', v') + B[u, v; t] dt = 0. \quad (11)$$

For  $0 \leq t \leq s$ , by definition  $v' = -u$ . Thus we have

$$\int_0^s (u', u) - B[v', v; t] dt = 0. \quad (12)$$

Now note that

$$(u', u) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2 \right) \quad (13)$$

and

$$B[v', v; t] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} B[v, v; t] + C[u, v; t] - D[v, v; t] \quad (14)$$

with

$$C[u, v; t] := - \int_U \sum b^i v_{x_i} u + \frac{1}{2} b_{x_i}^i u v dx \quad (15)$$

$$D[u, v; t] := \frac{1}{2} \int_U \sum a_t^{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \sum b_t^i u_{x_i} v + c_t u v dx. \quad (16)$$

Thus we have

$$\int_0^s \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} B[v, v; t] \right) dt = - \int_0^s C[u, v; t] + D[v, v; t] dt \quad (17)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2(s) - \frac{1}{2} B[v(0), v(0); t] = - \int_0^s C[u, v; t] + D[v, v; t] dt \quad (18)$$

where we have used the fact that  $u(0) = 0$  and  $v(s) = 0$ .

Using the coercivity of  $B$  and boundedness of  $C, D$ , we have

$$\|u(s)\|_{L^2(U)}^2 + \|v(0)\|_{H_0^1(U)}^2 \leq C \left[ \int_0^s \left( \|v\|_{H_0^1(U)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2 \right) dt + \|v(0)\|_{L^2(U)}^2 \right]. \quad (19)$$

Now recall the definition of  $v$ :

$$v(t) := \begin{cases} \int_t^s u(\tau) d\tau & 0 \leq t \leq s \\ 0 & s \leq t \leq T \end{cases}. \quad (20)$$

If we let

$$w(t) := \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau \quad (21)$$

then for  $0 \leq t \leq s$ ,

$$v(t) = w(s) - w(t). \quad (22)$$

Substituting into the estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= C \left[ \int_0^s \left( \|v\|_{H_0^1(U)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2 \right) dt + \|v(0)\|_{L^2(U)}^2 \right] \\ &= C \left[ \int_0^s \left( \|w(s) - w(t)\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2 \right) dt \right] + C \|w(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \left[ \int_0^s \left( \|w(s)\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt \right] + C \int_0^s \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &= C s \|w(t)\|_{H_0^1}^2 + C' \int_0^s \|w(t)\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\text{LHS} = \|w(s)\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (24)$$

Now we take  $s$  so small that  $Cs < 1/2$ . Then

$$\|w(s)\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \int_0^s \|w(t)\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \quad (25)$$

Application of Gronwall's inequality gives  $u \equiv 0$  on  $[0, T_1]$  for  $T_1 < \frac{1}{2C}$ . We now can apply the same argument to  $[T_1, 2T_1], [2T_1, 3T_1]$  and so on.  $\square$

#### 1.4. Regularity.

We only mention that

$$g, h \in C^\infty(\bar{U}), f \in C^\infty(\bar{U}_T) \implies u \in C^\infty(\bar{U}_T) \quad (26)$$

given that the data is compatible.

See Evans pp.389–393 for details.

## 2. Propagation of disturbance.

Recall that, for the 1D wave equation, we have shown that if  $u, u_t$  is initially 0 in a ball, then the solution is 0 in a cone with slope  $-1$ . We show here that the same is true in the general case. For simplicity we consider the simple case

$$Lu = - \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i x_j} \quad (27)$$

where the coefficients are smooth, independent of time.

Consider the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$p_t - \left( \sum a^{ij} p_{x_i} p_{x_j} \right)^{1/2} = 0. \quad (28)$$

If we write

$$p(x, t) = q(x) + t - t_0, \quad (29)$$

then  $q$  solves

$$\sum a^{ij} q_{x_i} q_{x_j} = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n - \{x_0\}, \quad q(x_0) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Now we write

$$C := \{(x, t) \mid p(x, t) < 0\} = \{(x, t) \mid q(x) < t_0 - t\}. \quad (31)$$

For each  $t > 0$ , we further define

$$C_t := \{x \mid q(x) < t_0 - t\} \quad (32)$$

which is the cross section of  $C$  at time  $t$ .

**Theorem 2. (Finite propagation speed)** *Assume  $u$  is a smooth solution of the hyperbolic equation. If  $u \equiv u_t \equiv 0$  on  $C_0$ , then  $u \equiv 0$  within the cone  $C$ .*

**Proof.** Define the energy

$$e(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_t} u_t^2 + \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} dx. \quad (33)$$

Differentiating, we have

$$\dot{e}(t) = \int_{C_t} u_t u_{tt} + \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j t} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial C_t} \left( u_t^2 + \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} \right) \frac{1}{|Dq|} dS \quad (34)$$

where we have used the Co-area formula.

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_t} u_t u_{tt} + \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j t} &= \int_{C_t} u_t \left[ u_{tt} - \sum (a^{ij} u_{x_i})_{x_j} \right] dx + \int_{\partial C_t} \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} \nu^j u_t dS \\ &= - \int_{C_t} u_t \sum a_{x_j}^{ij} u_{x_i} dx + \int_{\partial C_t} \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} \nu^j u_t dS. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

For the first term, we estimate

$$-\int_{C_t} u_t \sum a_{x_j}^{ij} u_{x_i} dx \leq C \int_{C_t} u_t^2 + |Du|^2 \leq C e(t). \quad (36)$$

For the second term, we estimate

$$\left| \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} \nu^j \right| \leq \left( \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum a^{ij} \nu^i \nu^j \right)^{1/2}. \quad (37)$$

Note that since  $q = t_0 - t$  on  $C_t$ ,  $\nu = \frac{Dq}{|Dq|}$ . And the equation for  $q$  then gives

$$\sum a^{ij} \nu^i \nu^j = \frac{1}{|Dq|^2}. \quad (38)$$

Therefore

$$\left| \int_{\partial C_t} \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} \nu^j u_t dS \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial C_t} \left( u_t^2 + \sum a^{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} \right) \frac{1}{|Dq|} dS. \quad (39)$$

As a consequence we have

$$\dot{e}(t) \leq C e(t). \quad (40)$$

Combining with  $e(0) = 0$ , we have  $e(t) \equiv 1$  and  $u \equiv 0$  follows.  $\square$