$u\tau$ -Topologies in locally solid Riesz spaces

Eduard Emel'yanov

Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey

July 20, 2017

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 三日

1/22

Content

Introduction

- 2 Several results on $u\tau$ -topology
- When *uc*-convergence coincides with *c*-convergence

4 References

- All Riesz spaces in the talk are supposed to be real and Archimeadean.
- Let "→" be a convergence on a Riesz space X which agrees with linear and lattice operations in X in the following sence:

$$x_{\alpha} \equiv x \Rightarrow x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{c} x; \tag{1}$$

and

$$X \ni x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{c}} x, \ X \ni y_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{c}} y, \ \mathbb{R} \ni r_{\alpha} \to r$$

imply

.

$$r_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha} + y_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{c}} r \cdot x + y,$$
 (2)

$$r_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha} \wedge y_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{c}} r \cdot x \wedge y. \tag{3}$$

(ロ)、(部)、(主)、(主)、(主)、(2)、(3)(2)

- As basic examples of such a convergence, we keep in mind o-convergence, ru-convergence, τ-convergence (if X is a locally solid Riesz space with its locally solid topology τ), and m-convergence (if X = (X, m) is a multi-normed Riesz space).
- We say that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uc} x$ in X if $|x_{\alpha} x| \wedge u \xrightarrow{c} 0$ for any $u \in X_+$, where " \xrightarrow{uc} " stands for unbounded *c*-convergence.
- It is immediate to see that *uc*-convergence is also agreed with linear and lattice operations in *X*, and *uuc*-convergence coincides with *uc*-convergence.

- As basic examples of such a convergence, we keep in mind *o*-convergence, *ru*-convergence, *τ*-convergence (if X is a locally solid Riesz space with its locally solid topology *τ*), and *m*-convergence (if X = (X, m) is a multi-normed Riesz space).
- We say that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uc} x$ in X if $|x_{\alpha} x| \wedge u \xrightarrow{c} 0$ for any $u \in X_+$, where " \xrightarrow{uc} " stands for unbounded *c*-convergence.
- It is immediate to see that *uc*-convergence is also agreed with linear and lattice operations in *X*, and *uuc*-convergence coincides with *uc*-convergence.

- As basic examples of such a convergence, we keep in mind *o*-convergence, *ru*-convergence, *τ*-convergence (if X is a locally solid Riesz space with its locally solid topology *τ*), and *m*-convergence (if X = (X, m) is a multi-normed Riesz space).
- We say that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uc} x$ in X if $|x_{\alpha} x| \wedge u \xrightarrow{c} 0$ for any $u \in X_+$, where " \xrightarrow{uc} " stands for unbounded *c*-convergence.
- It is immediate to see that *uc*-convergence is also agreed with linear and lattice operations in *X*, and *uuc*-convergence coincides with *uc*-convergence.

- As basic examples of such a convergence, we keep in mind *o*-convergence, *ru*-convergence, *τ*-convergence (if X is a locally solid Riesz space with its locally solid topology *τ*), and *m*-convergence (if X = (X, m) is a multi-normed Riesz space).
- We say that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uc} x$ in X if $|x_{\alpha} x| \wedge u \xrightarrow{c} 0$ for any $u \in X_+$, where " \xrightarrow{uc} " stands for unbounded *c*-convergence.
- It is immediate to see that *uc*-convergence is also agreed with linear and lattice operations in *X*, and *uuc*-convergence coincides with *uc*-convergence.

- *uo*-Convergence was first introduced for sequences on σ -Dedekind complete Riesz spaces by Nakano (1948) under the name individual convergence.
- Notice that, in *L*₁[0, 1], the uo-convergence of sequences is equivalent to the almost everywhere convergence.
- The name unbounded order convergence was first proposed by DeMarr (1964).
- Recently, various types of unbounded convergences have been studied intensively by many authors.

