

# Disintegration of positive isometric group representations on $L^p$ -spaces

Marcel de Jeu

Leiden University and University of Mississippi

Positivity IX

Edmonton

17 July 2017



Joint work with Jan Rozendaal  
Positivity **21** (2017), 673–710

## Overview

- Introduction: representations of groups and disintegration into indecomposable representations
- $L^p$ -context: ergodic decomposition and relation with order indecomposable representations
- Direct integrals of Banach lattices
- Disintegration of group actions on  $L^p$ -spaces: spatial case
- Disintegration of group actions on  $L^p$ -spaces: general case

## Disclaimer: not the final answer for all positive isometric actions

- Measures will be finite
- Group actions will leave the constants fixed
- $1 \leq p < \infty$

## Unitary group representation of a locally compact group $G$

- Is a homomorphism  $\rho : G \mapsto U(H)$  into the unitary group of a Hilbert space
- Tacitly always assumed that  $g \mapsto \rho(g)x$  is continuous for all  $x \in H$  (representation is strongly continuous)

## Building new representations from given ones

- If  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  are two unitary representations on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , then  $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 : G \rightarrow U(H_1 \oplus H_2)$  is another one, and  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are closed invariant subspaces
- Elementary building blocks: a unitary representation on  $H$  is *indecomposable* (equivalently: irreducible) if every decomposition  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$  into closed invariant subspaces is trivial, i.e. if  $H_1 = \{0\}$  or  $H_1 = H$

## Disintegration of $\rho : G \rightarrow U(H)$ as a direct integral

If  $G$  and  $H$  are separable, there exist a space  $X$ , a measure  $\mu$  on  $X$ , families of Hilbert spaces  $(H_x)_{x \in X}$  and unitary representations  $(\rho_x)_{x \in X}$  of  $G$  on  $H_x$  such that

- $(\rho, H)$  and  $(\int_X^\oplus \rho_x d\mu(x), \int_X^\oplus H_x d\mu(x))$  are unitarily equivalent
- $\mu$ -almost  $\rho_x$  are indecomposable

## Idea

- $\int_X^\oplus H_x d\mu(x)$  consists of 'all' maps  $s : X \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{x \in X} H_x$  such that  $s(x) \in H_x$  for all  $x$  and such that

$$\int_X \|s(x)\|_{H_x}^2 d\mu(x) < \infty$$

- $\int_X^\oplus \rho_x d\mu(x)$  acts pointwise ('in each fibre')

## Direct integrals of unitary representations

- Finite Hilbert sums are direct integrals for a counting measure
- In general: cannot vary  $s(x)$  freely with  $x$  as for finite direct sum:
  - Need to stay square integrable
  - Measurability conditions must be met

## Unitary moral in separable case

- Every unitary representation on  $H$  is built from indecomposable ones
- Equivalently: every representation as automorphisms of  $H$  is built from indecomposable ones
- Glueing formalism is that of a direct integral
- The  $H_x$  are (can be taken to be) a subspace of  $\ell^2$

## Question

- What about representations of  $G$  as automorphisms of a Banach lattice  $E$ ?
- Equivalently: what about homomorphisms  $\rho : G \rightarrow B(E)$  such that every  $\rho(g)$  is an isometric lattice automorphism of  $E$ ?
- Still equivalently: what about *representations of  $G$  as positive isometries of  $E$* ?
- Can they be disintegrated into ‘indecomposable’ ones?

## Expectation management

- Unitary theory works well for representations *in one space*:  $\ell^2$
- Great variety of Banach lattices
- Can't expect to cover everything; need to find ‘the right class’

## Indecomposability for positive representation on Banach lattice $E$

- If  $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$  is an order direct sum of two Banach sublattices, then  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are, in fact, projection bands and each other's disjoint complement
- So: a positive representation of  $G$  on  $E$  is *order indecomposable* if  $\{0\}$  and  $E$  are the only invariant *projection bands*

## Testing ground for disintegration issues: $L^p$ -spaces

- Ubiquity of examples
- Good description of projection bands

## Large class of examples

Whenever  $G$  acts on a space  $X$  that carries an invariant measure  $\mu$ , there is a natural action of  $G$  on  $L^p(X, \mu)$ , given by

$$[\rho(g)]f(x) := f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$$

Note:

- $\rho$  is a representation of  $G$  as positive isometries of the Banach lattice  $L^p(X, \mu)$
- If  $\mu$  is finite:  $G$  leaves the constant function  $\mathbf{1} \in L^p(X, \mu)$  fixed



## Can show (goal of the lecture)

If  $G$  is a locally compact Polish group, if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if  $\mu$  is a probability measure on a set  $X$  such that  $L^p(X, \mu)$  is separable, and if  $\rho : G \rightarrow B(L^p(X, \mu))$  is a strongly continuous representation as positive isometries leaving the constants fixed, then  $\rho$  can be disintegrated into order indecomposable positive isometric representations of  $G$  on Banach lattices.

