## ON A THEOREM OF ERDŐS AND SZEKERES

## M. V. Subbarao

The theorem of Erdos and Szekeres [1] referred to in the title may be stated as follows.

THEOREM A. Given a sequence of r distinct real numbers such that the number of terms of every decreasing subsequence is at most m, then there exists an increasing subsequence of more than n terms, where n is the largest integer less than r/m.

An extremely simple and elegant proof of the theorem was given by A. Seidenberg [2]. This note is intended to point out that a result analogous to the above holds under a more general setting.

Let S be a finite sequence of elements,  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r\}$  on which a binary relation R is defined such that, for every distinct pair a,b of elements of S, exactly one of the relations a R b, b R a holds. We do not require R to be transitive - that is, a R b and b R c may hold, but not a R c.

A subsequence  $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s\}$  of S is said to be an ascending R - subsequence with first term  $b_1$  provided either s=1, or s>1 and  $b_i$  R  $b_{i+1}$  holds for  $i=1,2,\ldots,s-1$ . It is said to be a descending R - subsequence with last term  $b_1$  if either s=1, or if s>1 and  $b_i$  R  $b_{i-1}$  holds for  $i=s, s-1,\ldots,2$ . Thus only single term subsequences are both ascending and descending R - subsequences. Finally, the "length" of a subsequence is defined to be the number of its elements.

We now have

THEOREM B. Let S be a finite sequence of r abstract elements, on which a binary relation R is defined such that for distinct elements a, b in S, exactly one of the properties a R b, b R a holds. If every ascending R - subsequence of S has a length not exceeding m, then there exists a descending R - subsequence of length not less than r/m.

The proof, which is essentially similar to Seidenberg's proof of Theorem A, is as follows:

To each element  $a_i$  of S we associate an ordered pair of positive integers  $(m_i, n_i)$  where  $m_i(n_i)$  is the largest of the lengths of all ascending R - subsequences with first term  $a_i$  (descending R - subsequences with last term  $a_i$ ). These integers  $m_i$ ,  $n_i$  exist for all

i (i = 1,2,...,r) and are  $\geq$  1. Further, for any two distinct elements  $a_i, a_j$  of S, the corresponding ordered pairs  $(m_i, n_i)$  and  $(m_j, n_j)$  are distinct, for if i < j and if  $a_i$  R  $a_j$  holds, we have  $m_i \geq m_j + 1$ , while, if  $a_j$  R  $a_i$  holds, we have  $n_i \geq n_j + 1$ . The total number of ordered pairs is then r, the number of elements in S. Let  $t = \text{Max } n_i$ . Since Max  $m_i \leq m_i$  it follows that the number of all the possible  $1 \leq i \leq r$  ordered pairs  $(m_i, n_i)$  is  $\leq m_i$ , and hence  $r \leq m_i$ . Thus,  $t \geq r/m$  and the theorem is proved.

## REFERENCES

- 1. P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry. Composite Math. 2 (1935) 463-470.
- 2. A. Seidenberg, A simple proof of a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres. J. London Math. Soc. 34 (1959) 352.

University of Missouri University of Alberta