- *uo*-Convergence was first introduced for sequences on σ -Dedekind complete Riesz spaces by Nakano (1948) under the name individual convergence.
- Notice that, in *L*₁[0, 1], the uo-convergence of sequences is equivalent to the almost everywhere convergence.
- The name unbounded order convergence was first proposed by DeMarr (1964).
- Recently, various types of unbounded convergences have been studied intensively by many authors.

- *uo*-Convergence was first introduced for sequences on σ -Dedekind complete Riesz spaces by Nakano (1948) under the name individual convergence.
- Notice that, in *L*₁[0, 1], the uo-convergence of sequences is equivalent to the almost everywhere convergence.
- The name unbounded order convergence was first proposed by DeMarr (1964).
- Recently, various types of unbounded convergences have been studied intensively by many authors.

- *uo*-Convergence was first introduced for sequences on σ -Dedekind complete Riesz spaces by Nakano (1948) under the name individual convergence.
- Notice that, in *L*₁[0, 1], the uo-convergence of sequences is equivalent to the almost everywhere convergence.
- The name unbounded order convergence was first proposed by DeMarr (1964).
- Recently, various types of unbounded convergences have been studied intensively by many authors.

- *uo*-Convergence was investigated in papers [20, 17, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] of Gao, Kaplan, Troitsky, Wickstead, and Xanthos.
- The name unbounded order convergence was first proposed by DeMarr (1964).
- Recently, various types of unbounded convergences have been studied intensively by many authors.

- *uo*-Convergence was investigated in papers [20, 17, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] of Gao, Kaplan, Troitsky, Wickstead, and Xanthos.
- The name unbounded order convergence was first proposed by DeMarr (1964).
- Recently, various types of unbounded convergences have been studied intensively by many authors.

- *uo*-Convergence was investigated in papers [20, 17, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] of Gao, Kaplan, Troitsky, Wickstead, and Xanthos.
- The name unbounded order convergence was first proposed by DeMarr (1964).
- Recently, various types of unbounded convergences have been studied intensively by many authors.

- *uo*-Convergence was investigated in papers [20, 17, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] of Gao, Kaplan, Troitsky, Wickstead, and Xanthos.
- un-Convergence, where n stands for norm convergence, was investigated by Chen, Deng, Kandić, Li, Marabeh, O'Brein, and Troitsky in [10, 16, 15].
- *um*-Convergence, where *m* stands for lattice multi-norm convergence, was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, and Zabeti in [21, 8].
- *uτ*-Convergence was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, Taylor, and Vural in [7, 19, 9].
- up-Convergence, where p stands for lattice-valued norm, was contributed by Aydin, Emelyanov, Erkursun-Ozcan, and Marabeh in [3, 4].

- *uo*-Convergence was investigated in papers [20, 17, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] of Gao, Kaplan, Troitsky, Wickstead, and Xanthos.
- un-Convergence, where n stands for norm convergence, was investigated by Chen, Deng, Kandić, Li, Marabeh, O'Brein, and Troitsky in [10, 16, 15].
- um-Convergence, where m stands for lattice multi-norm convergence, was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, and Zabeti in [21, 8].
- *uτ*-Convergence was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, Taylor, and Vural in [7, 19, 9].
- *up*-Convergence, where *p* stands for lattice-valued norm, was contributed by Aydin, Emelyanov, Erkursun-Ozcan, and Marabeh in [3, 4].

- *uo*-Convergence was investigated in papers [20, 17, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] of Gao, Kaplan, Troitsky, Wickstead, and Xanthos.
- un-Convergence, where n stands for norm convergence, was investigated by Chen, Deng, Kandić, Li, Marabeh, O'Brein, and Troitsky in [10, 16, 15].
- um-Convergence, where m stands for lattice multi-norm convergence, was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, and Zabeti in [21, 8].
- uτ-Convergence was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, Taylor, and Vural in [7, 19, 9].
- *up*-Convergence, where *p* stands for lattice-valued norm, was contributed by Aydin, Emelyanov, Erkursun-Ozcan, and Marabeh in [3, 4].

