SOME REMARKS ON A PAPER OF RAMACHANDRA

I. Kátai¹

Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Computer Algebra, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Hungary (e-mail: katai@compalg.inf.elte.hu)

M. V. Subbarao²

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1 (e-mail: m.v.subbarao@ualberta.ca)

Abstract. We give the asymptotics of the sum $\sum_{x \leq n \leq x+h} f(n)$, where $h \gg x^{7/12+\varepsilon}$, for the multiplicative functions $f(n) = z^{\omega(n)}, z^{\omega(n)} |\mu(n)|, 1/d_k(n)$, and nd(n).

Keywords: multiplicative functions in short intervals, L-functions, density theorems.

1. Ramachandra [1] proved the following assertion. Let S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 be the sets of *L*-series, the derivatives, and the logarithms of *L*-series, respectively. log L(s, x) is defined by analytic continuation from the halfplane $\sigma = \text{Re } s > 1$; for some complex *z*, we define

$$L(s, \chi)^{z} = \exp(z \log L(s, \chi)).$$

Let $P_1(s)$ be any finite power product (with complex exponents) of functions of S_1 . Let $P_2(s)$ be any finite power product (with nonnegative integral exponents) of functions of S_2 . Let also $P_3(s)$ denote any finite power product with nonnegative integral exponents of functions of S_3 . Let c_n be a sequence of complex numbers such that $|c_n| \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$\sum \frac{|c_n|}{n^{\sigma}} < \infty \quad \text{for } \sigma > 1/2.$$

Let $F_0(s) = \sum_n \frac{c_n}{n^s}$. Furthermore, let

$$F_1(s) = P_1(s)P_2(s)P_3(s)F_0(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_n}{n^s}$$

0363-1672/03/4304-0410\$25.00 © 2003 Plenum Publishing Corporation

¹Financially supported by OTKA T 031877, and the fund of Applied Number Theory Group of HAS. ²Financially supported by an NSERC Grant.

Published in Lietuvos Matematikos Rinkinys, Vol. 43, No. 4, pp. 497–506, October–December, 2003. Original article submitted March 5, 2003.

and

$$E(x) = \sum_{n \leqslant x} g_n.$$

Let $r (\leq 1/2)$ be a positive number. We define the contour C(r) by starting from the circle $\{s \mid |s-1| = r\}$, removing the point 1 - r, and proceeding on the remaining portion of the circle in the anticlockwise direction. Let $C_0 = C(r)$.

Assume that r is so small that $F_1(s)$ has no singularities on the boundary and in interior of it, except, possibly, the place s = 1.

Let $C_1 = C(\frac{1}{\log x})$, and let L^-, L^+ be defined as the intervals on straightlines

$$L^{-} = \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) e^{-i\pi}, \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log x} \right) e^{-i\pi} \right],$$
$$L^{+} = \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\log x} \right) e^{i\pi}, \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) e^{i\pi} \right].$$

Let C^* be the contour going along L^- starting from $(1 - \frac{1}{r})e^{-i\pi}$, then on C_1 , and, finally, on L^+ . Let B be the constant occurring in the density result

$$N_{\chi}(\alpha, T) = \mathcal{O}\left(T^{B(1-\alpha)}(\log T)^2\right),\,$$

which is valid for all characters occurring in P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 . Let $\varphi = 1 - 1/B + \varepsilon$ with arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

Remark. According to Huxley's result, φ can be any constant greater than 7/12.

THEOREM OF RAMACHANDRA L. et x be sufficiently large and $1 \le h \le x$. Let

$$I(x,h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{h} \left(\int_{C_0} F_1(s)(v+x)^{s-1} \,\mathrm{d}s \right) \mathrm{d}v.$$
(1.1)

Then

$$E(x+h) - E(x) = I(x,h) + O_{\varepsilon} \left(h \cdot \exp\left(-(\log x)^{1/6}\right) x^{\varphi} \right).$$
(1.2)

Ramachandra used the Hooley–Huxley contour for proving his very general theorem. Kátai [2] applied Ramachandra's theorem to obtain the uniform result

$$\sum_{\substack{\omega(n)=k, \ x \leq n \leq x+h}} 1 = (1 + \sigma(1)) \frac{h(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)! \log x},$$

uniformly for any $k \leq \log \log x + c_x \sqrt{\log \log x}$, where $c_x \to \infty$ sufficiently slowly, and $h \geq x^{\varphi + \varepsilon}$.

