

LECTURE 35 MATRIX EXPONENTIALS

12/05/2011

What really happens when we have n linearly independent eigenvectors.

- Recall that when we have n linearly independent eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$, then the general solution is given by

$$C_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + C_n e^{\lambda_n t} \mathbf{x}_n. \quad (1)$$

- Now consider the initial value problem: What are C_1, \dots, C_n after all? Setting $t=0$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = C_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + C_n \mathbf{x}_n. \quad (2)$$

We can put $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ together to form a matrix:

$$X := (\mathbf{x}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{x}_n). \quad (3)$$

Now we reach

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = (\mathbf{x}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{x}_n) \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix} = X \mathbf{c} \quad (4)$$

where the vector $\mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix}$.

- Next we try to write the general solution into matrix form.

$$C_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + C_n e^{\lambda_n t} \mathbf{x}_n = (e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{x}_1 \ \dots \ e^{\lambda_n t} \mathbf{x}_n) \mathbf{c} = X \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}. \quad (5)$$

Now as the matrix X is nonsingular (because the \mathbf{x}_i 's are linearly independent), we have

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = X \mathbf{c} \iff \mathbf{c} = X^{-1} \mathbf{x}(0). \quad (6)$$

Putting the above together, we reach

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = X \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \mathbf{x}(0). \quad (7)$$

- Now we see that the matrix

$$\Phi(t) := X \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \quad (8)$$

is a significant object: It gives a universal formula for all solutions:

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \Phi(t) \mathbf{x}(0). \quad (9)$$

- We now try to find the relation between $\Phi(t)$ and A . Since $\Phi(t)$ is of the form $X \cdot \text{something} \cdot X^{-1}$, we explore what happens if we try to write A in a similar way.

Recall that each \mathbf{x}_i is an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i . That is

$$A \mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i. \quad (10)$$

Putting all \mathbf{x}_i 's in a row to form the matrix X , we get

$$A X = A (\mathbf{x}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{x}_n) = (\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 \ \dots \ \lambda_n \mathbf{x}_n) = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Multiply both sides by X^{-1} **from the right** – recall that matrix multiplication is not commutative – we reach

$$A = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

- Comparing

$$A = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \quad (13)$$

with

$$\Phi(t) := X \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \quad (14)$$

we want to say

$$\Phi(t) = e^{At}. \quad (15)$$

Then the solution to

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A \mathbf{x} \quad (16)$$

is simply

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{At} \mathbf{x}(0), \quad (17)$$

a *perfect generalization* of the single linear equation:¹

$$\dot{x} = a x \implies x(t) = e^{at} x(0). \quad (18)$$

Definition of matrix exponentials.

- However how to define e^A for a general matrix A ?
- Matrix functions: Given a square matrix A , what kind of functions can be readily generalized to take A as its variable? Polynomials – as matrix products are already well-defined. For example

$$f(x) = x^3 + 3x - 1 \implies f(A) = A^3 + 3A - I. \quad (19)$$

- Now how to define e^A ? Taylor expansion!

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \dots \implies e^A := I + A + \frac{A^2}{2} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!}. \quad (20)$$

- Is this what we want?

- Check the special case:

$$\begin{aligned} A = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} &\implies \\ (At)^k &= \left(X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \right) \dots \left(X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \right) \\ &= X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \dots X^{-1}. \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

1. However see homework: Such generalizations are actually subtle.

Recall that matrix multiplication is associative, which means we can freely “pair up” adjacent matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (At)^k &= X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} (X^{-1} X) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} (X^{-1} X) \dots X^{-1} \\
 &= X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \\
 &= X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^k t^k & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n^k t^k \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now it's easy to see

$$e^{At} = X \begin{pmatrix} \sum \frac{\lambda_1^k t^k}{k!} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sum \frac{\lambda_n^k t^k}{k!} \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} = X \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} = \Phi(t). \quad (22)$$

Matrix exponentials and first order systems.

Theorem 1. Consider the first order system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$. Then $\Phi(t) = e^{At}$ as defined above satisfies

$$\dot{\Phi}(t) = A\Phi(t), \quad \Phi(0) = I. \quad (23)$$

and consequently the solution of

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(0) \text{ at } t=0. \quad (24)$$

is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \Phi(t) \mathbf{x}(0). \quad (25)$$

Proof. $\Phi(0) = X^{-1} I X = I$. Compute

$$\dot{\Phi}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{A^k t^k}{k!} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^{k+1} t^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A \frac{A^k t^k}{k!} = A \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^k}{k!} = A\Phi(t). \quad (26)$$

The last few steps may seem too obvious to worth writing down, but in fact it's important to clearly write down every “obvious” step. See homework.

Now we have

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} (\Phi(t) \mathbf{x}(0)) = \dot{\Phi}(t) \mathbf{x}(0) = A\Phi(t) \mathbf{x}(0) = A\mathbf{x}(t). \quad (27)$$

Finally $(\mathbf{x} \text{ at } t=0) = \Phi(0) \mathbf{x}(0) = I\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}(0)$. □

Remark 2. Note that in the above proof what we actually show is that $\Phi(t) \mathbf{x}(0)$ is a solution of the system. That this suffices is due to the fact that the solution is unique – so “a solution” gets a “free upgrade” to “the solution”.

Calculation of matrix exponentials – Simple case.