- *uo*-Convergence was investigated in papers [20, 17, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] of Gao, Kaplan, Troitsky, Wickstead, and Xanthos.
- un-Convergence, where n stands for norm convergence, was investigated by Chen, Deng, Kandić, Li, Marabeh, O'Brein, and Troitsky in [10, 16, 15].
- um-Convergence, where m stands for lattice multi-norm convergence, was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, and Zabeti in [21, 8].
- *u*τ-Convergence was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, Taylor, and Vural in [7, 19, 9].
- *up*-Convergence, where *p* stands for lattice-valued norm, was contributed by Aydin, Emelyanov, Erkursun-Ozcan, and Marabeh in [3, 4].

- *uo*-Convergence was investigated in papers [20, 17, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] of Gao, Kaplan, Troitsky, Wickstead, and Xanthos.
- un-Convergence, where n stands for norm convergence, was investigated by Chen, Deng, Kandić, Li, Marabeh, O'Brein, and Troitsky in [10, 16, 15].
- um-Convergence, where m stands for lattice multi-norm convergence, was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, and Zabeti in [21, 8].
- *u*τ-Convergence was studied by Dabboorasad, Emelyanov, Marabeh, Taylor, and Vural in [7, 19, 9].
- *up*-Convergence, where *p* stands for lattice-valued norm, was contributed by Aydin, Emelyanov, Erkursun-Ozcan, and Marabeh in [3, 4].

• While some of convergences are topological, others are not.

- It was shown in [6, Thm.1], that *o*-convergence in a locally solid Riesz space X is topological iff dim(X) < ∞.
- From the other hand, *uo*-convergence is always topological if *X* is discrete [6, Thm.2].
- Notice also that *ru*-convergence is topological iff X has a strong order unit [7, Thm.5].
- It seems to be interesting to develop the general theory of *uc*-convergence in Riesz convergence spaces in the sence of the monograph [5] of Beattie and Butzmann.

- While some of convergences are topological, others are not.
- It was shown in [6, Thm.1], that *o*-convergence in a locally solid Riesz space X is topological iff dim(X) < ∞.
- From the other hand, *uo*-convergence is always topological if *X* is discrete [6, Thm.2].
- Notice also that *ru*-convergence is topological iff X has a strong order unit [7, Thm.5].
- It seems to be interesting to develop the general theory of *uc*-convergence in Riesz convergence spaces in the sence of the monograph [5] of Beattie and Butzmann.

- While some of convergences are topological, others are not.
- It was shown in [6, Thm.1], that *o*-convergence in a locally solid Riesz space X is topological iff dim(X) < ∞.
- From the other hand, *uo*-convergence is always topological if *X* is discrete [6, Thm.2].
- Notice also that *ru*-convergence is topological iff X has a strong order unit [7, Thm.5].
- It seems to be interesting to develop the general theory of *uc*-convergence in Riesz convergence spaces in the sence of the monograph [5] of Beattie and Butzmann.

- While some of convergences are topological, others are not.
- It was shown in [6, Thm.1], that *o*-convergence in a locally solid Riesz space X is topological iff dim(X) < ∞.
- From the other hand, *uo*-convergence is always topological if *X* is discrete [6, Thm.2].
- Notice also that *ru*-convergence is topological iff X has a strong order unit [7, Thm.5].
- It seems to be interesting to develop the general theory of *uc*-convergence in Riesz convergence spaces in the sence of the monograph [5] of Beattie and Butzmann.

- For example, it needs an investigation the question of finding conditions ensuring that *uc*-convergence is topological or even metrizable.
- Another natural question consists in finding conditions under which *uc*-convergence agrees with *c*-convergence.
- The main topic of this talk is related to the case when our *c*-convergence is already topological.
- However, in the end of the talk, we also touch several questions related to *o*-convergence, *ru*-convergence, *m*-convergence, and their unbounded versions in Riesz spaces, that could be not topological.