Sankaranarayanan and Srinivas [3] gave a version of Ramachandra's result in which the function $F_1(s)$ may depend on a parameter.

2. Assume that the conditions of Ramachandra's theorem are satisfied. Integrating on the same contour as Ramachandra did, we have

$$E(x) = J(x) + O\left(x \cdot \exp\left(-(\log x)^{1/6}\right)\right),$$
(2.1)

where

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} F_1(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
 (2.2)

Furthermore, I(x, h) can be written as

$$I(x,h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} F_1(s) \frac{(x+h)^s - x^s}{s} \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
(2.3)

Let

$$D(x,h,s) := \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{(x+h)^s - x^s}{h} - x^{s-1} \right).$$
(2.4)

Assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |s| \leq 2$ and that $h = x^{\eta}$, $\eta < \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2r}{3}$ with small r. Then

$$\frac{(x+h)^s - x^s}{sh} = x^{s-1} + \frac{hx^{s-2}(1-s)}{2} + O\left(h^3 x^{\sigma-3}\right)$$

and, thus,

$$D(x, h, s) = x^{s-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{s} \right) + O\left(h^3 \cdot x^{\sigma-3} \right),$$

which by $h^3 \cdot x^{\sigma-3} \ll x^{2-2r+r-2} \ll x^{-r}$ and $hx^{\sigma-2} \ll x^{-r}$ implies that

$$D(x, h, s) = x^{s-1} \frac{(s-1)}{s} + O(x^{-r})$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\frac{E(x+h) - E(x)}{h} - \frac{E(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} F_1(s) \frac{x^{s-1}}{s} (s-1) \, \mathrm{d}s + O\left(x^{-r}\right) + O\left(\exp\left(-(\log x)^{1/6}\right)\right)$$
(2.5)

and, thus, by (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\frac{E(x+h) - E(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0} F_1(s) x^{s-1} \, \mathrm{d}s + \mathcal{O}\left(\exp\left(-(\log x)^{1/6}\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-r}\right).$$
(2.6)

Since $F_1(s)$ is analytic on the domain with boundary $C_0 \cup C^*$, we can transform the integration line on the right side of (2.6) to the contour C^* .

We have proved the following:

THEOREM 1. Assume that $F_1(s)$ satisfies the conditions stated in Ramachandra's theorem. Let r > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small constants, and let $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq h \leq x^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{2r}{3}}$. Then

$$\frac{E(x+h) - E(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C^*} F_1(s) x^{s-1} \, \mathrm{d}x + \mathcal{O}\left(\exp\left(-(\log x)^{1/6}\right)\right).$$
(2.7)

412

Let us assume that

$$F_1(s) = \frac{U(s)}{(s-1)^z},$$
(2.8)

where the function U(s) is analytic in the disc $|s - 1| \leq r$. Then, for each fixed k,

$$U(s) = A_0 + A_1(s-1) + \dots + A_k(s-1)^k + (s-1)^{k+1}V(s),$$

where V(s) is bounded in $|s - 1| \leq r$.

Furthermore, since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C^*} x^{s-1} (s-1)^{a-z} \, \mathrm{d}s = \frac{\Gamma(a-z)}{(\log x)^{a-z+1}} \frac{\sin \pi (a-z)}{\pi} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{-r/2}\right) \tag{2.9}$$

(for the proof, see Lemma 8 of [7]), we deduce the following:

THEOREM 2. Under the conditions stated above, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C^*} \frac{U(s)}{(s-1)^z} x^{s-1} \,\mathrm{d}s = \sum_{l=0}^k A_l \frac{\Gamma(l-z)}{(\log x)^{l-z+1}} \frac{(-1)^{l+1} \sin \pi z}{\pi} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^{k+2-\operatorname{Re} z}}\right),\tag{2.10}$$

whenever $\operatorname{Re} z \leq k + 1$.

Proof. By (2.9), we have only to prove that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C^*} V(s)(s-1)^{k+1-z} \,\mathrm{d}s \tag{2.11}$$

can be majorized by the error term on the right-hand side of (2.10). The integral (2.11) extended to the contour $C(1/\log x)$ is obviously less than the error term of (2.10).