## Remarks

- Disintegration uses  $L^p$ -direct integral of Banach spaces
- $G$  does not necessarily act on  $X$
- Need to cover that case first, though
- Polish: (homeomorphic to) separable complete metric space
- All second countable locally compact Hausdorff spaces (hence all Lie groups) are Polish

## Key observation: link with ergodic decomposition

- Suppose the abstract group  $G$  acts as measurable transformation on  $(X, \mu)$  with  $\mu$  finite
- Projection bands: all  $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$  vanishing a.e. on a given measurable subset
- Invariant projection bands: all  $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$  vanishing a.e. on a given essentially invariant measurable subset
- So: natural representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $L^p(X, \mu)$  is order indecomposable  $\Leftrightarrow$  only trivial invariant projection bands  $\Leftrightarrow$  only trivial essentially invariant measurable subsets  $\Leftrightarrow \mu$  is ergodic

## Hope

Ergodic decomposition of  $\mu$  will 'somehow' give decomposition of  $\rho$  into order indecomposable representations

## Context for the moment

- Abstract  $G$  acts on  $X$  with invariant probability measure  $\mu$

## First attempt

- Take an ergodic measure  $\lambda$
- For  $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ , consider  $f$  as an element of  $L^p(X, \lambda)$
- Glue all these new elements together as  $\lambda$  ranges over de ergodic measures

## Problems with first attempt

- Not clear how to glue (but see later)
- $f$  need not be in  $L^p(X, \lambda)$
- Map need not even be well-defined

## Toy example

- The unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  acts on the closed unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  via rotations
- Invariant probability measure  $\mu$ : normalised Lebesgue measure
- Ergodic measures: rotation invariant probability measures  $\lambda_r$  on the orbits  $r\mathbb{T}$  (circles with radius  $r \in [0, 1]$ ), viewed as measures on  $\mathbb{D}$

## Problems with first attempt (here: 'restriction to circles')

- If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ , and  $r \in [0, 1]$ , then  $f$  need not be in  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \lambda_r)$ : easy examples with function vanishing off  $r\mathbb{T}$
- If  $f$  and  $g$  represent the same element of  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ , and  $r \in [0, 1]$ , then their 'interpretations' in  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \lambda_r)$  need not coincide: same type of example

## Outline of solution: measure on the ergodic measures and precision

- Introduce a probability measure  $\nu$  on the set of ergodic measures  $\mathcal{E}$
- If  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu)$ , i.e. if  $f$  is  $p$ -integrable with respect  $\mu$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \lambda)$  for  $\nu$ -almost all  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{E}$
- If  $[f]_\mu = [g]_\mu$  in  $L^p(X, \mu)$ , and if we define  $[f]_\lambda = [0]_\lambda$  for the exceptional  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$  (and naturally otherwise), and likewise for  $g$ , then  $[f]_\lambda = [g]_\lambda$  for  $\nu$ -almost  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$
- So: get a map from  $L^p(X, \mu)$  to  $\nu$ -almost everywhere equivalence classes of sections

$$S : L^p(X, \mu) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} L^p(X, \lambda)$$

## For the time being

- $G$  is a locally compact Polish group
- $X$  is a Polish space
- $G$  acts on  $X$  (simultaneous continuity in both variables)
- $\mu$  is an invariant Borel probability measure on  $X$
- $\mathcal{E}$  is the (non-empty) set of ergodic Borel probability measures on  $X$
- $\mathcal{E}$  is supplied with the induced weak\*-topology from  $C_b(X)^*$

## Be careful

- Need to keep distinction between  $f$ ,  $[f]_\mu$ , and  $[f]_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$
- Measures are not necessarily complete
- Measurability is an issue to keep track of