- For example, it needs an investigation the question of finding conditions ensuring that *uc*-convergence is topological or even metrizable.
- Another natural question consists in finding conditions under which *uc*-convergence agrees with *c*-convergence.
- The main topic of this talk is related to the case when our *c*-convergence is already topological.
- However, in the end of the talk, we also touch several questions related to *o*-convergence, *ru*-convergence, *m*-convergence, and their unbounded versions in Riesz spaces, that could be not topological.

- For example, it needs an investigation the question of finding conditions ensuring that *uc*-convergence is topological or even metrizable.
- Another natural question consists in finding conditions under which *uc*-convergence agrees with *c*-convergence.
- The main topic of this talk is related to the case when our *c*-convergence is already topological.
- However, in the end of the talk, we also touch several questions related to *o*-convergence, *ru*-convergence, *m*-convergence, and their unbounded versions in Riesz spaces, that could be not topological.

- For example, it needs an investigation the question of finding conditions ensuring that *uc*-convergence is topological or even metrizable.
- Another natural question consists in finding conditions under which *uc*-convergence agrees with *c*-convergence.
- The main topic of this talk is related to the case when our *c*-convergence is already topological.
- However, in the end of the talk, we also touch several questions related to *o*-convergence, *ru*-convergence, *m*-convergence, and their unbounded versions in Riesz spaces, that could be not topological.

We begin with two equivalent definitions of $u\tau$ -topology (cf. [7, 19, 9]). In the case, when *c*-convergence is topological, say *c*-convergence coincides with τ -convergence produced by a locally solid topology τ on X, the *uc*-convergence is topological too, and a zero-base of the corresponding locally solid topology $u\tau$ can be taken as follows [7, 19]:

$$U_{w,V} = \{x \in X : |x| \land w \in V\} \quad (w \in X_+, V \in \tau(0)).$$
 (4)

Notice also that, as the topology τ is generated by a family $R = {\pi_i}_{i \in I}$ of Riesz pseudo-semi-norms, the corresponding $u\tau$ -topology can be equivalently defined [9] as the topology generated by the family $u(R) = {\pi_{i,w}}_{i \in I,w \in X_+}$ of the following Riesz pseudo-semi-norms:

$$\pi_{i,w}(x) := \pi_i(|x| \wedge w) \quad (i \in I, w \in X_+, x \in X).$$
(5)

The following several results have been proven in [7].

The next theorem [7, Thm.1] should be compared with Lemma 2.1. in [16], where it was proved for Banach lattices.

Theorem

Let (X, τ) be a locally solid Riesz space, where τ is generated by a family $(\pi_j)_{j \in J}$ of Riesz pseudo-semi-norms. Let $j \in J$, $w \in X_+$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Define a zero-neighboorhood $V_{j,w,\varepsilon} \in u\tau(0)$ as follows:

$$V_{j,w,\varepsilon} := \{ x \in X : \pi_j(|x| \land w) < \varepsilon \}.$$

Then, either $V_{j,w,\varepsilon}$ is contained in [-w,w], or $V_{j,w,\varepsilon}$ contains a non-trivial ideal.

For the next result, we need to remind the following definition wich is due to Schaefer.

The next theorem [7, Thm.1] should be compared with Lemma 2.1. in [16], where it was proved for Banach lattices.

Theorem 1

Let (X, τ) be a locally solid Riesz space, where τ is generated by a family $(\pi_j)_{j \in J}$ of Riesz pseudo-semi-norms. Let $j \in J$, $w \in X_+$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Define a zero-neighboorhood $V_{j,w,\varepsilon} \in u\tau(0)$ as follows:

$$V_{j,w,\varepsilon} := \{x \in X : \pi_j(|x| \land w) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Then, either $V_{j,w,\varepsilon}$ is contained in [-w,w], or $V_{j,w,\varepsilon}$ contains a non-trivial ideal.