To estimate the integral on L^+ and L^- , let us write $s = 1 - \tau$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{L^{\pm}} |V(s)| |(s-1)|^{k+1-\operatorname{Re} z} x^{-\tau} \, \mathrm{d}s \leq \frac{K}{2\pi} \int_{1/\log x}^{r} x^{-\tau} \tau^{k+1-\operatorname{Re} z} \, \mathrm{d}\tau$$
$$\ll \frac{1}{(\log x)^{k+2-\operatorname{Re} z}},$$

and the proof is completed.

3. Using Theorems 1 and 2, we can obtain short-interval versions of known theorems. We give some examples.

3.1. On the theorem of Sathe and Selberg. Let $\omega(m)$ be the number of distinct prime divisors of m, and let $\Omega(m)$ be the number of prime power divisors of m.

THEOREM 3. Let $|z| \leq c_1$. Let, furthermore, $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq h \leq x^{2/3-\frac{2r}{3}}$, where r and ε are arbitrary positive constants. Then

$$h^{-1} \sum_{x \le m < x+h} z^{\omega(m)} = \varphi(z) (\log x)^{z-1} + \mathcal{O}\left((\log x)^{z-2} \right);$$

here

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{z} \left(1 + \frac{z}{p-1} \right).$$

Proof. Note that

$$F_1(s) = \sum \frac{z^{\omega(n)}}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{z}{p^s - 1}\right),$$

and, thus, $F_1(s) = \zeta^z(s)h(s)$, where

$$(h(s, z) =)h(s) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^z \left(1 + \frac{z}{p^s - 1}\right),$$

and it can be expanded into an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series in Re s > 1/2.

Using our Theorems 1 and 2 and Lemma 9.2 of Kubilius [4], we immediately obtain Theorem 3. Lemma 9.2 is the theorem of Selberg [5] (see also [6]).

THEOREM 3a. Let $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq h \leq x^{2/3-\frac{2r}{3}}$ with $\varepsilon, r > 0$. Let $|z| \leq c_1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{h} \sum_{x \le n < x+h} z^{\omega(n)} |\mu(n)| = \psi(z) (\log x)^{z-1} + O\left((\log x)^{z-2} \right),$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{z} \left(1 + \frac{z}{p} \right).$$

Let, furthermore,

$$\prod_{l} \left([x, x+h] \right) := \sum_{\substack{x \le n < x+h \\ \omega(n) = l}} |\mu(n)|.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{h} \prod_{l} \left([x, x+h] \right) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \frac{(\log \log x)^{l-1}}{(\log x)(l-1)!} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log \log x)}\right) \right)$$

uniformly in $l \leq c \log \log x$ for an arbitrary constant c.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Theorem 2 by taking

$$h(s) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^z \left(1 + \frac{z}{p^s}\right),$$

 $F_1(s) = \zeta(s)^z h(s)$, and the second one by estimating the coefficient of z^l in $\psi(z)$ (see Kubilius [4]).

3.2. Let $\Omega(n)$ be the number of prime power divisors of n, and let $|z| \leq 2 - \delta$ with an arbitrary positive constant δ .

414

THEOREM 4. Let $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq h \leq x^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{2r}{3}}$, and let $\varepsilon, r > 0$ be arbitrary constants. Then

$$h^{-1} \sum_{x \leq m \leq x+h} z^{\Omega(m)} = G(z) (\log x)^{z-1} + O\left((\log x)^{\operatorname{Re} z-2} \right),$$

where

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+z)} \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{z}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{z}.$$

Proof. We note that

$$F_1(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\Omega(m)}}{m^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z}{p^s}} = \zeta^z(s)h(s),$$
$$h(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{z}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - 1/p^s\right)^z,$$

and the statement immediately follows from Theorems 1 and 2.

