## THE GEOMETRY OF $L_0$ .

#### N.J.KALTON, A.KOLDOBSKY, V.YASKIN AND M.YASKINA

ABSTRACT. Suppose that we have the unit Euclidean ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and construct new bodies using three operations - linear transformations, closure in the radial metric and multiplicative summation defined by  $||x||_{K+0L} = \sqrt{||x||_K ||x||_L}$ . We prove that in dimension 3 this procedure gives all origin symmetric convex bodies, while this is no longer true in dimensions 4 and higher. We introduce the concept of embedding of a normed space in  $L_0$  that naturally extends the corresponding properties of  $L_p$ -spaces with  $p \neq 0$ , and show that the procedure described above gives exactly the unit balls of subspaces of  $L_0$  in every dimension. We provide Fourier analytic and geometric characterizations of spaces embedding in  $L_0$ , and prove several facts confirming the place of  $L_0$  in the scale of  $L_p$ -spaces.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that we have the unit Euclidean ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and are allowed to construct new bodies using three operations - linear transformations, multiplicative summation and closure in the radial metric. The *multiplicative* sum  $K +_0 L$  of star bodies K and L is defined by

$$\|x\|_{K+0L} = \sqrt{\|x\|_K \|x\|_L},\tag{1}$$

where  $||x||_K = \min\{a \ge 0 : x \in aK\}$  is the Minkowski functional of a star body K. What class of bodies do we get from the unit ball by means of these three operations?

We are going to prove that in dimension n = 3 we get all origin-symmetric convex bodies, while in dimension 4 and higher this is no longer the case. However, the class of bodies that we get in arbitrary dimension also has a clear interpretation. We introduce the concept of embedding in  $L_0$  and show that the bodies that we get by means of these three operations are exactly the unit balls of spaces that embed in  $L_0$ .

The idea of this interpretation comes from a similar result for  $L_p$ -spaces with  $p \in [-1, 1], p \neq 0$ . Namely, if we replace the multiplicative summation by *p*-summation

$$\|x\|_{K+pL} = \left(\|x\|_{K}^{p} + \|x\|_{L}^{p}\right)^{1/p} \tag{2}$$

then we get the unit balls of all spaces that embed in  $L_p$ . The case p = 1 is well-known (see [G2, Corollary 4.1.12]) and the unit balls of subspaces of  $L_1$  have a clear geometric meaning - these are the polar projection bodies (see [B]). On the other hand, it was proved by Goodey and Weil [GW]

that if p = -1 (this case corresponds to the radial summation) then we get the class of intersection bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . As shown in [K4], intersection bodies are the unit balls of spaces that embed in  $L_{-1}$ . The concept of embedding in  $L_p$ , p < 0 was introduced in [K3] as an analytic extension of the same property for p > 0, see [KK2] for related results. The result of Goodey and Weil can easily be extended to  $p \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $p \neq 0$ . Note that this construction provides a continuous (except for p = 0) path from polar projection bodies to intersection bodies, which is important for understanding the duality between projections and sections of convex bodies. One of the goals of this article is to fill the gap in this scheme at p = 0 and better understand the geometry of this intermediate case.

Another interesting similarity of our result with other values of p is that for p = 1 the procedure defined above gives all origin-symmetric convex bodies only in dimension 2. This follows from a result of Schneider [S] that every origin-symmetric convex body is a polar projection body only in dimension 2. When p = -1 we get all origin-symmetric convex bodies only in dimensions 4 and lower, because, by results from [G1], [Z], [GKS], only in these dimensions every origin-symmetric convex body is an intersection body. The transition between the dimensions 2 and 3 in the case p = 1 and the transition between the dimensions 4 and 5 in the case p = -1 directly correspond to the transition between the affirmative and negative answers in the Shephard and Busemann-Petty problems, respectively. It would be interesting to find a similar geometric result corresponding to the transition between dimensions 3 and 4 in the case p = 0. We refer the reader to the book [K5, Chapter 6] for more details and history of the connection between convex geometry and the theory of  $L_p$ -spaces.

## 2. The definition of embedding in $L_0$ .

A compact set K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called an origin-symmetric star body if every straight line passing through the origin crosses the boundary of K at exactly two points, the boundary is continuous, and the origin is an interior point of K. We denote by  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  the Euclidean space equipped with the Minkowski functional of the body K. Clearly,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  is a normed space if and only if the body K is convex. Throughout the paper, we write  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ meaning that  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Minkowski functional of some origin-symmetric star body.

A well-known result of P.Lévy, see [BL, p. 189] or [K5, Section 6.1], is that a space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  embeds into  $L_p, p > 0$  if and only if there exists a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on the unit sphere so that, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$||x||^{p} = \int_{S^{n-1}} |(x,\xi)|^{p} d\mu(\xi).$$
(3)

On the other hand, the definition of embedding in  $L_p$  with p < 0 from [K3] implies that a space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  embeds into  $L_p, p \in (-n, 0)$  if and only if

there exists a finite symmetric measure  $\mu$  on the sphere  $S^{n-1}$  so that for every test function  $\phi$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^p \phi(x) dx = \int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^{-p-1} \hat{\phi}(t\xi) dt.$$
(4)

Both representations (3) and (4) are invariant with respect to *p*-summation. This gives an idea of defining embedding in  $L_0$  by means of a representation that is invariant with respect to multiplicative summation. Note that the multiplicative summation is the limiting case of *p*-summation as  $p \to 0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  embeds in  $L_0$  if there exist a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on the sphere  $S^{n-1}$  and a constant  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  so that, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\ln \|x\| = \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(x,\xi)| d\mu(\xi) + C.$$
(5)

While being similar to (3) and (4), this definition has its unique features. First, the measure  $\mu$  must be a probability measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . In fact, put x = ky, k > 0 in (5). Then

$$\ln k + \ln \|y\| = \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln k \, d\mu(\xi) + \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(y,\xi)| d\mu(\xi) + C$$

and, again by (5) with x = y, we get  $\ln k = \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln k \ d\mu(\xi)$ , so  $\int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu(\xi) = 1$ .

Secondly, the constant C depends on the norm and can be computed precisely. In order to compute this constant, integrate the equality (5) over the uniform measure on the unit sphere. We get

$$\begin{aligned} C \cdot |S^{n-1}| &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|x\| dx - \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(x,\theta)| \, d\mu(\theta) dx \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|x\| dx - \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(x,\theta)| \, dx \, d\mu(\theta) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|x\| dx - \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(x,\theta)| \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(x,\theta)| dx$  is rotationally invariant and, therefore, is a constant for  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ , and  $\mu$  is a probability measure.