For the next result, we need to remind the following definition wich is due to Schaefer.

Definition 2

An element $0 \neq e \in X_+$ of a topological Riesz space (X, τ) is called a quasi-interior point, if the principal ideal I_e is τ -dense in X.

The next theorem [7, Thm. 2] extends Theorem 3.1 in [16], where it was proved for Banach lattices.

Theorem 3

Let (X, τ) be a sequentially complete locally solid Riesz space. Let $e \in X_+$. The following are equivalent:

e is a quasi-interior point;

2) for every net x_{α} in X_+ , if $x_{\alpha} \wedge e \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ then $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$;

(a) for every sequence x_n in X_+ , if $x_n \wedge e \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ then $x_n \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$.

Definition 2

An element $0 \neq e \in X_+$ of a topological Riesz space (X, τ) is called a quasi-interior point, if the principal ideal I_e is τ -dense in X.

The next theorem [7, Thm. 2] extends Theorem 3.1 in [16], where it was proved for Banach lattices.

Theorem 3

Let (X, τ) be a sequentially complete locally solid Riesz space. Let $e \in X_+$. The following are equivalent:

e is a quasi-interior point;

2) for every net x_{α} in X_+ , if $x_{\alpha} \wedge e \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ then $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$;

3) for every sequence x_n in X_+ , if $x_n \wedge e \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ then $x_n \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$.

Now, we need the following generalization of quasi-interior points.

Definition 2

An element $0 \neq e \in X_+$ of a topological Riesz space (X, τ) is called a quasi-interior point, if the principal ideal I_e is τ -dense in X.

The next theorem [7, Thm. 2] extends Theorem 3.1 in [16], where it was proved for Banach lattices.

Theorem 3

Let (X, τ) be a sequentially complete locally solid Riesz space. Let $e \in X_+$. The following are equivalent:

- e is a quasi-interior point;
- 2 for every net x_{α} in X_+ , if $x_{\alpha} \wedge e \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ then $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$;
- **3** for every sequence x_n in X_+ , if $x_n \wedge e \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ then $x_n \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$.

Now, we need the following generalization of quasi-interior points.

Definition 2

An element $0 \neq e \in X_+$ of a topological Riesz space (X, τ) is called a quasi-interior point, if the principal ideal I_e is τ -dense in X.

The next theorem [7, Thm. 2] extends Theorem 3.1 in [16], where it was proved for Banach lattices.

Theorem 3

Let (X, τ) be a sequentially complete locally solid Riesz space. Let $e \in X_+$. The following are equivalent:

- e is a quasi-interior point;
- **2** for every net x_{α} in X_+ , if $x_{\alpha} \wedge e \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ then $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{u}\tau} 0$;
- **(3)** for every sequence x_n in X_+ , if $x_n \wedge e \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ then $x_n \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$.

Now, we need the following generalization of quasi-interior points.

Definition 4

A pairwise disjoint system $Q = \{e_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of non-zero positive elements of a topological Riesz space (X, τ) is said to be a topological orthogonal system, if the ideal I_Q generated by Q is τ -dense in X.

The next theorem [7, Thm. 7] extends the above theorem to the case when our Riesz space X is possessing just a topological orthogonal system.

Theorem 5

Let (X, τ) be a locally solid Riesz space, and $Q = \{e_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a topological orthogonal system of (X, τ) . Then $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$ iff $|x_{\alpha}| \wedge e_{\gamma} \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 4

A pairwise disjoint system $Q = \{e_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of non-zero positive elements of a topological Riesz space (X, τ) is said to be a topological orthogonal system, if the ideal I_Q generated by Q is τ -dense in X.

The next theorem [7, Thm. 7] extends the above theorem to the case when our Riesz space X is possessing just a topological orthogonal system.

