

# Math 497 R1 Winter 2018 Navier-Stokes Regularity

## Lecture 1: Sobolev Spaces and Newtonian Potentials

Xinwei Yu

*Jan. 10, 2018*

---

Based on §1.1–1.2 of [1]. Some fine properties of Sobolev spaces, and basics of Newtonian potentials.

---

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. SOBOLEV SPACES</b> .....	2
1.1. Lebesgue spaces .....	2
1.2. Sobolev spaces .....	2
<b>2. NEWTONIAN POTENTIALS</b> .....	4
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	5

## 1. SOBOLEV SPACES

### 1.1. Lebesgue spaces

LEMMA 1. (LEMMA 1.1 OF [1]) *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $L_p(\Omega)$  is the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $L_p(\Omega)$ .*

**Proof.**

1. We have  $\Omega = \cup B_n$  where  $B_n$  has center  $x_n \in \Omega$  rational and radius  $r_n = \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(x_n, \Omega^c)$ .
2. We also have  $\Omega$  can be approximated from within by compact sets  $C_m$  such that the measure of the difference  $\Omega - C_m$  goes to zero.
3. Each  $C_m$  can be covered by finitely many  $B_n$ 's. Denote the union of these balls by  $\Omega_m$ . We now have  $\text{dist}(\Omega_m, \Omega^c) > 0$  and  $\|u\|_{L_p(\Omega - \Omega_m)} \rightarrow 0$ .
4. Now mollify  $u \chi_{\Omega_m}$  to get  $u_m \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . □

**Remark 2.**

- i. Note that  $\Omega$  is only required to be a domain (open connected set). No regularity is needed for  $\partial\Omega$ .
- ii. It is obvious that  $L_\infty$  is not the completion of  $C_0^\infty$ .

QUESTION 3. *What about Lorentz spaces?*

### 1.2. Sobolev spaces

DEFINITION 4. (SOBOLEV SPACES)

- $W_s^k(\Omega)$ .  $L_s$  integrability of weak derivatives.

$$\|u\|_{W_s^k(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=0}^k \|\nabla^i u\|_{s,\Omega}. \quad (1)$$

- $\mathring{W}_s^k(\Omega)$ .  $W_s^k$  completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ .
- $L_s^k(\Omega)$ .  $L_s$  integrability of  $\nabla^k u$ .

$$\|u\|_{L_s^k(\Omega)} = \|\nabla^k u\|_{s,\Omega}. \quad (2)$$

- $\mathring{L}_s^k(\Omega)$ .  $L_s^k$  completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in the following sense.  $[u] \in \mathring{L}_s^k(\Omega)$  is an equivalence class of functions satisfying

a)  $\forall v, w \in [u], \nabla^k(v - w) = 0$ , and

b)  $\exists u_0 \in [u]$  such that there exists  $u_m \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $\|\nabla^k(u_m - u_0)\|_{s,\Omega} \rightarrow 0$ .

It turns out that integrability of derivative implies local integrability of the function.

THEOREM 5. (THEOREM 1.1)  $u \in L_s^k(\Omega) \implies u \in L_{s,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.**

It suffices to prove for  $k = 1$ . Once this is done, the general case follows easily from induction. By assumption, for any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  we have  $\langle u, \nabla \varphi \rangle = - \int_\Omega g \varphi$  for some  $g \in L_s(\Omega)$ . We need to prove, for any  $\Omega_0 \Subset \Omega$ ,  $u \in L_s(\Omega_0)$ . The main difficulty lies in construction of the function  $u$  which is well-defined in the whole  $\Omega$ .

Now fix one such  $\Omega_0$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \text{dist}(\Omega_0, \Omega^c)$ . Let  $u_\varepsilon$  be the standard mollification of the distribution  $u$ .

- i. We first show that  $u_\varepsilon \in L_\infty(\Omega_0)$  for each  $\varepsilon$ . To see this, define  $l: L_1(\Omega_0) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  by

$$l(\psi) := \langle u, \psi_\varepsilon \rangle. \quad (3)$$

As  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , there is  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $|l(\psi)| \leq C \|\psi_\varepsilon\|_{C^m(\Omega)} \leq C(\varepsilon) \|\psi\|_{L^1(\Omega_0)}$ . Thus by Riesz representation theorem we have  $u_\varepsilon \in L_\infty(\Omega_0)$ .

- ii. Now we easily see that  $g_\varepsilon = \nabla u_\varepsilon$  in  $\Omega_0$ .

- iii. Next let  $\bar{u}_{0,\varepsilon} := u_\varepsilon - [u_\varepsilon]_{\Omega_0}$  where  $[u_\varepsilon]_{\Omega_0}$  is the average of  $u_\varepsilon$  in  $\Omega_0$ . By Poincaré's inequality we have  $\bar{u}_{0,\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_0$  in  $L_s(\Omega_0)$ . ??? Naturally  $g = \nabla u_0$  in  $\Omega_0$ .