To compute the latter integral, use the well-known formula (see [K5, Section 6.4])

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |(x,\theta)|^p \, dx = \frac{2\pi^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma((p+1)/2)}{\Gamma((n+p)/2)}.$$

Differentiating with respect to p and letting p = 0 we get

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln|(x,\theta)| \, dx = \pi^{(n-1)/2} \left[ \frac{\Gamma'(1/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)} - \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma'(n/2)}{\Gamma^2(n/2)} \right]$$

Note that

$$|S^{n-1}| = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)},$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$C = \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|x\| dx - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma'(1/2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(n/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)}.$$

Let us remark that Definition 2.1 is equivalent to the following. A finitedimensional normed space  $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  embeds into  $L_0$  if and only if there is a probability space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and a linear map  $T : X \to \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu)$ (where  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu)$  denotes the space of  $\mu$ -measurable functions on  $\Omega$ ) such that

$$\ln \|x\| = \int_{\Omega} \ln |Tx(\omega)| \, d\mu(\omega), \qquad x \in X.$$

(Here the integrals are also assumed to converge.) Indeed if such an operator T exists we can write it in the form

$$Tx(\omega) = h(\omega)(x, \xi(\omega)), \qquad x \in X$$

where  $h: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\xi: \Omega \to S^{n-1}$  are measurable. Then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(x,\xi(\omega))| dx > -\infty$$

so that it follows for some  $x \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $\omega \to \ln |(x, \xi(\omega))|$  is  $\mu$ -integrable. Hence so is  $\ln h$  and further

$$\ln \|x\| = \int \ln h(\omega) \, d\mu(\omega) + \int \ln |(x,\xi(\omega))| d\mu(\omega).$$

Now we can induce a probability measure  $\mu'$  on  $S^{n-1}$  by  $\mu'(B) = \mu\{\omega : \xi(\omega) \in B\}$  and we have the same situation as Definition 2.1.

One advantage of this viewpoint is that we can make sense of the statement that an infinite-dimensional Banach space embeds into  $L_0$ .

### 3. A Fourier analytic characterization of subspaces of $L_0$

As usual, we denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of infinitely differentiable rapidly decreasing functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (test functions), and by  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of distributions over  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We say that a distribution is positive (negative) outside of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if it assumes non-negative (non-positive) values on non-negative Schwartz's test functions with compact support outside of the origin.

The Fourier transform of a distribution f is defined by  $\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle$  for every test function  $\phi$ .

Let  $\phi$  be an integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is also integrable on hyperplanes, let  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ , and let  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\mathcal{R}\phi(\xi;t) = \int_{(x,\xi)=t} \phi(x) dx$$

is the Radon transform of  $\phi$  in the direction  $\xi$  at the point t. A simple connection between the Fourier and Radon transforms is that for every fixed  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ 

$$\hat{\phi}(s\xi) = (\mathcal{R}\phi(\xi;t))^{\wedge}(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$
(6)

where in the right hand side we have the Fourier transform of the function  $t \to \mathcal{R}\phi(\xi; t)$ .

The fact that the Fourier transform is useful in the study of subspaces of  $L_p$  has been known for a long time. A well-known result of P.Levy is that a finite dimensional normed space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  embeds isometrically in  $L_p$ ,  $0 if and only if <math>\exp(-\|\cdot\|^p)$  is a positive definite function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It was proved in [K2] that a space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  embeds isometrically in  $L_p$ , p > 0,  $p \notin 2\mathbb{N}$  if and only if the Fourier transform of the function  $\Gamma(-p/2)\|x\|^p$  (in the sense of distributions) is a positive distribution outside of the origin. If -n a similar fact was proved in [K3]: a space $<math>(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  embeds in  $L_p$  if and only if the Fourier transform of  $\|\cdot\|^p$  is a positive distribution in the whole  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . These characterizations have proved to be useful in the study of subspaces of  $L_p$  and intersection bodies, see [K5, Chapter 6]. In this section we prove a similar characterization of spaces that embed in  $L_0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let K be an origin symmetric star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$  if and only if the Fourier transform of  $\ln \|x\|_K$  is a negative distribution outside of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** First, assume that  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$ . Let  $\phi$  be a nonnegative even test function with compact support outside of the origin. By the definition of embedding in  $L_0$ , formula (6)(note that  $\hat{\phi} = (2\pi)^n \phi$  for even  $\phi$ ) and the Fubini theorem,

$$\langle (\ln \|x\|)^{\wedge}, \phi \rangle = \langle \ln \|x\|, \hat{\phi}(x) \rangle$$

$$= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln |(x,\xi)| \hat{\phi}(x) \, dx \, d\mu(\xi) + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle \ln |t|, \int_{(x,\xi)=t} \hat{\phi}(x) \, dx \rangle \, d\mu(\xi)$$

$$= (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ln |z|)^{\wedge} (t) \phi(t\xi) \, dt \, d\mu(\xi)$$

$$(7)$$

since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(z) dz = (2\pi)^n \phi(0) = 0$ . Now, the formula for the Fourier transform of  $\ln |t|$  from [GS, p.362] implies that

$$(\ln|z|)^{\wedge}(t) = -\pi|t|^{-1} < 0 \tag{8}$$

outside of the origin, so (7) is negative (recall that  $\phi$  is non-negative with support outside of the origin). This means that  $(\ln ||x||)^{\wedge}$  is a negative distribution.

To prove the other direction, note that, by [K5, Section 2.6], a distribution that is positive outside of the origin coincides with a finite Borel measure on every set of the form

$$A \times (a,b) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = t\theta, t \in (a,b), \theta \in A \right\},\$$

where A is an open subset of  $S^{n-1}$  and  $0 < a < b < \infty$ .