Theorem 5

Let (X, τ) be a locally solid Riesz space, and $Q = \{e_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a topological orthogonal system of (X, τ) . Then $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$ iff $|x_{\alpha}| \wedge e_{\gamma} \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 4

A pairwise disjoint system $Q = \{e_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of non-zero positive elements of a topological Riesz space (X, τ) is said to be a topological orthogonal system, if the ideal I_Q generated by Q is τ -dense in X.

The next theorem [7, Thm. 7] extends the above theorem to the case when our Riesz space X is possessing just a topological orthogonal system.

Theorem 5

Let (X, τ) be a locally solid Riesz space, and $Q = \{e_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a topological orthogonal system of (X, τ) . Then $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u\tau} 0$ iff $|x_{\alpha}| \wedge e_{\gamma} \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

The next theorem [7, Prop.5] gives a sufficient condition for the metrizability of $u\tau$ -topology.

Theorem 6

Let (X, τ) be a complete metrizable locally solid Riesz space. If X has a countable topological orthogonal system, then the $u\tau$ -topology is metrizable.

• Notice that it is still unknown whether or not the converse of this theorem is true.

For further results on $u\tau$ -topologies, I refer to my joint paper [7] with Dabboorasad and Marabeh, and to the paper [19] of Taylor.

The next theorem [7, Prop.5] gives a sufficient condition for the metrizability of $u\tau$ -topology.

Theorem 6

Let (X, τ) be a complete metrizable locally solid Riesz space. If X has a countable topological orthogonal system, then the $u\tau$ -topology is metrizable.

• Notice that it is still unknown whether or not the converse of this theorem is true.

For further results on $u\tau$ -topologies, I refer to my joint paper [7] with Dabboorasad and Marabeh, and to the paper [19] of Taylor.

The next theorem [7, Prop.5] gives a sufficient condition for the metrizability of $u\tau$ -topology.

Theorem 6

Let (X, τ) be a complete metrizable locally solid Riesz space. If X has a countable topological orthogonal system, then the $u\tau$ -topology is metrizable.

• Notice that it is still unknown whether or not the converse of this theorem is true.

For further results on $u\tau$ -topologies, I refer to my joint paper [7] with Dabboorasad and Marabeh, and to the paper [19] of Taylor.

- Clearly, *c*-convergence always implies *uc*-convergence, and they coincide, when the Riesz space X under the consideration is finite dimensional.
- Here we discuss for several types of *c*-convergence the question: whether or not *c*-convergence coincides with *uc*-convergence only if $\dim(X) < \infty$? To avoid trivial cases, suppose throughout this section that $\dim(X) = \infty$.
- We begin with *o*-convergence.

- Clearly, *c*-convergence always implies *uc*-convergence, and they coincide, when the Riesz space X under the consideration is finite dimensional.
- Here we discuss for several types of *c*-convergence the question: whether or not *c*-convergence coincides with uc-convergence only if dim $(X) < \infty$? To avoid trivial cases, suppose throughout this section that dim $(X) = \infty$.
- We begin with *o*-convergence.

- Clearly, *c*-convergence always implies *uc*-convergence, and they coincide, when the Riesz space X under the consideration is finite dimensional.
- Here we discuss for several types of *c*-convergence the question: whether or not *c*-convergence coincides with uc-convergence only if dim $(X) < \infty$? To avoid trivial cases, suppose throughout this section that dim $(X) = \infty$.
- We begin with *o*-convergence.

- It is known that *o*-convergence in X is not topological [6, Thm. 1]. However, *uo*-convergence in X is topological, when X is discrete [6, Thm. 2].
- We do not know any examples of non-discrete X in which *uo*-convergence in X is topological.
- Since uo-convergence of a net x_α ∈ X coincides with uo-convergence of x_α in X^δ by [13, Thm. 3.2], where X^δ is the Dedekind completion of X, we may also assume that X is Dedekind complete.

- It is known that o-convergence in X is not topological [6, Thm. 1]. However, uo-convergence in X is topological, when X is discrete [6, Thm. 2].
- We do not know any examples of non-discrete X in which *uo*-convergence in X is topological.
- Since uo-convergence of a net x_α ∈ X coincides with uo-convergence of x_α in X^δ by [13, Thm. 3.2], where X^δ is the Dedekind completion of X, we may also assume that X is Dedekind complete.

