

IDENTITIES FOR MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

M. V. Subbarao¹ and A. A. Gioia²

(received November 11, 1965)

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper the arithmetic functions $L(n)$ and $w(n)$ denote respectively the number and product of the distinct prime divisors of the integer $n > 1$, with $L(1) = 0$ and $w(1) = 1$. Also let

$$C(m, n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{L(n)} & , \text{ if } w(m) = w(n) \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise ;} \end{cases}$$

$$E_o(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } n = 1 , \\ 0 & , \text{ if } n > 1 . \end{cases}$$

We recall that an arithmetic function $f(n)$ is said to be multiplicative if $f(1) = 1$ and $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ whenever $(m, n) = 1$, where (m, n) denotes as usual the greatest common divisor of m and n . It is known (Vaidyanathaswamy [6], [7, section VI]; for another proof, Gioia [3],) that every multiplicative function f satisfies the identity

$$(1.1) \quad f(mn) = \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ b|n}} f(m/a) f(n/b) f^{-1}(ab) C(a, b) ,$$

¹ Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. GP 1222.

² This author's contribution formed a part of his Ph.D. thesis submitted to the University of Missouri in January, 1964.

where m and n are arbitrary positive integers and f^{-1} is the Dirichlet inverse of f defined by

$$\sum_{d|n} f(d)f^{-1}(n/d) = E_0(n).$$

We give here a generalization of this identity which holds in the case of generalized Dirichlet products of arithmetic functions introduced by the authors [5]. We also obtain another identity valid in the case of unitary products.

2. Preliminaries. Let $K(n)$ be a fixed arithmetic function satisfying $K(1) = 1$ and for arbitrary positive integers a, b, c ,

$$(2.1) \quad K((a, b))K((ab, c)) = K((a, bc))K((b, c)).$$

For any arithmetic functions f and g , their generalized Dirichlet product $f.g$ is the arithmetic function defined by

$$(f.g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d)K((d, n/d)).$$

It can be verified (see [4]) that (2.1) assures the associativity of the product, and together with the condition $K(1) = 1$ it implies that the kernel $K(n)$ is multiplicative. In the sequel we shall refer to the generalized Dirichlet product as the K-product. We note without proof that under the K-product operation the set of multiplicative functions forms an Abelian group G with $E_0(n)$ as the identity element. The group inverse of f in G will be denoted by f^{-1} .

On taking $K(n) = 1$ for all n , and $K(n) = E_0(n)$, the K-product of f and g becomes, respectively, the ordinary Dirichlet product $\sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d)$ and the unitary product

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d, n/d)=1}} f(d)g(n/d).$$

The latter of these has been studied extensively by Eckford Cohen ([1], [2]).

3. A generalized identity for the K-product. We will first note the following

LEMMA. If $(a, b) = 1$, $(a, d) = 1$, and $(b, c) = 1$ then $K((ab, cd)) = K((a, c)) K((b, d))$.

Proof. The result follows immediately from the multiplicativity of K after observing that under the hypotheses of the lemma we have $((a, c), (b, d)) = 1$ and $(a, c)(b, d) = (ab, cd)$.

COROLLARY.

$$K\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{x_i}, \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{y_i}\right)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^t K\left(p_i^{x_i}, p_i^{y_i}\right), \quad x_i, y_i \geq 0.$$

From the definition of the function $C(a, b)$, we notice that we also have

$$(3.1) \quad C\left(\prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{x_i}, \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{y_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^t C\left(p_i^{x_i}, p_i^{y_i}\right), \quad x_i, y_i \geq 0.$$

We can now prove

THEOREM 1. For arbitrary positive integers m and n , every multiplicative function f satisfies the identity

$$f(mn) = \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ b|n}} f(m/a) f(n/b) f^{-1}(ab) K((mn/ab, ab)) K((m/a, n/b)) C(a, b).$$

Proof. Define the function

$$S(m, n) = \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ b|n}} f(m/a) f(n/b) f^{-1}(ab) K((mn/ab, ab)) K((m/a, n/b)) C(a, b).$$

We shall show that $S(m, n) = f(mn)$ for all $m, n \geq 1$. First, let $m = p^x$ and $n = p^y$, where p is prime and $x, y \geq 1$. Then

$$S(m, n) = f(m)f(n)K((m, n))$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ a>1}} f\left(\frac{m}{a}\right)K\left(\left(\frac{m}{a}, na\right)\right) \sum_{\substack{b|n \\ b>1}} f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)f^{-1}(ab)K\left(\left(\frac{n}{b}, ab\right)\right),$$

where we have used equation (2.1).