Denote by  $\mu = -(\ln ||x||)^{\wedge}$ . This distribution coincides with a finite Borel measure on each set  $A \times (a, b)$ , as above, so for any test function  $\phi$  supported outside of the origin

$$\langle -(\ln \|x\|)^{\wedge}, \phi \rangle = \langle \mu, \phi \rangle$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) d\mu(x).$$
(9)

Now for every test function  $\phi$  with support outside of the origin and t > 0, we have  $(\phi(x/t))^{\wedge}(z) = t^n \hat{\phi}(tz)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu(x), \phi(x/t) \rangle &= -\langle (\ln \|x\|)^{\wedge} (x), \phi(x/t) \rangle \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|z\| \hat{\phi}(tz) t^n dz \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(\tilde{x}) \ln \|\frac{1}{t} \tilde{x}\| d\tilde{x} \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(\tilde{x}) \ln \|\tilde{x}\| d\tilde{x} + \ln |t| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(\tilde{x}) \ln \|\tilde{x}\| d\tilde{x} \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(\tilde{x}) \ln \|\tilde{x}\| d\tilde{x} \end{aligned}$$
(10)

Let  $\chi_{A\times(a,b)}$  be the indicator of the set  $A\times(a,b)$ . Approximating  $\chi_{A\times(a,b)}$  by test functions and using (10), we get for any  $(a,b) \subset (0,\infty)$  and  $A \subset S^{n-1}$ 

$$\mu (A \times (a, b)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{A \times (a, b)}(x) d\mu(x)$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{A \times (1, b/a)}(x/a) d\mu(x)$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{A \times (1, b/a)}(x) d\mu(x)$$
  
= 
$$\mu (A \times (1, b/a)).$$

Applying this formula n times,

$$\mu\left(A \times (1, a^n)\right) = n\mu\left(A \times (1, a)\right) \tag{11}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, we can extend formula (11) to  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ . So, for any  $a \in (0, \infty), A \subset S^{n-1}$ 

$$\mu\left(A \times [1,a]\right) = \mu\left(A \times [1,e^{\ln a}]\right) = \ln a \cdot \mu\left(A \times [1,e]\right)$$

Now for every  $(a,b) \subset (0,\infty)$  and  $A \subset S^{n-1}$  we have

$$\mu \left( A \times (a, b) \right) = \mu \left( A \times (1, b/a) \right)$$
$$= \ln \left( \frac{b}{a} \right) \mu \left( A \times (1, e) \right)$$
$$= (\ln(b) - \ln(a)) \mu(A \times (1, e)).$$

Define a measure  $\mu_0$  on  $S^{n-1}$  by

$$\mu_0(A) = \frac{\mu(A \times (a, b))}{(\ln(b) - \ln(a))} = \mu(A \times (1, e))$$

for every Borel set  $A \subset S^{n-1}$ . We have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu_0(\theta) \int_0^\infty |t|^{-1} \chi_{A \times (a,b)}(t\theta) dt = (\ln(b) - \ln(a)) \mu_0(A)$$
$$= \mu(A \times (a,b))$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{A \times (a,b)}(x) d\mu(x) \quad (12)$$

Therefore, for an arbitrary even test function  $\phi$  supported outside of the origin,

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \mu, \phi \rangle = \int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu_0(\theta) \int_0^\infty |t|^{-1} \phi(t\theta) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu_0(\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^{-1} \phi(t\theta) dt$$
(13)

since A, a, b are arbitrary in (12). Using  $\mu = -(\ln ||x||)^{\wedge}$ , we get

$$\langle (\ln \|x\|)^{\wedge}(\xi), \phi \rangle = -\int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu_0(\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^{-1} \phi(t\theta) dt.$$

Define a new measure  $\tilde{\mu}_0 = (2\pi)^n \mu_0$ . By (8),(13) and the connection between the Fourier and Radon transforms

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ln \|x\|, \hat{\phi}(x) \rangle &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{S^{n-1}} d\tilde{\mu}_0(\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^{-1} \phi(t\theta) dt \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle \ln |z|, \mathcal{R} \hat{\phi}(\theta; z) \rangle d\tilde{\mu}_0(\theta) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} d\tilde{\mu}_0(\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln |z| \left( \int_{(x,\theta)=z} \hat{\phi}(x) dx \right) dz \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} d\tilde{\mu}_0(\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln |(x,\theta)| \, \hat{\phi}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that for any even test function  $\phi$  supported outside of the origin

$$\langle (\ln ||x||)^{\wedge}, \phi \rangle = \left\langle \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(x,\theta)| \, d\tilde{\mu}_0(\theta) \right)^{\wedge}, \phi \right\rangle.$$

Therefore the distributions  $\ln ||x||$  and  $\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(x,\theta)| d\tilde{\mu}_0(\theta)$  can differ only by a polynomial. Clearly, this polynomial cannot contain terms homogeneous of degree different from zero, so it is a constant.

**Remark 3.2.** Let K be an infinitely smooth body. From the proof of the previous theorem it follows that the measure  $\mu$  from Definition 2.1 is equal to restriction of the Fourier transform of  $\ln ||x||_K$  to the sphere. In the next section we are going to prove that this is a function, therefore

$$d\mu(\xi) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left( \ln \|x\|_K \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) d\xi.$$

In particular, since  $\mu$  is a probability measure, for any infinitely smooth body K we get

$$-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \ln \|x\|_K \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) d\xi = 1.$$

# 4. A Geometric characterization of subspaces of $L_0$ .

Let K be an origin symmetric star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The function  $\rho_K(x) = ||x||_K^{-1}$  is called the *radial function* of K. If  $x \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $\rho_K(x)$  is the distance from the origin to the boundary of K in the direction of x.

The *radial metric* on the set of all origin symmetric star bodies is defined by

$$\rho(K, L) = \max_{x \in S^{n-1}} |\rho_K(x) - \rho_L(x)|.$$

Let  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$  and  $(x,\xi) = t$  be the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\xi$  at the distance t from the origin. Define the *parallel section function* of a star body K in the direction of  $\xi$  by

$$A_{K,\xi}(t) = \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \{(x,\xi) = t\}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let f be an integrable continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , m-times continuously differentiable in some neighborhood of zero,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a number  $q \in (m-1,m)$  the *fractional derivative* of the order q of the function f at zero is defined as follows [K5, Section 2.5]:

$$f^{(q)}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_0^\infty t^{-1-q} \Big( f(t) - f(0) - tf'(0) - \dots - \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} f^{(m-1)}(0) \Big) dt.$$

Note, that fractional derivatives of integer orders coincide with usual derivatives up to a sign:

$$f^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k} f(t)|_{t=0}$$

It was shown in [GKS] that if K has an infinitely smooth boundary then the fractional derivatives of  $A_{K,\xi}(t)$  can be computed in terms of the Fourier transform of the Minkowski functional raised to certain powers. Namely, for  $q \in \mathbb{C}, q \neq n-1$ ,

$$A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0) = \frac{\cos\frac{q\pi}{2}}{\pi(n-q-1)} \left( \|x\|_K^{-n+q+1} \right)^{\wedge}(\xi), \tag{14}$$

and, in particular,  $\left(\|x\|_{K}^{-n+q+1}\right)^{\wedge}$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \{0\}$ . Here we extend  $A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0)$  from the sphere to the whole  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  as a homogeneous function of the variable  $\xi$  of degree -q - 1. Note that  $\langle A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0), \phi \rangle$  is an analytic function of q for any fixed test function  $\phi$ .