## Theorem (Farrell, Varadarajan)

*In our Polish context  $(G, X)$ , there exists a Borel measurable map  $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ ,  $x \mapsto \beta_x$ , such that, for all invariant  $\mu$ :*

- 1**  $\beta_{gx} = \beta_x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ ;
- 2**  $\lambda(\beta^{-1}(\{\lambda\})) = 1$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- 3** For all Borel subsets  $Y$  of  $X$ ,

$$\mu(Y) = \int_X \beta_x(Y) d\mu(x).$$

## Remarks

- Parts 1 and 2: 'ergodic measures live on union of orbits'
- If  $G$  is compact: the ergodic measures are the push-forwards of the Haar measure to the orbits, using any point on them
- $G$  compact,  $X$  locally compact: Seda and Wickstead (1976)

Prepare to interpret the equation: introduce measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ 

- Recall: for all Borel subsets  $Y$  of  $X$ ,

$$\mu(Y) = \int_X \beta_x(Y) d\mu(x)$$

- A bit nondescriptive
- Becomes more transparent (and general!) by pushing  $\mu$  forward to  $\mathcal{E}$  via  $\beta$ :

$$\nu(A) := \mu(\beta^{-1}(A))$$

for Borel subsets  $A$  of  $\mathcal{E}$

- Now we have a Borel probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$
- $\nu$  does not depend on the choice for  $\beta$



## Theorem (—, Rozendaal (?))

In our Polish context  $(G, X)$ , with invariant  $\mu$  on  $X$  and push-forward  $\nu$  of  $\mu$  via  $\beta$  to  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have the following:

- 1** If  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is Borel measurable, then the extended function  $\lambda \mapsto \int_X f(x) d\lambda(x)$ , with values in  $[0, \infty]$ , is Borel measurable on  $\mathcal{E}$ . In  $[0, \infty]$ , we have

$$\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left( \int_X f(x) d\lambda(x) \right) d\nu(\lambda).$$

- 2** If  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu)$ , then the set of  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $f \notin \mathcal{L}^1(X, \lambda)$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  that has  $\nu$ -measure zero. For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$ , let  $I_f(\lambda) := \int_X f(x) d\lambda(x)$  if  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \lambda)$ , and let  $I_f(\lambda) := 0$  if  $f \notin \mathcal{L}^1(X, \lambda)$ . Then  $I_f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{E}, \nu)$ , and

$$\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}} I_f(\lambda) d\nu(\lambda).$$

## Corollary

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu)$ . Then the set of  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $f \notin \mathcal{L}^p(X, \lambda)$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  that has  $\nu$ -measure zero. For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$ , let  $s_f(\lambda) := [f]_\lambda$  if  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \lambda)$ , and let  $s_f(\lambda) := [0]_\lambda$  otherwise. Then

$$\| [f]_\mu \|_{L^p(X, \mu)} = \left( \int_{\mathcal{E}} \| s_f(\lambda) \|_{L^p(X, \lambda)}^p d\nu(\lambda) \right)^{1/p}.$$

## Moving in the right direction

- Norm on  $L^p(X, \mu)$  has been disintegrated into the norms on the  $L^p(X, \lambda)$  as  $\lambda$  ranges over  $\mathcal{E}$
- We see: if  $[f]_\mu = 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere, then  $[f]_\lambda = [0]_\lambda$  for  $\nu$ -almost all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$
- Equivalence classes of sections just around the corner

## So far

- Have a bundle of Banach spaces  $\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} L^p(X, \lambda)$  over  $\mathcal{E}$
- For each  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu)$ , we have a section

$$s_f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} L^p(X, \lambda)$$

that is (essentially) given by

$$s_f = [f]_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in \mathcal{E})$$

- $G$  acts fibrewise on sections of  $\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} L^p(X, \lambda)$ , and the action in each fibre by positive isometries is order indecomposable
- The map  $f \mapsto s_f$  is  $G$ -equivariant: 'restricting to an orbit is  $G$ -equivariant'

## So far—but how to continue?

- Identify sections of  $\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} L^p(X, \lambda)$  that are  $\nu$ -almost everywhere equal
- Yields an abstract vector space  $\mathcal{S}_\nu$
- Have a (well-defined!)  $G$ -equivariant map

$$S : L^p(X, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\nu$$

given by

$$S([f]_\mu) = [s_f]_\nu$$

- Still to be done: show that  $S(L^p(X, \mu)) \subset \mathcal{S}_\nu$  is a Banach space in a natural way, obtained by glueing together the spaces  $L^p(X, \lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$