- It is known that o-convergence in X is not topological [6, Thm. 1]. However, uo-convergence in X is topological, when X is discrete [6, Thm. 2].
- We do not know any examples of non-discrete X in which *uo*-convergence in X is topological.
- Since *uo*-convergence of a net $x_{\alpha} \in X$ coincides with *uo*-convergence of x_{α} in X^{δ} by [13, Thm. 3.2], where X^{δ} is the Dedekind completion of X, we may also assume that X is Dedekind complete.

- Accordingly to the remark above, we exclude the case when X ≡ c₀₀(Ω). Indeed, uo-convergence in c₀₀(Ω) is topological, but o-convergence is not.
- Therefore, we may suppose that, for some u ∈ X₊, there is a sequence of pair-wise disjoint vectors X₊ ∋ u_n ≠ 0 such that u = sup_n u_n.
- Now, we construct the following net $(x_{\Delta})_{\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})}$:

$$x_{\Delta} := |\Delta| \cdot \sup_{n \notin \Delta} u_n \quad (\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})), \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})$ is ordered by inclusion.

- Accordingly to the remark above, we exclude the case when $X \equiv c_{00}(\Omega)$. Indeed, *uo*-convergence in $c_{00}(\Omega)$ is topological, but *o*-convergence is not.
- Therefore, we may suppose that, for some u ∈ X₊, there is a sequence of pair-wise disjoint vectors X₊ ∋ u_n ≠ 0 such that u = sup_n u_n.
- Now, we construct the following net $(x_{\Delta})_{\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})}$:

$$x_{\Delta} := |\Delta| \cdot \sup_{n \notin \Delta} u_n \quad (\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})), \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})$ is ordered by inclusion.

- Accordingly to the remark above, we exclude the case when $X \equiv c_{00}(\Omega)$. Indeed, *uo*-convergence in $c_{00}(\Omega)$ is topological, but *o*-convergence is not.
- Therefore, we may suppose that, for some u ∈ X₊, there is a sequence of pair-wise disjoint vectors X₊ ∋ u_n ≠ 0 such that u = sup_n u_n.
- Now, we construct the following net $(x_{\Delta})_{\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})}$:

$$x_{\Delta} := |\Delta| \cdot \sup_{n \notin \Delta} u_n \quad (\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})),$$
 (6)

where $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})$ is ordered by inclusion.

- It can be easiely seen that the net x_Δ is not eventually o-bounded in X. Thus, x_Δ is not o-convergent in X.
- From the other hand, $x_{\Delta} \xrightarrow{u_0} 0$ in I_u and hence $x_{\Delta} \xrightarrow{u_0} 0$ in X.
- Thus, we get the following result which is included in [9].

Proposition 7

Let X be an Archimedean Riesz space. Then uo-convergence agrees with o-convergence in X iff $dim(X) < \infty$.

- It can be easiely seen that the net x_Δ is not eventually o-bounded in X. Thus, x_Δ is not o-convergent in X.
- From the other hand, $x_{\Delta} \xrightarrow{uo} 0$ in I_u and hence $x_{\Delta} \xrightarrow{uo} 0$ in X.
- Thus, we get the following result which is included in [9].

Proposition 7

Let X be an Archimedean Riesz space. Then uo-convergence agrees with o-convergence in X iff $dim(X) < \infty$.

- The case of *m*-convergence and, therefore of *τ*-convergence, is surprisingly different.
- Namely, for $X = c_{00}$ equipped with the multi-norm $\mathcal{M} = \{m_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$:

$$m_k(x) := x_k \quad (x = (x_n)_n \in c_{00}),$$

20 / 22

we have that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\text{um}} 0$ iff $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\text{m}} 0$ iff $x_{\alpha} \to 0$ coordinatewise.