In our next Theorem we use a limiting argument to extend formula (14) to the case q = n - 1.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , f, g two distributions. We say that f = g on  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $\langle f, \phi \rangle = \langle g, \phi \rangle$  for any test function  $\phi$  with compact support in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let K be an infinitely smooth origin symmetric star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Extend  $A_{K,\xi}^{(n-1)}(0)$  to a homogeneous function of degree -n of the variable  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $(\ln \|\cdot\|_K)^{\wedge}$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$A_{K,\xi}^{(n-1)}(0) = -\frac{\cos(\pi(n-1)/2)}{\pi} \left(\ln \|\cdot\|_K\right)^{\wedge}(\xi), \tag{15}$$

as distributions (of the variable  $\xi$ ) acting on test functions with compact support outside of the origin. In particular,

i) if n is odd

$$(\ln \|x\|_K)^{\wedge}(\xi) = (-1)^{(n+1)/2} \pi A_{K,\xi}^{(n-1)}(0), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

ii) if n is even, then for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\left(\ln \|x\|_{K}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) = a_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{\xi}(z) - A_{\xi}(0) - A_{\xi}''(0)\frac{z^{2}}{2} - \dots - A_{\xi}^{n-2}(z)\frac{z^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}}{z^{n}} dz,$$

where  $a_n = 2(-1)^{n/2+1}(n-1)!$ 

**Proof.** Let us start with the case where n is odd. Let  $\phi$  be a test function supported outside of the origin.

Using formula (14) for q close to n-1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0), \phi(\xi) \rangle &= \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi (n-q-1)} \langle \left( \|x\|^{-n+q+1} \right)^{\wedge}(\xi), \phi(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi (n-q-1)} \langle \|x\|^{-n+q+1}, \hat{\phi}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi (n-q-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^{-n+q+1} \hat{\phi}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi (n-q-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \|x\|^{-n+q+1} - 1 \right) \hat{\phi}(x) dx \\ &+ \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi (n-q-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|x\|^{-n+q+1} - 1}{n-q-1} \hat{\phi}(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}(x) dx = (2\pi)^n \phi(0) = 0$ . Taking the limit of both sides as  $q \to n-1$ , we get

$$\langle A_{K,\xi}^{(n-1)}(0), \phi(\xi) \rangle = \left\langle -\frac{\cos(\pi(n-1)/2)}{\pi} \left( \ln \|x\| \right)^{\wedge}(\xi), \phi(\xi) \right\rangle$$

since

$$\lim_{q \to n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|x\|^{-n+q+1} - 1}{n-q-1} \hat{\phi}(x) dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|x\| \hat{\phi}(x) dx$$
$$= \langle -(\ln \|x\|)^{\wedge} (\xi), \phi(\xi) \rangle$$

When n is odd the formula of i) follows immediately.

When n is even, both sides of (15) are equal to zero, and we repeat the reasoning from Theorem 1 in [GKS]. Divide both sides of (14) by  $\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})$ 

$$\left\langle \frac{\left(\|x\|_{K}^{-n+q+1}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)}{(n-q-1)}, \phi(\xi) \right\rangle = \pi \left\langle \frac{A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0)}{\cos\frac{\pi q}{2}}, \phi(\xi) \right\rangle$$

and take the limit of both sides when  $q \to n-1$ .

We have already proved that

$$\lim_{q \to n-1} \left\langle \frac{\left( \|x\|_{K}^{-n+q+1} \right)^{\wedge}(\xi)}{(n-q-1)}, \phi(\xi) \right\rangle = \left\langle -\left( \ln \|x\| \right)^{\wedge}(\xi), \phi(\xi) \right\rangle$$

for any test function  $\phi$  supported outside of the origin.

To compute the limit of  $\frac{A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0)}{\cos \frac{q\pi}{2}}$  we use the definition of fractional derivatives in exactly the same way as it was done in [GKS, Theorem 1].

$$\lim_{q \to n-1} \Gamma(-q) A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{A_{\xi}(z) - A_{\xi}(0) - A_{\xi}''(0) \frac{z^2}{2} - \dots - A_{\xi}^{n-2}(z) \frac{z^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}}{z^n} dz$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to n-1} \Gamma(-q) \sin \frac{(q+1)\pi}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2} (-1)^{n/2} \frac{1}{(n-1)!}$$

Combining these two formulas we get the formula in the statement ii) of the Theorem.  $\hfill \Box$ 

An immediate application of Theorem 4.1 is

q

**Corollary 4.2.** Let K be an infinitely smooth body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then i) if n is odd,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$  if and only if

 $(-1)^{(n-1)/2} A_{K,\xi}^{(n-1)}(0) \ge 0, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1};$ 

ii) if n is even,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$  if and only if, for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$(-1)^{(n+2)/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{A_{\xi}(z) - A_{\xi}(0) - A_{\xi}''(0)\frac{z^2}{2} - \dots - A_{\xi}^{n-2}(z)\frac{z^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}}{z^n} dz \ge 0.$$

**Corollary 4.3.** Every 3-dimensional normed space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$ .

**Proof.** The unit ball K of a normed space is an origin-symmetric convex body. First assume that K is infinitely smooth. By Brunn's theorem the central section of a convex body has maximal volume among all sections perpendicular to a given direction. Therefore, for any  $\xi$  the function  $A_{K,\xi}(t)$ attains its maximum at t = 0, hence  $A''_{K,\xi}(0) \leq 0$ . So, by Theorem 4.1, for smooth convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  the distribution  $-(\ln ||x||)^{\wedge}$  is positive outside of the origin, and our result follows from Theorem 3.1. For general convex bodies the result follows from the facts that any convex body can be approximated by smooth convex bodies and that positive definiteness is preserved under limits. In fact, let  $\{K_i\}$  be a sequence of infinitely smooth convex bodies that approach K in the radial metric. Then for any non-negative test function  $\phi$  supported outside of the origin we have

