

Galois connections between generating systems of sets and sequences

Rauni Lillemets

University of Tartu, Estonia

July 17, 2017



POSITIVE



GREETINGS



FROM



ESTONIA



The aim of this talk

- Introduce the notions of generating systems of sets and sequences

The aim of this talk

- Introduce the notions of generating systems of sets and sequences
- Study these notions

The aim of this talk

- Introduce the notions of generating systems of sets and sequences
- Study these notions
- Show that there is a deep relationship between these notions

Historical background

- Operator ideals – introduced by A. Pietsch in 1978

Historical background

- Operator ideals – introduced by A. Pietsch in 1978
- Generating systems of sets and sequences – introduced by I. Stephani in 1980

Historical background

- Operator ideals – introduced by A. Pietsch in 1978
- Generating systems of sets and sequences – introduced by I. Stephani in 1980
- Given two generating systems of sets, one obtains an operator ideal by considering all of the operators that map the sets of the first system to the sets of the second system

Historical background

- Operator ideals – introduced by A. Pietsch in 1978
- Generating systems of sets and sequences – introduced by I. Stephani in 1980
- Given two generating systems of sets, one obtains an operator ideal by considering all of the operators that map the sets of the first system to the sets of the second system
- Generating systems of sequences produce generating systems of sets

A remark

We always consider X to be Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

A remark

We always consider X to be Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

- In order to produce operator ideals, Stephani considered systems of sets and sequences over all Banach spaces simultaneously.

A remark

We always consider X to be Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

- In order to produce operator ideals, Stephani considered systems of sets and sequences over all Banach spaces simultaneously.
- In this talk, we do the simplification of fixing a Banach space X and we consider only systems of sequences in X and systems of subsets of X .

A remark

We always consider X to be Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

- In order to produce operator ideals, Stephani considered systems of sets and sequences over all Banach spaces simultaneously.
- In this talk, we do the simplification of fixing a Banach space X and we consider only systems of sequences in X and systems of subsets of X .

Why?

A remark

We always consider X to be Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

- In order to produce operator ideals, Stephani considered systems of sets and sequences over all Banach spaces simultaneously.
- In this talk, we do the simplification of fixing a Banach space X and we consider only systems of sequences in X and systems of subsets of X .

Why?

- Simplifies notation

A remark

We always consider X to be Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

- In order to produce operator ideals, Stephani considered systems of sets and sequences over all Banach spaces simultaneously.
- In this talk, we do the simplification of fixing a Banach space X and we consider only systems of sequences in X and systems of subsets of X .

Why?

- Simplifies notation
- Avoids leaving ZFC

A remark

We always consider X to be Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

- In order to produce operator ideals, Stephani considered systems of sets and sequences over all Banach spaces simultaneously.
- In this talk, we do the simplification of fixing a Banach space X and we consider only systems of sequences in X and systems of subsets of X .

Why?

- Simplifies notation
- Avoids leaving ZFC
- All results still hold in the general context

Generating system of sets

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{G} of bounded subsets of X is a *generating system of sets* if

Generating system of sets

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{G} of bounded subsets of X is a *generating system of sets* if

- (G_1) \mathbf{G} is closed under algebraic operations: if $G, H \in \mathbf{G}$, then $\alpha G + \beta H \in \mathbf{G}$ for any scalars α and β ;

Generating system of sets

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{G} of bounded subsets of X is a *generating system of sets* if

- (G_1) \mathbf{G} is closed under algebraic operations: if $G, H \in \mathbf{G}$, then $\alpha G + \beta H \in \mathbf{G}$ for any scalars α and β ;
- (G_2) \mathbf{G} contains every bounded subset of every 1-dimensional subspace of X ;

Generating system of sets

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{G} of bounded subsets of X is a *generating system of sets* if

- (G_1) \mathbf{G} is closed under algebraic operations: if $G, H \in \mathbf{G}$, then $\alpha G + \beta H \in \mathbf{G}$ for any scalars α and β ;
- (G_2) \mathbf{G} contains every bounded subset of every 1-dimensional subspace of X ;
- (G_3) \mathbf{G} is closed under taking subsets: if $G \in \mathbf{G}$ and $H \subseteq G$, then $H \in \mathbf{G}$.

Generating system of sets

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{G} of bounded subsets of X is a *generating system of sets* if

- (G_1) \mathbf{G} is closed under algebraic operations: if $G, H \in \mathbf{G}$, then $\alpha G + \beta H \in \mathbf{G}$ for any scalars α and β ;
- (G_2) \mathbf{G} contains every bounded subset of every 1-dimensional subspace of X ;
- (G_3) \mathbf{G} is closed under taking subsets: if $G \in \mathbf{G}$ and $H \subseteq G$, then $H \in \mathbf{G}$.