- Clearly it's not a good idea to use the definition:

$$e^A := I + A + \frac{A^2}{2} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!}. \quad (28)$$

- When A has n linearly independent eigenvectors, we have shown that

$$A = X \Lambda X^{-1} \quad (29)$$

where $X = (\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_n)$ is the matrix formed by putting these n eigenvectors in a row, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ is a diagonal matrix with the corresponding eigenvalues as diagonal entries. In this case we know that

$$e^A = X \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & e^{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}. \quad (30)$$

Example 3. Compute e^A with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

Solution. First obtain the eigenvalues:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 2-\lambda & -1 \\ 3 & -2-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0 \implies \lambda_{1,2} = 1, -1. \quad (32)$$

Next find a set of 2 linearly independent eigenvectors:

- For 1, solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \iff \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

- for -1 , solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \iff \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

So

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

and

$$A = X \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \implies e^A = X \begin{pmatrix} e^1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-1} \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}. \quad (36)$$

To get the final answer we need to find X^{-1} , through solving $X X^{-1} = I$ using Gaussian elimination:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

We get

$$X^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

Now we compute

$$e^A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2}e - \frac{1}{2}e^{-1} & -\frac{1}{2}e + \frac{1}{2}e^{-1} \\ \frac{3}{2}e - \frac{3}{2}e^{-1} & -\frac{1}{2}e + \frac{3}{2}e^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (39)$$

Calculation of matrix exponentials – General case.

- What if we do not have n linearly independent eigenvectors? **Note:**

$$A = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \implies \text{Each column of } X \text{ is an eigenvector} \quad (40)$$

Therefore when we do not have n linearly independent eigenvectors, it's not possible to reduce A to a diagonal matrix – that is not possible to “diagonalize” A .

- Key property: If $A = X B X^{-1}$, then $e^A = X e^B X^{-1}$.
- Question: What is the simplest matrix that all $n \times n$ matrices A can be reduced to?
- Answer: Jordan canonical form.

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & & \\ & J_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & J_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (41)$$

where each $J_k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ is called a “Jordan block”.

Theorem 4. Any $n \times n$ matrix can be written as $A = X J X^{-1}$ where J is of the above form. Furthermore, the columns of X (denote by $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$) corresponding to one “Jordan block” is related in the following manner:

$$(A - \lambda I) \mathbf{x}_1 = 0; \quad (A - \lambda I) \mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i. \quad (42)$$

It may help to see an example. Suppose we have

$$A = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ & \lambda & 1 \\ & & \lambda \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}. \quad (43)$$

Multiply both sides by X from right, we reach

$$A (\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \mathbf{x}_3) = A X = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ & \lambda & 1 \\ & & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \mathbf{x}_3) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ & \lambda & 1 \\ & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

Carry out the multiplication we reach

$$(A \mathbf{x}_1 \ A \mathbf{x}_2 \ A \mathbf{x}_3) = (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda \mathbf{x}_2 \ \mathbf{x}_2 + \lambda \mathbf{x}_3) \quad (45)$$

which means

$$(A - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_1 = 0 \quad (46)$$

$$(A - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{x}_1 \quad (47)$$

$$(A - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_3 = \mathbf{x}_2. \quad (48)$$

- How to compute e^J .
 - Observation I:

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & & \\ & J_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & J_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{J_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{J_k} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (49)$$

- Observation II:

$$e^{\lambda I + A} = e^{\lambda I} e^A. \quad (50)$$

for any matrix A .

- Observation III: Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be $k \times k$, then

$$B^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots \quad (51)$$

consequently

$$B^k = 0, \quad (52)$$

and

$$e^B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \\ & 1 & 1 & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{1}{2} \\ & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (53)$$

and

$$e^{Bt} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & \frac{t^2}{2} & \cdots & \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ & 1 & t & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{t^2}{2} \\ & & & 1 & t \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

Example 5. Solve

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \quad (55)$$

using matrix exponentials.

Solution. The matrix is already in Jordan canonical form. We see that there are two Jordan blocks:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = (1). \quad (56)$$

By Observation I we have

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{J_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{J_2 t} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (57)$$

Clearly $e^{J_2 t} = (e^t)$. For $e^{J_1 t}$ we use the next two observations:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{J_1 t} &= e^{3It + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} t} \\ &= e^{3It} e^{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} t} \\ &= e^{3t} I \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & \frac{t^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{3t} & t e^{3t} & \frac{t^2 e^{3t}}{2} \\ 0 & e^{3t} & t e^{3t} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{3t} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Therefore

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{3t} & te^{3t} & \frac{t^2 e^{3t}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{3t} & te^{3t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{3t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (59)$$

The general solution is now

$$e^{At} \mathbf{c} = c_1 \begin{pmatrix} e^{3t} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} te^{3t} \\ e^{3t} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t^2 e^{3t}}{2} \\ te^{3t} \\ e^{3t} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (60)$$

Remark. Now we see where the t, t^2, \dots etc. come from! And furthermore we see why how many powers of t are needed cannot be determined by the algebraic and geometric multiplicities alone: Compute the following two A 's (in the context of computing e^{At}):

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & & \\ & 3 & & \\ & & 3 & 1 \\ & & & 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & & \\ & 3 & 1 & \\ & & 3 & \\ & & & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (61)$$

In both cases, the eigenvalue 3 has algebraic multiplicity 4 and geometric multiplicity 2. However in the former case e^{At} involves only e^{3t} and te^{3t} , while in the latter case $t^2 e^{3t}$ will also appear.