

Math 506 Homework Solution

- Let $f(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}_2[[x, y]]$. Suppose that

$$(0.1) \quad f(x, y) = (x - c_1y)(x - c_2y)\dots(x - c_my) + \sum_{j+k>m} a_{jk}x^jy^k$$

where $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m, a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants. We call m the multiplicity of $f(x, y)$ at the origin. Show that if c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m are m distinct numbers, then there exists $g_1(y), g_2(y), \dots, g_m(y) \in \mathcal{O}_1[[y]]$ such that

$$f(x, y) = (x - g_1(y))(x - g_2(y))\dots(x - g_m(y))h(x, y)$$

where $h(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}_2[[x, y]]$ and $h(0, 0) \neq 0$.

Proof. By Weierstrass preparation theorem,

$$f(x, y) = p(x, y)h(x, y)$$

where $p(x, y) = x^m + a_1(y)x^{m-1} + \dots + a_m(y) \in \mathcal{O}_2[[y]][x]$ is a Weierstrass polynomial and $h(0, 0) \neq 0$. Since the algebraic closure of the quotient field $\mathcal{O}_2((y))$ of $\mathcal{O}_2[[y]]$ is generated by $\sqrt[n]{y}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we can factorize $p(x, y)$ into a product of monomials:

$$p(x, y) = (x - g_1(y))(x - g_2(y))\dots(x - g_m(y))$$

where $g_k(y) \in \mathcal{O}_2([\sqrt[n]{y}])$ for some positive integer n . Alternatively, there is a cyclic field extension $\mathcal{O}_2((y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2((t))$ sending y to t^n such that

$$p(x, t^n) = (x - b_1(t))(x - b_2(t))\dots(x - b_m(t))$$

where $b_k(t) = g_k(t^n) \in \mathcal{O}_2((t))$.

By comparing $p(x, t^n)$ and $f(x, t^n)$, we conclude that

$$b_k(t) = c_k t^n + \sum_{l>n} b_{kl} t^l$$

Let $G = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_2((t))/\mathcal{O}_2((y))) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be the Galois group of the field extension $\mathcal{O}_2((y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2((t))$. Then G acts on $\mathcal{O}_2((t))$ by sending t to ξt , where $\xi^n = 1$. And G acts as a subgroup of the symmetric group S_m on $b_1(t), b_2(t), \dots, b_m(t)$: it sends each $b_k(t)$ to $b_l(t)$. That is, for each $\xi^n = 1$ and each $1 \leq k \leq m$, there exists $1 \leq l \leq m$ such that

$$b_k(\xi t) = b_l(t)$$

Since c_k are distinct, we must have $k = l$ for all $\xi^n = 1$ and k . Therefore,

$$b_k(\xi t) = b_k(t)$$

for all $\xi^n = 1$. It follows that $b_k(t)$ is a power series in t with only terms in t^{jn} for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $g_k(y) \in \mathcal{O}_2[[y]]$ and we are done. \square

- Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]$ where $f \neq 0$, f is irreducible and $f(0, 0) = 0$. Then $\mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f) \cong \mathcal{O}_1$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f)$ is integrally closed.

Proof. If $\mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f) \cong \mathcal{O}_1$, then $\mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f)$ is, of course, integrally closed.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f)$ is integrally closed. If $f_z(0, 0) \neq 0$ or $f_w(0, 0) \neq 0$, then by inverse function theorem, $\mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f) \cong \mathcal{O}_1$.

Suppose that $f_z(0, 0) = f_w(0, 0) = 0$. We write

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j z^j w^{m-j} + \sum_{k+l>m} a_{kl} z^k w^l$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{C}$ are not all zero. After a linear transformation sending $(z, w) \rightarrow (z, w + \lambda z)$ for some λ , we may assume that $f(z, 0) \neq 0$, i.e., $b_m \neq 0$. By Weierstrass preparation theorem, we have

$$f(z, w) = (z^m + a_1(w)z^{m-1} + \dots + a_m(w))h(z, w)$$

where $h(0, 0) \neq 0$. Obviously, $a_k(w)$ has a zero at $w = 0$ of multiplicity at least k for each $1 \leq k \leq m$. We write $a_k(w) = w^k b_k(w)$ for some $b_k(w) \in \mathcal{O}_2[[w]]$. Then

$$z^m + a_1(w)z^{m-1} + \dots + a_m(w) = w^m \left(\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^m + b_1(w) \left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{m-1} + \dots + b_m(w) \right)$$

It follows that $z/w \in \mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f)$ since $\mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f)$ is integrally closed. Therefore,

$$\frac{z}{w} = g(z, w) \Rightarrow z - wg(z, w) = 0$$

in $\mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]/(f)$ for some $g(z, w) \in \mathcal{O}_2[[z, w]]$. Thus $z - wg(z, w) \in (f)$. And since f and $z - wg(z, w)$ are irreducible, we must have $z - wg(z, w) = c(z, w)f$ for some $c(0, 0) \neq 0$. Then we have $f_z(0, 0) \neq 0$. Contradiction. \square

- Let f be a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) : z_1 = z_2 = 0\}$. Show that f can be extended to a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. We define

$$g(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \int_{|w|=1} \frac{f(w, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{w - z_1} dz_1$$

Clearly, $g(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ is holomorphic in $|z_1| < 1$ and $g(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ in $\{|z_1| < 1, z_2 \neq 0\}$. Therefore, $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ can be extended to a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n . \square