Denote the set of all generating systems of sets by $GSet$.

Generating system of sets

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{G} of bounded subsets of X is a *generating system of sets* if

- (G_1) \mathbf{G} is closed under algebraic operations: if $G, H \in \mathbf{G}$, then $\alpha G + \beta H \in \mathbf{G}$ for any scalars α and β ;
- (G_2) \mathbf{G} contains every bounded subset of every 1-dimensional subspace of X ;
- (G_3) \mathbf{G} is closed under taking subsets: if $G \in \mathbf{G}$ and $H \subseteq G$, then $H \in \mathbf{G}$.

Denote the set of all generating systems of sets by \mathbf{GSet} .

Denote by \mathbf{B} the system of all bounded sets in X .

Generating system of sets

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{G} of bounded subsets of X is a *generating system of sets* if

- (G_1) \mathbf{G} is closed under algebraic operations: if $G, H \in \mathbf{G}$, then $\alpha G + \beta H \in \mathbf{G}$ for any scalars α and β ;
- (G_2) \mathbf{G} contains every bounded subset of every 1-dimensional subspace of X ;
- (G_3) \mathbf{G} is closed under taking subsets: if $G \in \mathbf{G}$ and $H \subseteq G$, then $H \in \mathbf{G}$.

Denote the set of all generating systems of sets by \mathbf{GSet} .

Denote by \mathbf{B} the system of all bounded sets in X .

Example: $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{GSet}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{GSet}$

Generating system of sequences

Denote by \mathfrak{m} the system of all bounded sequences in X .

Generating system of sequences

Denote by \mathbf{m} the system of all bounded sequences in X .

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{g} of bounded sequences in X is a *generating system of sequences* if

Generating system of sequences

Denote by \mathfrak{m} the system of all bounded sequences in X .

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathfrak{g} of bounded sequences in X is a *generating system of sequences* if

(S₁) \mathfrak{g} is a linear subspace of \mathfrak{m} ;

Generating system of sequences

Denote by \mathfrak{m} the system of all bounded sequences in X .

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathfrak{g} of bounded sequences in X is a *generating system of sequences* if

- (S₁) \mathfrak{g} is a linear subspace of \mathfrak{m} ;
- (S₂) every sequence which spans a 1-dimensional subspace of X has a subsequence which is an element of \mathfrak{g} ;

Generating system of sequences

Denote by \mathfrak{m} the system of all bounded sequences in X .

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathfrak{g} of bounded sequences in X is a *generating system of sequences* if

- (S₁) \mathfrak{g} is a linear subspace of \mathfrak{m} ;
- (S₂) every sequence which spans a 1-dimensional subspace of X has a subsequence which is an element of \mathfrak{g} ;
- (S₃) \mathfrak{g} is closed under passing to subsequences.

Generating system of sequences

Denote by \mathfrak{m} the system of all bounded sequences in X .

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathfrak{g} of bounded sequences in X is a *generating system of sequences* if

- (S₁) \mathfrak{g} is a linear subspace of \mathfrak{m} ;
- (S₂) every sequence which spans a 1-dimensional subspace of X has a subsequence which is an element of \mathfrak{g} ;
- (S₃) \mathfrak{g} is closed under passing to subsequences.

Denote the set of all generating systems of sequences by $GSeq$.

Generating system of sequences

Denote by \mathbf{m} the system of all bounded sequences in X .

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A collection \mathbf{g} of bounded sequences in X is a *generating system of sequences* if

- (S₁) \mathbf{g} is a linear subspace of \mathbf{m} ;
- (S₂) every sequence which spans a 1-dimensional subspace of X has a subsequence which is an element of \mathbf{g} ;
- (S₃) \mathbf{g} is closed under passing to subsequences.

Denote the set of all generating systems of sequences by $GSeq$.

Examples: $\mathbf{c} \in GSeq$, $\mathbf{m} \in GSeq$

Mapping systems of sequences to systems of sets

Let $\mathbf{g} \in \text{GSeq}$.

Stephani defined a map $\Psi: \text{GSeq} \rightarrow \text{GSet}$ in the following way.

Mapping systems of sequences to systems of sets

Let $\mathbf{g} \in \text{GSeq}$.

Stephani defined a map $\Psi: \text{GSeq} \rightarrow \text{GSet}$ in the following way.

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A set G belongs to the system of sets $\Psi(\mathbf{g})$ iff every sequence $(x_k) \subset G$ contains a subsequence in the system of sequences \mathbf{g} .