## Slight extension of direct integral formalism in 'Randomly normed spaces'

- Formalism glues together Banach spaces/lattices *that need not be equal*
- But they are still connected: they contain (the image of) a common 'core' that is dense in each of them
- For example: the image of the simple functions on  $X$  is dense in  $L^p(X, \lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$

## Special cases (take identical spaces)

- Direct integrals of separable Hilbert spaces
- Bochner  $L^p$ -spaces

## Ingredients

- A measure space  $(\mathcal{E}, \nu)$  (no conditions on  $\nu$ )
- A vector lattice  $V$  (the common 'core'): *think of the simple functions on  $X$*
- A collection  $\{\|\cdot\|_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}}$  of lattice seminorms on  $V$  such that  $\lambda \mapsto \|x\|_\lambda$  is a measurable function on  $\mathcal{E}$  for all  $x \in V$ : *think of the  $p$ -seminorm on the simple functions for ergodic  $\lambda$*

## The spaces to be glued together

- For each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$ , let  $B_\lambda$  be the Banach lattice that is the completion of  $V/\ker \|\cdot\|_\lambda$  in the norm induced by the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$ : *think of  $L^p(X, \lambda)$  for ergodic  $\lambda$*
- The  $B_\lambda$  are glued together via the image of  $V$ : *this is what we want*

## Sections

- A section is a map  $s : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} B_\lambda$  such that  $s(\lambda) \in B_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$
- A simple section is a section of the form

$$s(\lambda) = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k}(\lambda) x_k \right]_\lambda \in V / \ker \|\cdot\|_\lambda \subset B_\lambda$$

for  $x_i \in V$  and measurable  $A_i \subset \mathcal{E}$

- A measurable section is a section that is the pointwise limit (in the various  $B_\lambda$ ) of simple sections

## Direct integral

- Measurable sections form vector lattice with pointwise operations
- Identify measurable sections that agree  $\nu$ -almost everywhere
- Gives vector lattice again
- Denoted by  $\int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} B_{\lambda} d\nu(\lambda)$ : the direct integral of the  $B_{\lambda}$  (with respect to  $\nu$ )

## Important point

- For each measurable section  $s$ , the function  $\lambda \rightarrow \|s(\lambda)\|_{\lambda}$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  is measurable
- Can use this to locate normed subspaces of  $\int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} B_{\lambda} d\nu(\lambda)$



## $L^p$ -direct integrals

- Consider those equivalence classes  $[s]_\nu \in \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} B_\lambda d\nu(\lambda)$  such that

$$\|[s]_\nu\|_p := \left( \int_{\mathcal{E}} \|s(\lambda)\|_\lambda^p d\nu(\lambda) \right)^{1/p} < \infty$$

- Does not depend on the representative
- Form a normed vector lattice
- Notation:

$$\left( \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} B_\lambda d\nu(\lambda) \right)_{L^p}$$

- Name:  $L^p$ -direct integral of the family  $(B_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}}$

## Proposition

*The  $L^p$ -direct integral*

$$\left( \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} B_{\lambda} \, d\nu(\lambda) \right)_{L^p}$$

*is a Banach lattice. The set of all  $\nu$ -equivalence classes of  $p$ -integrable simple sections is a dense sublattice.*

## Proof of completeness

- Inspired by the usual proof for  $L^p$ -spaces. Be careful with measurability
- Haydon, Levy, and Raynaud work with complete measures in 'Randomly normed spaces'

## Combine with results from ergodic decomposition

- For  $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ , have a section  $s_f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} L^p(X, \lambda)$  that is (essentially) given by

$$s_f(\lambda) = [f]_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in \mathcal{E})$$

- Can show:  $s_f$  is a measurable section of  $\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}} L^p(X, \lambda)$
- Have map  $S : L^p(X, \mu) \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} L^p(X, \lambda) d\nu(\lambda)$  given by

$$S([f]_\mu) = [s_f]_\nu$$

- Disintegration of the  $p$ -norm shows that this is well-defined, and that  $S$  is an isometric embedding of  $L^p(X, \mu)$  into  $\left( \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} L^p(X, \lambda) d\nu(\lambda) \right)_{L^p}$
- Use properties of  $\beta$  to verify that the image contains the  $\nu$ -equivalence classes of simple sections. These are dense, so...

