

HEAT EQUATION: EXPLICIT FORMULAS

(First 25 minutes: Quiz 1)

We now turn to the heat equation

$$u_t - \Delta u = f, \quad \text{in } U_T; \quad u = g \quad \text{on } \partial^* U_T \quad (1)$$

where

$$U_T \equiv U \times [0, T]; \quad \partial^* U_T \equiv (\bar{U} \times \{0\}) \cup (\partial U \times [0, T]). \quad (2)$$

with $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

We call $\partial^* U_T$ the reduced boundary of U_T . In this lecture we will find explicit representation formula via fundamental solution, and discuss its maximum principles.

1. Fundamental solutions and homogeneous initial-value problems.

1.1. Deriving the fundamental solution.

Similar to the case of Laplace/Poisson equations, we seek a special solution in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ which can help representing other solutions. We do this through Fourier transform.

We consider the Fourier transform in the spatial variable for the initial value problem

$$u_t - \Delta u = 0, \quad t > 0; \quad u = g, \quad t = 0. \quad (3)$$

We obtain an ODE for the function $\hat{u}(\xi, t)$:

$$(\hat{u})_t + |\xi|^2 \hat{u} = 0, \quad \hat{u}(\xi, 0) = \hat{g}(\xi). \quad (4)$$

This equation is easy to solve:

$$\hat{u}(\xi, t) = \hat{g}(\xi) e^{-|\xi|^2 t}. \quad (5)$$

Due to the following property of the Fourier transform:

$$\widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)$$

Thus all we need to do is to find the inverse Fourier transform of $e^{-|\xi|^2 t}$. From properties of the Fourier transform, if

$$\hat{G}(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^2}, \quad (6)$$

then the Fourier transform of $t^{-n/2} G(x/t^{1/2})$ is $e^{-|\xi|^2 t}$.

Lemma 1. Let $G(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{-n/2}} e^{-|x|^2/4}$, then $\hat{G}(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^2}$.

Proof. All we need to do is to compute

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{-n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|x|^2/4} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx. \quad (7)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|x|^2/4} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{4} - \frac{x_2^2}{4} - \dots - \frac{x_n^2}{4} - ix_1 \xi_1 - ix_2 \xi_2 - \dots - ix_n \xi_n} dx \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{4} - ix_1 \xi_1} dx_1 \right) \dots \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4} - ix_n \xi_n} dx_n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus our task is to compute the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4} - ix\xi} dx \quad (9)$$

where both x and ξ are scalars now.

We construct the following contour in \mathbb{C} : Let $R > 0$ be real.

$$\{-R \rightarrow +R\} \cup \{R \rightarrow R - 2\xi i\} \cup \{R - 2\xi i \rightarrow -R - 2\xi i\} \cup \{-R - 2\xi i \rightarrow -R\} \equiv \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 \cup \Gamma_4. \quad (10)$$

The function

$$e^{-z^2/4-iz\xi} \quad (11)$$

is analytic inside this contour, therefore

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} + \int_{\Gamma_2} + \int_{\Gamma_3} + \int_{\Gamma_4} = 0. \quad (12)$$

We further notice that

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_2(\Gamma_4)} e^{-z^2/4-iz\xi} \right| \leq C e^{-R^2/4} \rightarrow 0 \quad (13)$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} e^{-z^2/4-iz\xi} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}-ix\xi} dx \quad (14)$$

as $R \nearrow +\infty$. Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}-ix\xi} dx = \lim_{R \nearrow \infty} - \int_{\Gamma_3} e^{-z^2/4-iz\xi} dz. \quad (15)$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Gamma_3} e^{-z^2/4-iz\xi} dz &= \int_{-R-2\xi i}^{R-2\xi i} e^{-z^2/4-iz\xi} dz \\ &= \int_{-R}^R e^{-(y-2\xi i)^2/4-i(y-2\xi i)\xi} dy \\ &= \int_{-R}^R e^{-y^2/4} e^{-\xi^2} dy \rightarrow (4\pi)^{1/2} e^{-\xi^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

□

Definition 2. *The function*

$$\Phi(x, t) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} & t > 0 \\ 0 & t = 0 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

is called the fundamental solution of the heat equation.

1.2. Properties of the fundamental solution.

The fundamental solution enjoys the following properties.