Mapping systems of sequences to systems of sets

Let $\mathbf{g} \in \text{GSeq}$.

Stephani defined a map $\Psi: \text{GSeq} \rightarrow \text{GSet}$ in the following way.

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

A set G belongs to the system of sets $\Psi(\mathbf{g})$ iff every sequence $(x_k) \subset G$ contains a subsequence in the system of sequences \mathbf{g} .

By definition, $\Psi(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{K}$.

Also, $\Psi(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{B}$.

Mapping systems of sets to systems of sequences

Let $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{GSet}$.

We define a map $\Phi: \mathbf{GSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{GSeq}$ in the following way.

Mapping systems of sets to systems of sequences

Let $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{GSet}$.

We define a map $\Phi: \mathbf{GSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{GSeq}$ in the following way.

Definition

A sequence (x_k) belongs to the system of sequences $\Phi(\mathbf{G})$ iff it is contained in G for some $G \in \mathbf{G}$.

An alternative notion of relative compactness

A. Grothendieck proved the following result in his famous Memoir.

Theorem (Grothendieck, 1955)

A set $K \subset X$ is relatively compact if and only if there exists $x = (x_k) \in c_0(X)$ so that $K \subset \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k \mid (\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_1}\}$.

An alternative notion of relative compactness

A. Grothendieck proved the following result in his famous Memoir.

Theorem (Grothendieck, 1955)

A set $K \subset X$ is relatively compact if and only if there exists $x = (x_k) \in c_0(X)$ so that $K \subset \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k \mid (\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_1}\}$.

Let us use the shorthands $\ell_{\infty}(X) := c_0(X)$ and $\ell_{\infty} := c_0$.

Definition (Ain, Lillemets, Oja, 2012)

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq r \leq p^*$.

A set $K \subset X$ is said to be *relatively (p, r) -compact*, if there exists $x = (x_k) \in \ell_p(X)$ so that $K \subset \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k \mid (\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_r}\}$.

An alternative notion of relative compactness

A. Grothendieck proved the following result in his famous Memoir.

Theorem (Grothendieck, 1955)

A set $K \subset X$ is relatively compact if and only if there exists $x = (x_k) \in c_0(X)$ so that $K \subset \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k \mid (\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_1}\}$.

Let us use the shorthands $\ell_{\infty}(X) := c_0(X)$ and $\ell_{\infty} := c_0$.

Definition (Ain, Lillemets, Oja, 2012)

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq r \leq p^*$.

A set $K \subset X$ is said to be *relatively (p, r) -compact*, if there exists $x = (x_k) \in \ell_p(X)$ so that $K \subset \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k \mid (\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_r}\}$.

Denote by $\mathbf{K}_{(p,r)}$ the set of all relatively (p, r) -compact sets in X . Observe that $\mathbf{K}_{(\infty,1)} = \mathbf{K}$.

A question

In 1980, Stephani gave an answer to the following question.

Question (Stephani, 1980)

Which operator ideals can be represented as operators mapping bounded sets to sets of a system \mathbf{G} , where $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{GSet}$?

A question

In 1980, Stephani gave an answer to the following question.

Question (Stephani, 1980)

Which operator ideals can be represented as operators mapping bounded sets to sets of a system \mathbf{G} , where $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{GSet}$?

Answer: all *surjective operator ideals*.

A question

In 1980, Stephani gave an answer to the following question.

Question (Stephani, 1980)

Which operator ideals can be represented as operators mapping bounded sets to sets of a system \mathbf{G} , where $\mathbf{G} \in \text{GSet}$?

Answer: all *surjective operator ideals*.

Definition

A system $\mathbf{G} \in \text{GSet}$ is said to be *sequentially generatable* if there exists $\mathbf{g} \in \text{GSeq}$ so that $\mathbf{G} = \Psi(\mathbf{g})$.

A question

In 1980, Stephani gave an answer to the following question.

Question (Stephani, 1980)

Which operator ideals can be represented as operators mapping bounded sets to sets of a system \mathbf{G} , where $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{GSet}$?

Answer: all *surjective operator ideals*.

Definition

A system $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{GSet}$ is said to be *sequentially generatable* if there exists $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{GSeq}$ so that $\mathbf{G} = \Psi(\mathbf{g})$.

Questions

Which generating systems of sets are sequentially generatable? For example, is $\mathbf{K}_{(p,r)}$ sequentially generatable?

Galois connection

Galois connection

Let A and B be ordered sets.

Definition

A pair (R, S) of maps $R: A \rightarrow B$ and $S: B \rightarrow A$ is called a *Galois connection* if $R(a) \leq b \Leftrightarrow a \leq S(b)$.