## Theorem (disintegration: spatial case)

Let  $(G, X)$  be a Polish topological dynamical system with locally compact  $G$ , let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $\mu$  be an invariant Borel probability measure on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the ergodic Borel probability measures on  $X$ , carrying the weak\*-topology from  $C_b(X)$ .

Choose a decomposition map  $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , and let  $\nu$  be the Borel probability measure on  $\mathcal{E}$  that is the push-forward of  $\mu$  via  $\beta$ .

Consider the  $L^p$ -direct integral  $\left( \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} L^p(X, \lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \right)_{L^p}$  that corresponds to the vector lattice of simple functions on  $X$  and the family of  $p$ -seminorms on it that corresponds to  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Then there is a natural isometric lattice isomorphism

$$S : L^p(X, \mu) \rightarrow \left( \int_{\mathcal{E}}^{\oplus} L^p(X, \lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \right)_{L^p}$$

under which the natural action of  $G$  on  $L^p(X, \mu)$  corresponds to the order indecomposable natural action of  $G$  on the fibres.

## More general context

- Borel probability space  $(X, \mu)$
- Strongly continuous representation of Polish locally compact  $G$  on  $L^p(X, \mu)$  as positive isometries leaving  $\mathbf{1}$  fixed
- So: *no underlying action of  $G$  on underlying point set  $X$*
- Can we still disintegrate the representation into order indecomposables?

## Solution: find spatial model for the situation

- If  $L^p(X, \mu)$  is separable: yes
- Idea behind reduction to spatial case goes back to Varadarajan (?)
- Thanks to Markus Haase for pointing this out

## Lemma

*Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a probability space. Suppose that  $L^p(X, \mu)$  is separable, and that the locally compact Polish group  $G$  acts strongly continuously on  $L^p(X, \mu)$  as positive isometries that leave the constants fixed.*

*Then there exists a separable  $G$ -invariant closed subalgebra  $A$  of  $(L^\infty(X, \mu), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  that contains  $\mathbf{1}_X$ , is dense in  $L^p(X, \mu)$ , and is such that the restricted representation of  $G$  on  $(A, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is strongly continuous.*

## Where the new space comes from

- Gelfand-Naimark (via complexification) yields compact  $K$  and unital isometric algebra and lattice isomorphism  
$$\Phi : (A, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (C(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$$
- $A$  is separable, so  $K$  is compact metrisable space: Polish

## Take a few steps to transfer everything to $K$ (details omitted)

- $G$  acts strongly continuously on  $A$ : *gives action of  $G$  on  $K$  that is continuous in both variables*
- Then  $\Phi : A \rightarrow C(K)$  is  $G$ -equivariant by construction
- Riesz representation theorem gives Borel probability measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $K$  such that

$$\int_K \Phi(f) d\tilde{\mu} = \int_X f d\mu \quad (f \in A)$$

- $\tilde{\mu}$  is  $G$ -invariant since  $G$  acts as isometries on  $L^p(X, \mu)$
- $\Phi$  is isometric for the  $p$ -norms corresponding to  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $K$  and to  $\mu$  on  $X$
- Hence ( $A$  is dense in  $L^p(X, \mu)$ !)  $\Phi$  extends to  $G$ -equivariant isometric lattice isomorphism between  $L^p(K, \tilde{\mu})$  and  $L^p(X, \mu)$

## Mission accomplished

- Have found an alternative model for the representation of  $G$  on  $L^p(X, \mu)$ : the representation of  $G$  on  $L^p(K, \tilde{\mu})$  that originates from the action of  $G$  on  $K$
- This we can handle...

## Theorem (disintegration: general case)

*Let  $G$  be a locally compact Polish group, let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on a set  $X$  such that  $L^p(X, \mu)$  is separable. If  $\rho : G \rightarrow B(L^p(X, \mu))$  is a strongly continuous representation as positive isometries leaving the constants fixed, then  $\rho$  is isometrically lattice equivalent to an  $L^p$ -direct integral of similar representations on  $L^p$ -spaces (for Borel probability measures on a common compact metric space) that are order indecomposable.*