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|x\|_{K_i} \hat{\phi}(x) dx = \langle -\ln \|x\|_{K_i}, \hat{\phi}(x) \rangle = \langle -(\ln \|x\|_{K_i})^{\wedge}(\xi), \phi(\xi) \rangle \ge 0$$

Since  $K_i$  approximate K there is a constant C > 0, such that

$$|\ln ||x||_{K_i}| \le C + |\ln |x|_2|,$$

therefore the functions  $|\ln ||x||_{K_i}\hat{\phi}(x)|$  are majorated by an integrable function  $(C + |\ln |x|_2|)|\hat{\phi}(x)|$  and by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we get

$$-\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|x\|_{K_i} \hat{\phi}(x) dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|x\|_K \hat{\phi}(x) dx$$
$$= \langle -(\ln \|x\|_K)^{\wedge}(\xi), \phi(\xi) \rangle \ge 0$$

Our next result shows that the previous statement is no longer true in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** There exists an origin-symmetric convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 4$  so that the space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  does not embed in  $L_0$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to construct a convex body for which the distribution  $-(\ln ||x||)^{\wedge}$  is not positive. The construction will be similar to that from [GKS].

Define  $f_N(x) = (1 - x^2 - Nx^4)^{1/3}$ , let  $a_N > 0$  be such that  $f_N(a_N) = 0$ and  $f_N(x) > 0$  on the interval  $(0, a_N)$ . Define a body K in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by

$$K = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_4 \in [-a_N, a_N] \text{ and } \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} \le f_N(x_4)\}.$$

The body K is strictly convex and infinitely smooth. By Theorem 4.1,

$$-\left(\ln \|x\|_{K}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) = 12 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{\xi}(z) - A_{\xi}(0) - A_{\xi}''(0)\frac{z^{2}}{2}}{z^{4}} dz.$$

The function  $A_{K,\xi}$  can easily be computed:

$$A_{K,\xi}(x) = \frac{4\pi}{3}(1 - x^2 - Nx^4).$$

We have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{A_{\xi}(z) - A_{\xi}(0) - A_{\xi}''(0)\frac{z^2}{2}}{z^4} dz = \frac{4\pi}{3}(-Na_N + \frac{1}{a_N} - \frac{1}{3a_N^3}).$$

The latter is negative for N large enough, because  $N^{1/4} \cdot a_N \to 1$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

## 5. Addition in $L_0$

It is clear from the definition that the class of bodies K for which  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$  is closed with respect to multiplicative summation, i.e. if two spaces  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{K_1})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{K_2})$  embed in  $L_0$  and  $K = K_1 + K_2$ , then  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$ . In this section we are going to prove that the unit ball of every space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  that embeds in  $L_0$  can be obtained from the Euclidean ball by means of multiplicative summation, linear transformations and closure in the radial metric, i.e. it can be approximated in the radial metric by multiplicative sums of ellipsoids.

Consider the set of bodies K for which  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$ . As mentioned above, this set is closed with respect to multiplicative summation, also from the proof of Corollary 4.3 it follows that this set is closed with respect to limits in the radial metric. Let us show that it is closed with respect to linear transformations. Suppose that  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$ . By Theorem 3.1  $(\ln \|x\|_K)^{\wedge}$  is a negative distribution outside of the origin. Let T be a linear transformation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for any non-negative test function  $\phi$  with support outside of the origin, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\ln \|Tx\|_K)^{\wedge}, \phi \rangle &= \langle \ln \|Tx\|_K, \hat{\phi}(x) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|Tx\|_K \hat{\phi}(x) dx \\ &= |\det T|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|x\|_K \hat{\phi}(T^{-1}x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|x\|_K (\phi(T^*y))^{\wedge}(x) dx \\ &= \langle \ln \|x\|_K, (\phi(T^*y))^{\wedge}(x) \rangle, \\ &= \langle (\ln \|x\|_K)^{\wedge}(y), \phi(T^*y) \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $(\ln ||Tx||_K)^{\wedge}$  is a negative distribution outside of the origin. By Theorem 3.1,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{TK})$  embeds in  $L_0$ .

Moreover, if  $(\ln ||x||)^{\wedge}$  is a function, then

$$(\ln ||Tx||)^{\wedge}(y) = |\det T|^{-1} (\ln ||x||)^{\wedge} ((T^*)^{-1}y).$$
(16)

To prove the main result of this section we need a few lemmas. For a fixed  $x \in S^{n-1}$ , let  $E_{a,b}(x)$  be an ellipsoid with the norm

$$\|\theta\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} = \left(\frac{(x,\theta)^2}{a^2} + \frac{1-(x,\theta)^2}{b^2}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } \theta \in S^{n-1}.$$

Lemma 5.1. For all  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$\left(\ln \|\xi\|_{E_{a,b}(x)}\right)_{\xi}^{\wedge}(\theta) = -\frac{2^{n-1}\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(n/2)}{a^{n-1}b}\|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n}.$$

**Proof.** For  $-n < \lambda < 0$  the following formula holds (see [GS, p.192]):

$$\left(|x|_{2}^{\lambda}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) = 2^{\lambda+n} \pi^{n/2} \frac{\Gamma((\lambda+n)/2)}{\Gamma(-\lambda/2)} |\xi|_{2}^{-\lambda-n}.$$

Dividing both sides by  $\lambda$ , using the formula  $x\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(1+x)$  and sending  $\lambda \to 0$  we get

$$(\ln |x|_2)^{\wedge}(\xi) = -2^{n-1}\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(n/2)|\xi|_2^{-n},$$

as distributions outside of the origin. Note that, by rotation, it is enough to prove Lemma for the ellipsoids  $E_{a,b}(x)$  with x = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1).

$$\|\xi\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} = \left(\frac{\xi_n^2}{a^2} + \frac{\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_{n-1}^2}{b^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since this norm can be obtained from the Euclidean norm by an obvious linear transformation, one can use formula (16) to get

$$\left( \ln \|\xi\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} \right)_{\xi}^{\wedge}(\theta) = -2^{n-1} \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2) a b^{n-1} \|\theta\|_{E_{1/a,1/b}(x)}^{-n}$$

$$= -\frac{2^{n-1} \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2)}{a^{n-1} b} \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n}.$$

**Lemma 5.2.** Let K be a star body, then  $\ln ||x||_K$  can be approximated in the space  $C(S^{n-1})$  by the functions of the form

$$f_{a,b}(x) = \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\theta\|_K \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta,$$
(17)

as  $a \rightarrow 0$  and b is fixed.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of [GW, Lemma 2]. First, note that the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the Euclidean norm embeds in  $L_0$ , so  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_E)$  embeds in  $L_0$  for any ellipsoid E with center at the origin. Therefore, by Remark 3.2 and Lemma 5.1 we get

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta = 1,$$

for all values of a and b. From now on b will be fixed.