Galois connection

Let A and B be ordered sets.

Definition

A pair (R, S) of maps $R: A \rightarrow B$ and $S: B \rightarrow A$ is called a *Galois connection* if $R(a) \leq b \Leftrightarrow a \leq S(b)$.

Lemma

Assume that the pair (R, S) is a Galois connection between ordered sets A and B . Let $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b, b_1, b_2 \in B$. Then

- (1) $a \leq SR(a)$ and $RS(b) \leq b$;
- (2) $a_1 \leq a_2 \Rightarrow R(a_1) \leq R(a_2)$ and $b_1 \leq b_2 \Rightarrow S(b_1) \leq S(b_2)$;
- (3) $R(a) = RSR(a)$ and $S(b) = SRS(b)$.

Galois connection

Let A and B be ordered sets.

Definition

A pair (R, S) of maps $R: A \rightarrow B$ and $S: B \rightarrow A$ is called a *Galois connection* if $R(a) \leq b \Leftrightarrow a \leq S(b)$.

Lemma

Assume that the pair (R, S) is a Galois connection between ordered sets A and B . Let $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b, b_1, b_2 \in B$. Then

- (1) $a \leq SR(a)$ and $RS(b) \leq b$;
- (2) $a_1 \leq a_2 \Rightarrow R(a_1) \leq R(a_2)$ and $b_1 \leq b_2 \Rightarrow S(b_1) \leq S(b_2)$;
- (3) $R(a) = RSR(a)$ and $S(b) = SRS(b)$.

For a given $a \in A$ there exists $b \in B$ such that $S(b) = a$ if and only if $a = SR(a)$.

Defining orders on systems of sets and sequences

The set \mathbf{GSet} is ordered with respect to inclusion \subseteq .

Note that $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ for every $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{GSet}$.

Defining orders on systems of sets and sequences

The set $GSet$ is ordered with respect to inclusion \subseteq .

Note that $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ for every $\mathbf{G} \in GSet$.

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

Let $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in GSeq$. The system \mathbf{h} is said to *dominate* the system \mathbf{g} , written $\mathbf{g} \lesssim \mathbf{h}$, if every sequence from \mathbf{g} has a subsequence in \mathbf{h} .

Defining orders on systems of sets and sequences

The set $GSet$ is ordered with respect to inclusion \subseteq .

Note that $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ for every $\mathbf{G} \in GSet$.

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

Let $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in GSeq$. The system \mathbf{h} is said to *dominate* the system \mathbf{g} , written $\mathbf{g} \lesssim \mathbf{h}$, if every sequence from \mathbf{g} has a subsequence in \mathbf{h} .

Proposition

The relation \lesssim is a preorder on the class $GSeq$. But it is not an order (since it does not satisfy the axiom of antisymmetry).

Defining orders on systems of sets and sequences

The set $GSet$ is ordered with respect to inclusion \subseteq .

Note that $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ for every $\mathbf{G} \in GSet$.

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

Let $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in GSeq$. The system \mathbf{h} is said to *dominate* the system \mathbf{g} , written $\mathbf{g} \lesssim \mathbf{h}$, if every sequence from \mathbf{g} has a subsequence in \mathbf{h} .

Proposition

The relation \lesssim is a preorder on the class $GSeq$. But it is not an order (since it does not satisfy the axiom of antisymmetry).

Definition

Given $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in GSeq$, we write $\mathbf{g} \sim \mathbf{h}$ if $\mathbf{g} \lesssim \mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h} \lesssim \mathbf{g}$.

Defining orders on systems of sets and sequences

The set $GSet$ is ordered with respect to inclusion \subseteq .

Note that $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ for every $\mathbf{G} \in GSet$.

Definition (Stephani, 1980)

Let $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in GSeq$. The system \mathbf{h} is said to *dominate* the system \mathbf{g} , written $\mathbf{g} \lesssim \mathbf{h}$, if every sequence from \mathbf{g} has a subsequence in \mathbf{h} .

Proposition

The relation \lesssim is a preorder on the class $GSeq$. But it is not an order (since it does not satisfy the axiom of antisymmetry).

Definition

Given $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in GSeq$, we write $\mathbf{g} \sim \mathbf{h}$ if $\mathbf{g} \lesssim \mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h} \lesssim \mathbf{g}$.

Relation \sim is an equivalence relation on $GSeq$. Preorder \lesssim on $GSeq$ induces an order on $GSeq/\sim$ via $[\mathbf{g}] \leq [\mathbf{h}]$ whenever $\mathbf{g} \lesssim \mathbf{h}$.