1. For each time $t > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) dx = 1. \quad (18)$$

2. Treating t as a parameter,

$$\lim_{t \searrow 0} \Phi(x, t) = \delta \quad (19)$$

in the sense of distributions. In other words, we have

$$\lim_{t \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x, t) f(x) dx = f(0) \quad (20)$$

for any continuous function f .

1.3. Homogeneous initial value problem.

From the above properties we immediately obtain the explicit formula for solutions for the initial-value problem:

$$u_t - \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty); \quad u = g \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}. \quad (21)$$

Theorem 3. (Solution of initial-value problem) *Assume $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and define u by*

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t) g(y) dy = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} g(y) dy, \quad t > 0, \quad (22)$$

then

- i. $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$,
- ii. $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ when $t > 0$,
- iii. u takes g as its initial value, that is

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,t) \rightarrow (x_0,0) \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0}} u(x, t) = g(x_0) \quad (23)$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. See Evans P. 47. □

2. Nonhomogeneous problem, Duhamel's principle.

Now let us consider the nonhomogeneous case

$$u_t - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty); \quad u = g \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}. \quad (24)$$

It is clear that we can immediately simplify the situation to the case of zero initial data:

$$u_t - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty); \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}. \quad (25)$$

By *Duhamel's principle* we can write down the solution:

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t - s) f(y, s) \, dy \, ds = \int_0^t \frac{1}{(4\pi(t-s))^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} f(y, s) \, dy \, ds. \quad (26)$$

Now we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. (Solution of nonhomogeneous problem) *Let $f \in C_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))^1$ and have compact support. Then*

- i. $u \in C_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$,
- ii. $u_t - \Delta u = f$ for $t > 0$,
- iii. For each $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,t) \rightarrow (x_0,0) \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0}} u(x, t) = 0. \quad (27)$$

Proof. See Evans p. 50. □

Combining the above results, we can present the formula for the solution in the general case in the whole space:

$$u_t - \Delta u = f \quad t > 0; \quad u = g \quad t = 0. \quad (28)$$

The solution is

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t) g(y) \, dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t - s) f(y, s) \, dy \, ds. \quad (29)$$

3. Regularity.

Theorem 5. (Smoothing effect of heat kernel) *Suppose $u \in C_1^2(\bar{\Omega}_T)$ solves the heat equation in Ω_T , then*

$$u \in C^\infty(\Omega_T). \quad (30)$$

We introduce the typical region considered when doing parabolic regularity:

$$C(x, t; r) \equiv \{(y, s): |x - y| \leq r, t - r^2 \leq s \leq t\}. \quad (31)$$

1. $C_n^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ means f has m continuous derivatives in x and n continuous derivatives in t .

It suffices to prove that if $u \in C_1^2(C(x, t; r))$ solves the equation, then $u \in C^\infty$ inside $C(x, t; r/2)$.

Proof. See Evans pp. 59 – 61. The main idea is the following.

Fix (x_0, t_0) . Take a “cut-off” function η which is 0 outside $C(x_0, t_0; r)$ and 1 inside $C(x_0, t_0; 3r/4)$. Then consider $v(x, t) \equiv \eta(x, t)u(x, t)$. We have

$$v_t - \Delta v = \eta_t u - 2 \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla u - u \Delta \eta. \quad (32)$$

Now we can use the explicit formula to obtain

$$u(x, t) = v(x, t) = \int_{C(x_0, t_0; r)} \Phi(x - y, t - s) [(\eta_s - \Delta \eta) u - 2 \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla u](y, s) dy ds. \quad (33)$$

for all $(x, t) \in C(x_0, t_0; 3r/4)$.

Finally notice that, $\eta_s, \Delta \eta, \nabla \eta$ vanishes inside $C(x_0, t_0; 3r/4)$ which means

$$u(x, t) = \int_{C(x_0, t_0; r) \setminus C(x_0, t_0; 3r/4)} \Phi(x - y, t - s) [(\eta_s - \Delta \eta) u - 2 \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla u](y, s) dy ds. \quad (34)$$

As a consequence, for any $(x, t) \in C(x_0, t_0; r/2)$, the integrand is uniformly bounded and can be differentiated arbitrarily. \square

We further have the following estimate for derivatives.

Theorem 6. *We have*

$$\max_{C(x, t; r/2)} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^l u| \leq \frac{C(\alpha, l)}{r^{k+2l+n+2}} \int_{C(x, t; r)} |u| dx dt. \quad (35)$$

Proof. This follows naturally from the proof of the last theorem. Evans pp. 61 – 62 for details. \square