3.3. On the sum $1/d_k(n)$ over a short interval. Let $d_k(n)$ be the number of solutions of the equation $n = x_1 \cdots x_k$ in positive integers x_1, \ldots, x_k . Then d_k is multiplicative, $d_k(p) = k$, and $d_k(p^{\alpha}) = \binom{k+\alpha-1}{\alpha}$. Since

$$F_1(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1/d_k(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{k \cdot p^s} + \frac{1}{d_k(p^2)p^{2s}} + \cdots \right)$$

and $F_1(s)\zeta(s)^{-1/k} = h(s)$ can be expanded into a Dirichlet series which is absolutely convergent in Re s > 1/2, the conditions of Ramachandra's theorem are satisfied. We can apply our Theorems 1 and 2. We have

$$U(s) = h(s) \left(\zeta(s)(s-1)\right)^{1/k},\tag{3.1}$$

which is analytic in $|s - 1| \leq r$ if r < 1/2.

THEOREM 5. Let $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq h \leq x^{2/3-\frac{2r}{3}}$ with $\varepsilon, r > 0$. Let $m \geq 0$ be an arbitrary fixed positive integer, and let

$$U(s) = A_0 + A_1(s-1) + \dots + A_m(s-1)^m + (s-1)^{m+1}V(s),$$

where U(s) is defined in (3.1). Then

$$\frac{1}{h} \sum_{x \le n \le x+h} \frac{1}{d_k(n)} = \sum_{l=0}^m A_l \frac{\Gamma(l-1/k)}{(\log x)^{l+1-1/k}} \frac{(-1)^{l+1} \sin \pi/k}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^{m+2-1/k}}\right).$$

Proof. Theorem 5 is a direct consequence of Theorems 1 and 2.

Remarks

1. A similar theorem can be proved for $\sum_{x \le n \le x+h} 1/g(n)$, where g is a multiplicative function, $g(p) = 1/\lambda$, and $g(n)n^{\gamma} \gg 1$ for some suitable constant γ .

2. Ivić [8] proved that

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} \frac{1}{d_k(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^N c_{k,j} \ x(\log x)^{1/k-j} + \mathcal{O}\left(x(\log x)^{\frac{1}{k}-N-1}\right)$$

for every fixed N > 0.

3. On the sum $\sum_{x \leq nd(n) \leq x+h} 1$. An asymptotic formula for the number of integers *n* such that $nd(n) \leq x$ was first considered by Abbott and Subbarao [9], who proved that

$$\sum_{nd(n) \leqslant x} 1 \sim c \frac{x}{\sqrt{\log x}} \quad \text{for a suitable } c > 0.$$

THEOREM 6. Assume that $x^{\frac{7}{12}+\varepsilon} \leq h \leq x^{\frac{2}{3}-\frac{2r}{3}}$ where ε and r are small positive constants. Then

$$\sigma(x,h) := \frac{1}{h} \sum_{x \le nd(n) < x+h} 1 = (1 + o_x(1)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}, \quad x \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let us write each integer *n* as *Km*, where *K* is square full, *m* is square free and (K, m) = 1. For fixed *K* and *l*, count those integers n = Km for which $\omega(m) = l$ and $nd(n) \in [x, x + h]$. Then $m \in [\frac{x}{K \cdot d(K) \cdot 2^l}, \frac{x+h}{K \cdot d(K) \cdot 2^l}]$.

Let us note that

$$\sum_{l>l_0}\sum_K \frac{h}{K \cdot d(K)} \cdot \frac{1}{2^l} \ll \frac{h}{2^{l_0}}$$

and

$$\sum_{K>(\log x)^2} \frac{h}{Kd(K)} \ll \frac{h}{\log x}.$$

Let $l_0 = (\frac{1}{2\log 2} + \delta) \log \log x$ (< $(1 - \delta) \log \log x$). We have

$$\sigma(x,h) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{K \leq (\log x)^2} \frac{1}{K \cdot d(K)} \sum_{l=0}^{l_0} T(K,l) + \frac{o_x(1)}{\sqrt{\log x}},$$
(3.2)

where

$$T(K,l) = \# \left\{ m \in \left[\frac{x}{K \cdot d(K) \cdot 2^{l}}, \frac{x+h}{K \cdot d(K) \cdot 2^{l}} \right] \middle| \omega(m) = l, \ (m,K) = 1, \ |\mu(m)| = 1 \right\}.$$
(3.3)