- iv. Now we need to construct  $u$ , defined on  $\Omega$ , such that  $u|_{\Omega_0} = u_0$ . Let  $\Omega_1 \supseteq \Omega_0$  and  $\Subset \Omega$ . Repeating the above we have  $u_1 \in L_s(\Omega_1)$  such that  $g = \nabla u_1$  in  $\Omega_1$ . As  $\nabla(u_1 - u_0) = 0$  in  $\Omega_0$ ,  $u_1 - u_0 = C_0$ , a constant, in  $\Omega_0$ . We re-define  $u_1 - C_0 \in L_s(\Omega_1)$ <sup>1</sup> as the new  $u_1$ . This can be repeated for a nested sequence of sets  $\Omega_0 \Subset \Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_2 \Subset \dots \Subset \Omega$ . Note that in each  $\Omega_m$ , we have  $u_m = u_{m+1} = u_{m+2} = \dots$ . Thus convergence is not an issue.

Finally, any other  $v$  with  $\nabla v = g$  is just a constant away from the  $u$  just constructed.  $\square$

**Remark 6.** It is clear that we cannot expect  $u \in L_s(\Omega)$ . For example let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  and  $s = \infty$ , and  $u = |x|$ .

**COROLLARY 7.** *If  $u_m \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is Cauchy in  $L_s^k$ , then there is  $u \in L_s^k(\Omega)$  such that  $\|u_m - u\|_{L_s^k(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ .*

**Remark 8.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5. Also note that  $\Omega$  does not need to be bounded here.

**COROLLARY 9.** (PROPOSITION 1.2) *For bounded domain  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathring{L}_s^k(\Omega) = \mathring{W}_s^k(\Omega)$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly  $\mathring{W}_s^k(\Omega) \subseteq \mathring{L}_s^k(\Omega)$ . For the other direction, we need to show that for every  $[u] \in \mathring{L}_s^k(\Omega)$ , there must exist a  $v \in [u]$  such that  $v \in \mathring{W}_s^k(\Omega)$ .

Let  $[u] \in \mathring{L}_s^k(\Omega)$ . By definition there is  $w \in L_{s,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  such that

- i. For every other  $w' \in [u]$ ,  $\nabla^k(w - w') = 0$ ;
- ii. There is a sequence  $w_m \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $\nabla^k w_m \rightarrow \nabla^k w$  in  $L_s(\Omega)$ .

As  $\Omega$  is bounded, we have Friedrichs inequality:

$$\|w_m - w_{m'}\|_{L_s(\Omega)} \leq c \|\nabla^k w_m - \nabla^k w_{m'}\|_{L_s(\Omega)} \quad (4)$$

which can be obtained through repeated application of Poincaré. Thus  $w_m$  converges in  $L_s$ , to some function  $v$ . It is clear that  $v \in [u]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 10.** When  $n \geq 3$ , thanks to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality  $\|u\|_{p,\Omega} \leq c(n) \|\nabla u\|_{2,\Omega}$  where  $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ , we see that  $\mathring{L}_2^1(\Omega) \subset L_p(\Omega)$ , in the sense that for every  $[u] \in \mathring{L}_2^1(\Omega)$ , there is a  $w \in [u]$  such that  $w \in L_p$ .

When  $n = 2$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^2$ , we can still select a “good representative” for every  $[u] \in \mathring{L}_2^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  by the criterion  $\|v\|_{L_2(\Pi)} < \infty$  where  $\Pi = \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$ . **⇒ Any  $\Omega$  with good boundary would be OK?**

1.  $\Omega_1$  is bounded.

## 2. NEWTONIAN POTENTIALS

Recall the fundamental solutions

$$E(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x|} & n=2 \\ \frac{1}{\omega_n n(n-2)} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} & n \geq 3 \end{cases}. \quad (5)$$

We can define the Newtonian potential of a function  $f$ :

$$u = E * f. \quad (6)$$

**PROPOSITION 11. (PROPOSITION 2.3)** *Let  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $u = E * f$ . Then  $-\Delta u = f$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $u \in \dot{L}_p^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Furthermore  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^2 u|^p dx \leq c(n, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p dx$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^2 u|^p dx \leq c(n, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p dx$  follows from the theory of singular integral operators.

Next notice that for  $f \in C_0^\infty$ ,  $-\Delta u = f$  holds by direct calculation. The general situation now follows from the above estimate and approximation argument.

Thus all we need to prove is the existence of  $u_m \in C_0^\infty$  such that  $\|\nabla^2(u_m - u)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $f_m \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f_m \rightarrow f$  in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define  $v_m = E * f_m$ . As  $f_m$  has compact support, we have

$$|\nabla^i v_m| \leq \frac{c(m, i)}{|x|^{n-2+i}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7)$$

Now consider  $R > 0$  and let  $\varphi_R$  be the standard cut-off function. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^2(\varphi_R v_m - v_m)|^p dx &\leq c \left[ \int_{B_R^c} |\nabla^2 v_m|^p + \frac{1}{R^p} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} |\nabla v_m|^p + \frac{1}{R^{2p}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} |\nabla^2 v_m|^p \right] \\ &\leq c \int_{B_R^c} |\nabla^2 v_m|^p + C(m) R^{n(1-p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus for each  $m$ , we take  $R_m$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^2(\varphi_{R_m} v_m - v_m)|^p dx < \frac{1}{m}$ . Defining  $u_m = \varphi_{R_m} v_m$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Gregory Seregin. *Lecture Notes on Regularity Theory for the Navier-Stokes Equations*. World Scientific, 2015.