We have

$$\begin{split} & \left| \ln \|x\|_{K} - \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\theta\|_{K} \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \ln \|x\|_{K} - \ln \|\theta\|_{K} \right| \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta \\ & = \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{|(x,\theta)| \leq \delta} \left| \ln \|x\|_{K} - \ln \|\theta\|_{K} \right| \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta \\ & + \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{|(x,\theta)| < \delta} \left| \ln \|x\|_{K} - \ln \|\theta\|_{K} \right| \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta \\ & = I_{1} + I_{2}. \end{split}$$

For the first integral  $I_1$  use the uniform continuity of  $\ln ||x||_K$  on the sphere. For any given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta \in (0,1)$ ,  $\delta$  close to 1, so that  $|(x,\theta)| \ge \delta$ implies  $\left| \ln ||x||_K - \ln ||\theta||_K \right| < \epsilon/2$ . Therefore

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{|(x,\theta)| \ge \delta} \left| \ln \|x\|_{K} - \ln \|\theta\|_{K} \right| \|\theta\|_{E_{a,b}(x)}^{-n} d\theta$$
$$\le \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{|(x,\theta)| \ge \delta} \|\theta\|_{E_{a,b}(x)}^{-n} d\theta \right] \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now fix  $\delta$  chosen above and estimate the integral  $I_2$  as follows

$$I_{2} = \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{|(x,\theta)|<\delta} \left| \ln \|x\|_{K} - \ln \|\theta\|_{K} \right| \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta$$
  
$$\leq \frac{C(n,b,K)}{a^{n-1}} \int_{|(x,\theta)|<\delta} \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta,$$

where

$$C(n, b, K) = \frac{2 \max_{S^{n-1}} |\ln ||x||_K|}{|S^{n-1}|b|}$$

For the latter integral we use an elementary formula (see e.g. [K5, Section 6.4])

$$\int_{|(x,\theta)|<\delta} f((x,\theta))d\theta = |S^{n-2}| \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (1-t^2)^{(n-3)/2} f(t)dt, \quad \text{for } x \in S^{n-1}.$$

Now,

function

$$\begin{split} I_2 &\leq \frac{C(n,b,K)|S^{n-2}|}{a^{n-1}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (1-t^2)^{(n-3)/2} \left(\frac{t^2}{b^2} + \frac{1-t^2}{a^2}\right)^{-n/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C(n,b,K)|S^{n-2}|}{a^{n-1}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (1-t^2)^{(n-3)/2} \left(\frac{1-t^2}{a^2}\right)^{-n/2} dt \\ &= a \cdot C(n,b,K)|S^{n-2}| \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (1-t^2)^{-3/2} dt \\ &\leq a \cdot C(n,b,K)|S^{n-2}| \frac{2\delta}{(1-\delta^2)^{3/2}}. \end{split}$$

Now we can choose a so small that  $I_2 \leq \epsilon/2$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** If  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $S^{n-1}$  and a, b > 0, then the

$$f(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\xi\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} d\mu(\xi)$$

can be approximated in  $C(S^{n-1})$  by the sums of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} \ln \|x\|_{E_i},$$

where  $E_1, \ldots, E_m$  are ellipsoids and  $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m = 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma > 0$  be a small number and choose a finite covering of the sphere by spherical  $\sigma$ -balls  $B_{\sigma}(\eta_i) = \{\eta \in S^{n-1} : |\eta - \eta_i| < \sigma\}, \eta_i \in S^{n-1}, i = 1, \ldots, m = m(\delta)$ . Define

$$B_{\sigma}(\xi_1) = B_{\sigma}(\xi_1)$$

and

$$\widetilde{B}_{\sigma}(\xi_i) = B_{\sigma}(\xi_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} B_{\sigma}(\xi_j), \quad \text{ for } i = 2, ..., m.$$

Let  $1/p_i = \mu(\widetilde{B}_{\sigma}(\xi_i))$ . Clearly,  $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m = 1$ . Let  $\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x)$  be the radial function of the ellipsoid  $E_{a,b}(\xi)$ , that is

$$\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x) = \|x\|_{E_{a,b}(\xi)}^{-1}$$

Note that  $\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x) = \rho(E_{a,b}(x), \xi)$ , therefore

$$\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x) - \rho(E_{a,b}(\theta), x)| \le C_{a,b}|\xi - \theta|,$$

with a constant  $C_{a,b}$  that depends on a and b. Also note that, since we consider a close to zero and b fixed, we may assume

$$a \le \rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x) \le b, \qquad x \in S^{n-1}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x) d\mu(\xi) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} \ln \rho(E_{a,b}(\xi_i), x) \right| &= \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \int_{\widetilde{B}_{\sigma}(\xi_i)} \ln \rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x) d\mu(\xi) - \int_{\widetilde{B}_{\sigma}(\xi_i)} \ln \rho(E_{a,b}(\xi_i), x) d\mu(\xi) \right) \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\widetilde{B}_{\sigma}(\xi_i)} \left| \ln \frac{\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi_i), x) + \left[\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x) - \rho(E_{a,b}(\xi_i), x)\right]}{\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi_i), x)} \right| d\mu(\xi) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\widetilde{B}_{\sigma}(\xi_i)} \left| \ln \left( \frac{\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi_i), x) + \left[\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi), x) - \rho(E_{a,b}(\xi_i), x)\right]}{\rho(E_{a,b}(\xi_i), x)} \right| d\mu(\xi) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\widetilde{B}_{\sigma}(\xi_i)} \left| \ln(1 \pm C'_{a,b}|\xi - \xi_i|) \right| d\mu(\xi) \leq \\ &\leq \left| \ln(1 \pm C'_{a,b}\sigma) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows since  $\sigma$  is arbitrarily small.

Now we are ready to prove the following

**Theorem 5.4.** Let K be an origin symmetric star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$  if and only if  $\|x\|_K$  is the limit (in the radial metric) of finite products  $\|x\|_{E_1}^{1/p_1} \cdots \|x\|_{E_m}^{1/p_m}$ , where  $E_1, \ldots, E_m$  are ellipsoids and  $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m = 1$ .