- The case of *m*-convergence and, therefore of *τ*-convergence, is surprisingly different.
- Namely, for $X = c_{00}$ equipped with the multi-norm $\mathcal{M} = \{m_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$:

$$m_k(x) := x_k \quad (x = (x_n)_n \in c_{00}),$$

we have that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{um} 0$ iff $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{m} 0$ iff $x_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ coordinatewise.

References I

- C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Locally Solid Riesz Spaces with Applications to Economics, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, (1985).
- [2] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Positive operators, American Mathematical Society (2003).
- [3] A. Aydın, E. Yu. Emelyanov, N. Erkurşun Özcan, and M. A. A. Marabeh, Unbounded p-convergence in Lattice-Normed Vector Lattices, preprint, arXiv:1609.05301. (2016).
- [4] A. Aydın, E. Yu. Emelyanov, N. Erkursun Ozcan, and M. A. A. Marabeh, Compact-Like Operators in Lattice-Normed Spaces, preprint, arXiv:1701.03073v2. (2017).
- [5] R. Beattie and H. P. Butzmann, Convergence structures and applications to functional analysis, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (2002).
- [6] Y. Dabboorasad, E. Y. Emelyanov, and M. A. A. Marabeh, Order convergence in infinite-dimensional vector lattices is not topological, preprint, arXiv:1705.09883v1. (2017).
- Y. Dabboorasad, E. Y. Emelyanov, and M. A. A. Marabeh, uτ-convergence in locally solid vector lattices, preprint, arXiv:1706.02006v3. (2017).
- [8] Y. Dabboorasad, E. Y. Emelyanov, and M. A. A. Marabeh, um-Topology in multi-normed vector lattices, preprint, arXiv:1706.05755v2. (2017).
- [9] Y. Dabboorasad, E. Y. Emelyanov, M. A. A. Marabeh, and M. Vural, Unbounded π-convergence in lattice pseudo-semi-normed vector lattices preprint (2017).
- [10] Y. Deng, M. O'Brien, and V. G. Troitsky, Unbounded norm convergence in Banach lattices, Positivity, to appear, DOI:10.1007/s11117-016-0446-9. (2017).
- [11] N. Gao and F. Xanthos, Unbounded order convergence and application to martingales without probability, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 415, No. 2, pp. 931-947, (2014).

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

References II

- [12] N. Gao, Unbounded order convergence in dual spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 419, No. 1, pp. 347-354, (2014).
- [13] N. Gao, V. G. Troitsky, and F. Xanthos, Uo-convergence and its applications to Cesaro means in Banach lattices, Israel J. Math., Vol. 220, Issue 2, pp. 649-689 (2017).
- [14] N. Gao, D. H. Leung, and F. Xanthos, Duality for unbounded order convergence and applications, preprint, arXiv:1705.06143. (2017).
- [15] M. Kandić, H. Li, and V. G. Troitsky, Unbounded norm topology beyond normed lattices, preprint, arXiv:1703.10654. (2017).
- [16] M. Kandić, M. A. A. Marabeh, and V. G. Troitsky, Unbounded norm topology in Banach lattices, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 451, 259–279, (2017).
- [17] S. Kaplan, On unbounded order convergence, Real Anal. Exchange, Vol. 23, No. 1, pp. 175-184, (1998-1999).
- [18] H. Li and Z. Chen, Some loose ends on unbounded order convergence, Positivity, DOI 10.1007/s11117-017-0501-1, (2017).
- [19] M. A. Taylor, Unbounded topologies and uo-convegence in locally solid vector lattices, preprint, arXiv:1706.01575. (2017).
- [20] A. W. Wickstead, Weak and unbounded order convergence in Banach lattices, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, Vol. 24, pp. 312-319, (1977).
- [21] O. Zabeti, Unbounded Absolute Weak Convergence in Banach Lattices, preprint, arXiv:1608.02151v5. (2017).