Since $a|p^x$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_o(na) &= \sum_{b|a} f(nb) f^{-1}(a/b) K((nb, a/b)) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{b|n \\ b>1}} f(n/b) f^{-1}(ab) K((n/b, ab)), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$S(m, n) = f(m)f(n)K((m, n))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ a>1}} f\left(\frac{m}{a}\right)K\left(\left(\frac{m}{a}, na\right)\right) \sum_{b|a} f(nb)f^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)K\left(\left(nb, \frac{a}{b}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{a|m} \sum_{b|a} f(m/a)f(nb)f^{-1}(a/b)K((nb, a/b))K((na, m/a)). \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the order of summation and using (2.1) again,

$$\begin{aligned} S(m, n) &= \sum_{b|m} f(nb)K((nb, m/b)) \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ b|a}} f(m/a)f^{-1}(a/b)K((a/b, m/a)) \\ &= \sum_{b|m} f(nb)K((nb, m/b)) E_o(m/b) = f(mn). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since $S(1, n) = f(n)$ and $S(m, 1) = f(m)$, we see that $S(m, n) = f(mn)$ for $m = p^x$, $n = p^y$ with $x, y \geq 0$. Now from the above corollary and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S\left(\prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{x_i}, \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{y_i}\right) &= \sum_{x_1}^{\infty} \sum_{y_1}^{\infty} f\left(\prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i^{x_i}}{a_i}\right) f\left(\prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i^{y_i}}{b_i}\right) f^{-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^t a_i b_i\right) \\
 &\quad a_1 | p_1, b_1 | p_1 \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\quad a_t | p_t^{x_t}, b_t | p_t^{y_t} \\
 &\times K\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i^{x_i}}{a_i}, \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i^{y_i}}{b_i}\right)\right) K\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i^{x_i+y_i}}{a_i b_i}, a_i b_i\right)\right) \\
 &\times C\left(\prod_{i=1}^t a_i, \prod_{i=1}^t b_i\right) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^t S(p_i^{x_i}, p_i^{y_i}) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^t f(p_i^{x_i+y_i}) = f\left(\prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{x_i+y_i}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved.

In addition to Vaidyanathaswamy's identity (1.1), the following is another interesting special case of Theorem 1, and is kindly supplied by the referee.

Let L denote the set of the integers n with the property that each prime divisor of n has multiplicity at least 2, and let $\lambda(n)$ denote the characteristic function of L . It is easily observed that $\lambda(n)$ satisfies the associativity condition, (2.1).

Theorem 1 becomes now, with f^{-1} representing the inverse of f with respect to the kernel λ ,

$$f(mn) = \sum f(d) f(\delta) f^{-1}(ab) C(a, b) .$$

$$ad = m , \quad b\delta = n .$$

$$(d, \delta) \in L$$

$$(ab, d\delta) \in L$$

4. An identity for unitary products. For Dirichlet products, $K((m, n)) = 1$ for all m and n , and the identity of Theorem 1 reduces to (1.1). However, in the case of unitary products, Theorem 1 reduces to a triviality. To see this, we require the

LEMMA. If f is a multiplicative function and if f^{-1} denotes the unitary inverse of f , then $f^{-1}(n) = (-1)^{L(n)} f(n)$ for all positive integers n .

Proof. The result is obvious if $n = 1$. For any prime p and any positive integer x ,

$$0 = E_0(p^x) = \sum_{\substack{d|p^x \\ (d, p^x/d) = 1}} f^{-1}(d) f(p^x/d) = f(p^x) + f^{-1}(p^x) ,$$

or $f^{-1}(p^x) = (-1)^{L(p^x)} f(p^x)$. Since f^{-1} and f are multiplicative, the lemma follows for any n .