**Proof.** The "if" part is a consequence of the fact that  $L_0$  is closed with respect to the three operations as discussed above.

The proof of "only if" part easily follows the Lemmas we have proved.

Suppose that  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$  with the corresponding probability measure  $\mu$  on  $S^{n-1}$  and constant C. By Remark 3.2,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{E_{a,b}(x)})$  embeds

in  $L_0$  with the measure  $-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} (\ln ||x||_E)^{\wedge}(\theta) d\theta$  and some constant  $C_{E_{a,b}}$ . Note, this constant does not depend on x. We have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\xi\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(\xi,\theta)| \left( -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \right) \left( \ln \|x\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} \right)^{\wedge}(\theta) d\theta d\mu(\xi) + C_{E_{a,b}} \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[ \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln |(\xi,\theta)| d\mu(\xi) + C_K \right] \left( -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \right) \left( \ln \|x\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} \right)^{\wedge}(\theta) d\theta \\ &+ C_{E_{a,b}} - C_K \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\theta\|_K \left( -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \right) \left( \ln \|x\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} \right)^{\wedge}(\theta) d\theta + C_{E_{a,b}} - C_K \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\theta\|_K \left( -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \right) \left( \ln \|x\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} \right)^{\wedge}(\theta) d\theta + C_{E_{a,b}} - C_K \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\theta\|_K \left( -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \right) \left( \ln \|x\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} \right)^{\wedge}(\theta) d\theta + C_{E_{a,b}} - C_K \\ &= \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\theta\|_K \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta + C_{E_{a,b}} - C_K \end{split}$$

In Lemma 5.2 we proved that  $\ln ||x||_K$  can be uniformly approximated by the integrals of the form

$$\frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|a^{n-1}b} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\theta\|_K \|\theta\|_{E_{b,a}(x)}^{-n} d\theta,$$

as  $a \to 0$ . Therefore, using the previous calculations, one can see that  $\ln ||x||_K$  can be uniformly approximated by

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \|\xi\|_{E_{a,b}(x)} d\mu(\xi) + C'.$$

Hence, by Lemma 5.3,  $\ln ||x||_K$  can be uniformly approximated by the sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} \ln \|x\|_{E_i} + C'.$$

Replacing  $E_1$  by another ellipsoid  $E'_1$  given by  $||x||_{E'_1}^{1/p_1} = e^{C'} ||x||_{E_1}^{1/p_1}$ , we get the statement of the Theorem.

**Corollary 5.5.** Any convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can be obtained from the Euclidean unit ball by means of three operations: linear transformations, multiplicative addition and closure in the radial metric.

**Proof.** As was proved in Theorem 5.4, any convex body can be approximated by the finite products of the type  $||x||_{E_1}^{1/p_1} \cdots ||x||_{E_m}^{1/p_m}$ . Since any number 1/p can be approximated by the sums

$$\frac{1}{2^{i_1}} + \frac{1}{2^{i_2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{i_k}},$$

the result follows.

A proof similar to that of Theorem 5.4 can be used to show that the previous theorem holds for p-summation with  $-1 , <math>p \neq 0$ , in place of the multiplicative summation.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let K be an origin symmetric star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_p$ ,  $-1 , <math>p \neq 0$  if and only if  $\|x\|_K^p$  is the limit (in the radial topology) of finite sums  $\|x\|_{E_1}^p + \cdots + \|x\|_{E_m}^p$ , where  $E_1, \ldots, E_m$  are ellipsoids.

6. Confirming the place of  $L_0$  in the scale of  $L_p$ -spaces.

In this section we establish the relations between embedding in  $L_0$  and in  $L_p$  with  $p \neq 0$ , which confirm the place of  $L_0$  between  $L_p$  with p > 0 and p < 0. We are going to use the following result from [K3, Theorem 1]:

**Theorem 6.1.** An *n*-dimensional homogeneous space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_{-p}$ ,  $p \in (0, n)$  if and only if  $\|x\|_K^{-p}$  is a positive definite distribution.

We also use a well-known result of P.Levy (see [BL, p.189], also [BDK] for the infinite dimensional case):

**Theorem 6.2.** A space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_p$ ,  $p \in (0, 2]$  if and only if the function  $\exp(-\|x\|_K^p)$  is positive definite.

Now we are ready to prove

**Theorem 6.3.** Let K be an origin symmetric star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If the space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_0$  then it also embeds in  $L_{-p}$ , 0 .

**Proof.** By Theorem 5.4,  $||x||_K$  is the limit of finite products  $||x||_{E_1}^{1/p_1} \cdots ||x||_{E_m}^{1/p_m}$ . Consider  $||x||_K^{-p}$  for  $0 . It is the limit of the products of the form <math>||x||_{E_1}^{-p/p_1} \cdots ||x||_{E_m}^{-p/p_m}$ . Using the formula

$$||x||^{-p} = \frac{2}{\Gamma(p/2)} \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} \exp(-t^2 ||x||^2) dt,$$

we get

$$||x||_{E_1}^{-p/p_1} \cdots ||x||_{E_m}^{-p/p_m} = C \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty t_1^{p/p_1 - 1} \cdots t_m^{p/p_m - 1} \times \exp(-t_1^2 ||x||_{E_1}^2 - \cdots - t_m^2 ||x||_{E_m}^2) dt_1 \cdots dt_m;$$

where

$$C = \frac{2^m}{\Gamma(p/2p_1)\cdots\Gamma(p/2p_m)}.$$

18

Therefore, for any non-negative test function  $\phi$  we have

$$\langle (\|x\|_{E_{1}}^{-p/p_{1}}\cdots\|x\|_{E_{m}}^{-p/p_{m}})^{\wedge}(\xi),\phi(\xi)\rangle = \langle \|x\|_{E_{1}}^{-p/p_{1}}\cdots\|x\|_{E_{m}}^{-p/p_{m}},\hat{\phi}(x)\rangle =$$

$$= C\int_{0}^{\infty}\cdots\int_{0}^{\infty}t_{1}^{p/p_{1}-1}\cdots t_{m}^{p/p_{m}-1}\times$$

$$\times \langle \exp(-t_{1}^{2}\|x\|_{E_{1}}^{2}-\cdots-t_{m}^{2}\|x\|_{E_{m}}^{2}),\hat{\phi}(x)\rangle dt_{1}\cdots dt_{m} =$$

$$= C\int_{0}^{\infty}\cdots\int_{0}^{\infty}t_{1}^{p/p_{1}-1}\cdots t_{m}^{p/p_{m}-1}\times$$

$$\times \langle (\exp(-t_{1}^{2}\|x\|_{E_{1}}^{2}-\cdots-t_{m}^{2}\|x\|_{E_{m}}^{2}))^{\wedge}(\xi),\phi(\xi)\rangle dt_{1}\cdots dt_{m}.$$