Maps between GSet and GSeq/ \sim

Proposition

Let $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in \text{GSeq}$. Then $\mathbf{g} \sim \mathbf{h}$ iff $\Psi(\mathbf{g}) = \Psi(\mathbf{h})$.

Maps between GSet and GSeq/ \sim

Proposition

Let $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in \text{GSeq}$. Then $\mathbf{g} \sim \mathbf{h}$ iff $\Psi(\mathbf{g}) = \Psi(\mathbf{h})$.

Definition

Define the operator $\phi: \text{GSet} \rightarrow \text{GSeq}/\sim$ by

$$\phi(\mathbf{G}) := [\Phi(\mathbf{G})], \text{ where } \mathbf{G} \in \text{GSet}.$$

Define the operator $\psi: \text{GSeq}/\sim \rightarrow \text{GSet}$ by

$$\psi([\mathbf{g}]) := \Psi(\mathbf{g}), \text{ where } [\mathbf{g}] \in \text{GSeq}/\sim.$$

Maps between GSet and GSeq/ \sim

Proposition

Let $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in \text{GSeq}$. Then $\mathbf{g} \sim \mathbf{h}$ iff $\Psi(\mathbf{g}) = \Psi(\mathbf{h})$.

Definition

Define the operator $\phi: \text{GSet} \rightarrow \text{GSeq}/\sim$ by

$$\phi(\mathbf{G}) := [\Phi(\mathbf{G})], \text{ where } \mathbf{G} \in \text{GSet}.$$

Define the operator $\psi: \text{GSeq}/\sim \rightarrow \text{GSet}$ by

$$\psi([\mathbf{g}]) := \Psi(\mathbf{g}), \text{ where } [\mathbf{g}] \in \text{GSeq}/\sim.$$

For example, $\psi([\mathbf{c}]) = \Psi(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{K}$.

Galois connection between GSet and GSeq/ \sim

Theorem

The pair (ϕ, ψ) is a Galois connection between GSet and GSeq/ \sim .

Galois connection between GSet and GSeq/ \sim

Theorem

The pair (ϕ, ψ) is a Galois connection between GSet and GSeq/ \sim .

Corollary

Let $\mathbf{G} \in \text{GSet}$. Then \mathbf{G} is sequentially generatable iff
 $\psi(\phi(\mathbf{G})) = \Psi(\Phi(\mathbf{G})) = \mathbf{G}$.

Galois connection between GSet and GSeq/ \sim

Theorem

The pair (ϕ, ψ) is a Galois connection between GSet and GSeq/ \sim .

Corollary

Let $\mathbf{G} \in \text{GSet}$. Then \mathbf{G} is sequentially generatable iff
 $\psi(\phi(\mathbf{G})) = \Psi(\Phi(\mathbf{G})) = \mathbf{G}$.

Proposition

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $1 \leq r \leq p^*$. Then $\Psi(\Phi(\mathbf{K}_{(p,r)})) = \mathbf{K}$.

Galois connection between GSet and GSeq/ \sim

Theorem

The pair (ϕ, ψ) is a Galois connection between GSet and GSeq/ \sim .

Corollary

Let $\mathbf{G} \in \text{GSet}$. Then \mathbf{G} is sequentially generatable iff $\psi(\phi(\mathbf{G})) = \Psi(\Phi(\mathbf{G})) = \mathbf{G}$.

Proposition

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $1 \leq r \leq p^*$. Then $\Psi(\Phi(\mathbf{K}_{(p,r)})) = \mathbf{K}$.

Theorem (Delgado, Piñeiro, Serrano, 2010)

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. If X is infinite dimensional, then there exists a compact set $K \subseteq X$ such that $K \notin \mathbf{K}_{(p,r)}$.

Takeaways

To conclude:

Takeaways

To conclude:

- The notion of a generating system of sets is a useful tool for generating new operator ideals.

Takeaways

To conclude:

- The notion of a generating system of sets is a useful tool for generating new operator ideals.
- There is an intimate relationship between generating systems of sets and sequences.

Takeaways

To conclude:

- The notion of a generating system of sets is a useful tool for generating new operator ideals.
- There is an intimate relationship between generating systems of sets and sequences.
- Not all generating systems of sets can be created from systems of sequences – but at least we have a criterion for deciding which of them can be.

Takeaways

To conclude:

- The notion of a generating system of sets is a useful tool for generating new operator ideals.
- There is an intimate relationship between generating systems of sets and sequences.
- Not all generating systems of sets can be created from systems of sequences – but at least we have a criterion for deciding which of them can be.

This talk was based on a paper “Galois connections between generating systems of sets and sequences”, which was published (online) in 2016 in the journal Positivity.

Thank you for listening!