Now for the unitary product, $K((m, n)) = E_0((m, n))$; hence, if we write $m = m_1 m_2$ and $n = n_1 n_2$, where $w(m_1) = w(n_1)$ and $(m_1, m_2) = (n_1, n_2) = 1$ and $(m_1, n_j) = 1$ except for $i = j = 1$, we see that

$$K((mn/ab, ab)) K((m/a, n/b)) C(a, b)$$

vanishes unless $a = m_1$ and $b = n_1$. Using the lemma it is seen that the identity reduces to the obvious relation $f(mn) = f(m_2) f(m_1 n_1) f(n_2)$.

We will now give a non-trivial identity for the unitary product. We write $d \parallel n$ to mean that d is a unitary divisor of n , i.e. $d \mid n$ and $(d, n/d) = 1$. Let

$$\lambda(a, b) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{L(a)} & , \text{ if } w(a) \mid w(b) \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2. For arbitrary positive integers m and n and for any multiplicative function f ,

$$f(mn) = \sum_{\substack{a \parallel m \\ b \parallel n \\ w(b) \mid w((m, n)) \\ w(a) \mid w((m, n))}} f(m/a) f(n/b) f^{-1}(ab) \lambda(a, b).$$

Proof. Let $T(m, n) = \sum_{\substack{a \parallel m \\ b \parallel n \\ w(b) \mid w((m, n)) \\ w(a) \mid w((m, n))}} f(m/a) f(n/b) f^{-1}(ab) \lambda(a, b)$

$$= \sum_{\substack{a \parallel m \\ b \parallel n \\ w(a) \mid w(b) \mid w((m, n))}} f(m/a) f(n/b) f(ab) (-1)^{L(a) + L(b)}$$

Clearly, $T(1, n) = f(n)$ and $T(m, 1) = f(m)$ for all m, n . If p is a prime and $x, y \geq 1$, for $m = p^x$ and $n = p^y$ we have

$$T(m, n) = f(m) f(n) + f(m) f^{-1}(n) - f^{-1}(mn) = f(mn),$$

using the above lemma. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& T\left(\prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{x_i}, \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{y_i}\right) \\
&= \sum_{a_1 \parallel p_1^{x_1}} \sum_{b_1 \parallel p_1^{y_1}} f\left(\prod \frac{p_i^{x_i}}{a_i}\right) f\left(\prod \frac{p_i^{y_i}}{b_i}\right) f\left(\prod a_i b_i\right) (-1)^{\sum [L(a_i) + L(b_i)]} \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \vdots \\
& a_t \parallel p_t^{x_t} \quad b_t \parallel p_t^{y_t} \\
& w(a_1) | w(b_1) | w(p_1^{x_1}, p_1^{y_1}) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
& w(a_t) | w(b_t) | w(p_t^{x_t}, p_t^{y_t}) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^t T(p_i^{x_i}, p_i^{y_i}) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^t f(p_i^{x_i + y_i}) = f\left(\prod p_i^{x_i + y_i}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

A restatement of theorem 2 would be as follows:

If f is multiplicative, then for arbitrary integers m, n ,

$$f(mn) = \sum_{\substack{a \parallel m \\ b \parallel n}} f(m/a) f(n/b) f(ab) (-1)^{L(a) + L(b)}.$$

$$w(a) | w(b) | w((m, n))$$

REFERENCES

1. Eckford Cohen, Arithmetical functions associated with the

- unitary divisors of an integer. *Math. Zeit.*, 74 (1960), pages 66-80.
2. Eckford Cohen, Unitary functions (mod r). *Duke Math. J.*, 28 (1961), pages 475 - 485.
 3. A. A. Gioia, On an identity for multiplicative functions. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 69 (1962), pages 988 - 991.
 4. A. A. Gioia, The K -product of arithmetic functions. *Can. J. Math.*, 17 (1965), pages 970-976.
 5. A. A. Gioia and M. V. Subbarao, Generalized Dirichlet products of arithmetic functions (Abstract). *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9 (1962), page 305.
 6. R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The identical equation of the multiplicative functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36 (1930), pages 762-772.
 7. R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The theory of multiplicative arithmetic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 33 (1931) pages 579-662.

University of Alberta,
University of Kerala and
Texas Technological College