We claim that the latter expression is non-negative. Indeed,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_E)$  embeds in  $L_2$  for any ellipsoid, therefore the 2-sum of ellipsoids  $t_1^2 \|x\|_{E_1}^2 + \cdots + t_m^2 \|x\|_{E_m}^2$  embeds in  $L_2$ , and hence by Theorem 6.2, the function  $\exp(-t_1^2 \|x\|_{E_1}^2 - \cdots - t_m^2 \|x\|_{E_m}^2)$  is positive definite. Now the fact that  $\langle (\|x\|_K^{-p})^{\wedge}, \phi \rangle \geq 0$  follows by an approximation argument, as in Corollary 4.3.

**Theorem 6.4.** Let K be an origin symmetric star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If the space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_{-p}$  for every  $p \in (0, \epsilon)$ , then it also embeds in  $L_0$ .

**Proof.** The space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_{-p}$ , so by Theorem 6.1 the distribution  $\|x\|^{-p}$  is positive definite. Then for every non-negative test function  $\phi$  supported outside of the origin,

$$\begin{split} -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln \|x\| \hat{\phi}(x) dx &= \lim_{p \to 0} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\|x\|^{-p} - 1) \hat{\phi}(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{p \to 0} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^{-p} \hat{\phi}(x) dx \ge 0. \end{split}$$

The result follows from Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 6.5.** There are normed spaces that embed in  $L_0$ , but do not embed in  $L_p$  for p > 0.

**Proof.** As proved above, every 3-dimensional normed space embeds in  $L_0$ , hence  $l_q^3$  with q > 2 does. On the other hand,  $l_q^3$ , q > 2 does not embed in  $L_p$  for 0 (see [K1]).

Let us also mention that one can use the approach of [KK1] to produce examples in the same spirit. It follows from [KK1], Proposition 3.5 that  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_2 \ell_1$  does not embed isometrically into  $L_p$  for p > 0; hence neither does  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_2 \ell_1^n$  for large enough n.

**Proposition 6.6.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the space  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_2 \ell_1^n$  embeds in  $L_0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of functions on some probability space which are independent and 1-stable symmetric, so that  $\mathbb{E}(e^{itf_j}) = e^{-|t|}$  (i.e. the  $f_j$  have the Cauchy distribution). Then it is clear that

$$\mathbb{E}\ln|\sum_{j=1}^n a_j f_j| = \ln\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|.$$

Indeed this follows from the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\ln |x|}{1 + x^2} dx = 0.$$

On the other hand if  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j f_j$  where  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j| = 1$  then f has the Cauchy distribution and so has the same distribution as  $g_1/g_2$  where  $g_1, g_2$  are independent normalized Gaussians. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\ln|a+bf| = \mathbb{E}(\ln|ag_2+bg_1| - \ln|g_2|)$$
$$= \ln(a^2 + b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now for any  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}|a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f_j| = \ln\left(|a_0|^2 + (\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

1

This shows (using the remarks at the end of §2) that  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_2 \ell_1^n$  embeds into  $L_0$  for every n.

**Theorem 6.7.** Let K be an origin symmetric star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If the space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_{p_0}$ ,  $0 < p_0 \leq 2$ , then it also embeds in  $L_0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_K)$  embeds in  $L_{p_0}$ ,  $0 < p_0 \leq 2$ , by [K3, Theorem 2] it also embeds in  $L_{-p}$  for any  $p \in (0, n)$  and hence, by Theorem 6.4, it embeds in  $L_0$ .

#### References

- [B] E.D.Bolker, A class of convex bodies, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 145 (1969), 323–345.
- [BDK] J.Bretagnolle, D.Dacunha-Castelle and J.L.Krivine, Lois stables et espaces  $L_p$ , Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré Probab. Statist. **2** (1966) 231–259.
- [BL] Y.Benyamini and J.Lindenstrauss, Geometric nonlinear functional analysis, Colloqium publications, vol.48, American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [G1] R.J.Gardner, A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions, Annals of Math. 140 (1994), 435–447.
- [G2] R.J.Gardner, Geometric tomography, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995.
- [GKS] R.J.Gardner, A.Koldobsky and T.Schlumprecht, An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Annals of Math. 149 (1999), 691–703.
- [GS] I.M.Gelfand and G.E. Shilov, *Generalized functions, vol.1 Properties and Operations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1964.

- [GV] I.M.Gelfand and N.Ya.Vilenkin, Generalized functions, vol.4. Applications of harmonic analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [GW] P.Goodey and W.Weil, Intersection bodies and ellipsoids, Mathematika, 42 (1995), 295–304.
- [KK1] N.J. Kalton and A. Koldobsky, Banach spaces embedding isometrically into  $L_p$ when 0 , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.**132**(2003) 67–76.
- [KK2] N.J.Kalton and A.Koldobsky, Intersection bodies and  $L_p$ -spaces, Adv. Math., to appear.
- [K1] A.Koldobsky, Schoenberg's problem on positive definite functions, English translation, St.Petersburg Math.J., 3 (1992), 563–570; Algebra i Analiz, 3 (1991), 78–85 (Russian).
- [K2] A.Koldobsky, Generalized Lévy representation of norms and isometric embeddings into  $L_p$ -spaces, Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré Sér. B **28** (1992), 335–353.
- [K3] A.Koldobsky, Positive definite distributions and subspaces of  $L_{-p}$  with applications to stable processes, Canad. Math. Bull., **42** (1999), no.3, 344–353.
- [K4] A.Koldobsky, A functional analytic approach to intersection bodies, Geom. Funct. Anal., 10 (2000), no.4, 1507–1526.
- [K5] A.Koldobsky, Fourier analysis and convex geometry, to appear.
- R.Schneider, Zur einem Problem von Shephard uber die Projektionen konvexer Korper, Math. Z. 101 (1967), 71–82 (German).
- [Z] Gaoyong Zhang, A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in four dimensions, Annals of Math. 149 (1999), 535–543.