Assume that $K \leq (\log x)^2$. We define

$$H_K(s, z) = \sum_{(m, K)=1} \frac{z^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)|}{m^s} = \prod_{p \nmid K} \left(1 + \frac{z}{p^s} \right)$$
$$T_K(s, z) = \prod_{p \mid K} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{z}{p^s}} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_K} \frac{\lambda(v) z^{\omega(v)}}{v^s},$$

where \mathcal{B}_K is the set of integers all prime factors of which divide *K*. Thus,

$$T_K(s,z) = \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_K} \frac{\lambda(v) z^{\omega(v)}}{v^s}\right) H_1(s,z)$$
(3.4)

and, consequently,

$$#\{m \in [Y, Y + H] \mid \omega(m) = l, \ |\mu(m)| = 1\}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{v \leq Y+H \\ v \in \mathcal{B}_K}} \lambda(v) #\left\{v \in \left[\frac{Y}{v}, \frac{Y+H}{v}\right] \mid \omega(v) = l - \omega(v), \ |\mu(v)| = 1\right\}.$$
(3.5)

Let us apply this relation with

$$Y = \frac{x}{K \cdot d(K) \cdot 2^l}, \qquad H = \frac{h}{K \cdot d(K) \cdot 2^l}, \quad v \leq (\log x)^{10}.$$

Then, by Theorem 3a,

$$\#\left\{v \in \left[\frac{Y}{v}, \frac{Y+H}{v}\right] \mid \omega(v) = l - \omega(v), \ |\mu(v)| = 1\right\}$$
$$= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \frac{H}{v} \frac{(\log\log x)^{l-\omega(v)-1}}{(\log x)(l-1-\omega(v))!} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log\log x}\right)\right).$$

For some fixed K and v, take the sum over $l \leq l_0$. Then

$$\sum_{l=0}^{l_0} \frac{6}{\pi^2} \frac{h}{vK \cdot d(K) \log x} \frac{1}{2^{\omega(v)+1}} \frac{(\log \log x)^{l-1}}{2^{l-1}(l-1)!} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right)$$
$$= \frac{h}{K \cdot d(K) \cdot 2^{\omega(v)+1}v} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right).$$

Let us estimate

$$\sum_{l} \sum_{\substack{v \in \mathcal{B}_{K} \\ v > (\log x)^{10}}} \# \left\{ v \in \left[\frac{Y}{v}, \frac{Y+H}{v} \right] \, \Big| \, \omega(v) = l - \omega(v) \right\}.$$

This is less than

$$\frac{h}{K \cdot d(K)} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_K \atop v > (\log x)^{10}} \frac{1}{v} \ll \frac{h}{K d(K) (\log x)^v} \sum \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}$$

Since

$$\sum \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} \leqslant \prod_{p \mid K} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots \right) < c \cdot 2^{\omega(K)} \leqslant \log x,$$

the contribution of these terms is small.

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_L} \frac{\lambda(v)}{v \cdot 2^{\omega(v)}} = \prod_{p \mid K} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p} + \frac{1}{2p^2} - \cdots \right) = \prod_{p \mid K} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p-1} \right).$$

Summing over *K* up to $(\log x)^2$, we get

$$\sum_{K < (\log x)} \frac{1}{2K \cdot d(K)} \prod_{p \mid K} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p - 1} \right) = c + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right).$$

Hence, the theorem follows.

REFERENCES

- 1. K. Ramachandra, Some problems of analytic number theory, Acta Arith., 31, 313–324 (1976).
- 2. I. Kátai, A remark on a paper of Ramachandra, in: *Number Theory, Proc. Ootacamund*, K. Alladi (Ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1122, Springer (1984), pp. 147–152.
- 3. A. Sankaranarayanan and K. Srinivas, On the papers of Ramachandra and Kátai, Acta Arith., 624, 373-382 (1992).
- 4. J. P. Kubilius, Probabilistic Methods in the Theory of Numbers [in Russian], Vilnius (1959).
- 5. A. Selberg, Note on a paper by L. G. Sathe, J. Indian Math. Soc., 18, 83-87 (1954).
- 6. A. Rényi and P. Turán, On a theorem of Erdős-Kac, Acta Arith., 4, 71-84 (1958).
- 7. R. Balasabramanian and K. Ramachandra, On the theorem of integers *n* such that $nd(n) \leq x$, *Acta Arith.*, **49**, 313–322 (1988).
- 8. A. Ivić, Mat. Vesnik (Belgrade), 1(29), 79-90 (1977).
- 9. H. L. Abbott and M. V. Subbarao, unpublished.