

# THE CHARACTERIZATION OF $n^{ir}$ AS A MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTION

I. KÁTAI (Budapest), member of the Academy and M. V. SUBBARAO (Edmonton)\*

**Abstract.** the following assertion is proved. If  $f$  is a completely multiplicative function taking values of modulus 1, such that the set  $\mathcal{E}$  of limit points of  $f(n+1)\overline{f}(n)$  has  $k \leq 3$  distinct values, then  $f(n) = n^{ir}F(n)$  with some  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $F^k(n) = 1$  for every  $n$ .

1. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the class of those complex valued completely multiplicative functions  $f$  for which  $|f(n)| = 1$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) holds true. For some  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  let  $\mathcal{E}_f$  denote the set of all those  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  for which there exists an infinite sequence  $n_\nu \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $f(n_\nu + 1)\overline{f}(n_\nu) \rightarrow z$  ( $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ) holds true. Let  $S_k$  be the set of the  $k$ th roots of unity, i.e.  $S_k = \{\omega \mid \omega^k = 1\}$ . We shall formulate the following conjectures.

CONJECTURE 1. If  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_f$  is a finite set  $\#\mathcal{E}_f = k$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_f = S_k$  and  $f(n) = n^{i\tau}F(n)$  with some  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ , where  $F^k(n) = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ).

CONJECTURE 2. If  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_f$  is infinite, then  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{z \mid |z| = 1\}$ .

REMARKS. 1. Conjecture 2 is not true for the whole set of the multiplicative functions  $f$  with  $|f(n)| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ). E.g. let  $f(n) = 1$  for odd integers, and  $f(2^k) = e^{2\pi i/k}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

2. Conjecture 1 for  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{1\}$  has been proposed by I. KátaI, and solved by E. Wirsing in 1984. His proof is published in [1].

3. Conjecture 1 contains as a special case the following assertion, which we formulate now as

CONJECTURE 3. Let  $F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $F(\mathbf{N}) \subseteq S_k$ . Assume that there is an  $n_0$  for which  $F(n_0)$  is a primitive  $k$ -th root of unity. Then  $\{F(n+1)\overline{F}(n) \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\} = S_k$ .

THEOREM. Conjecture 1 is true for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ .

2. PROOF. Let  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  be a finite set,  $\delta = \min_{i \neq j} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j|$ . For every large  $n$  ( $n > n_0$ , say), there is exactly one  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_f$  for

---

\* This paper was written during the first author's stay in the University of Alberta in 1997 as a visiting Research Professor, fully funded by the second author's NSERC grant.

which  $|f(n+1)f(n) - \alpha| < \delta$ . Let  $c(n) := \alpha$ , i.e.  $c(n)$  is that element of  $\mathcal{E}_f$  which is closest to  $f(n+1)\bar{f}(n)$ . Since

$$\frac{f(n+1)}{f(n)} = \frac{f(d(n+1))}{f(dn)} = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{f(dn+j+1)}{f(dn+j)},$$

therefore for each  $n > n_1(d)$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad c(n) = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} c(dn+j).$$

Furthermore  $f(n^2-1)\bar{f}(n^2) = f(n+1)\bar{f}(n)f(n-1)\bar{f}(n)$ , whence

$$(2.2) \quad c(n-1) = c(n)c(n^2-1) \quad \text{if } n > n_2$$

follows, where  $n_2$  is a suitable constant.

*The case  $k = 1$ .* Then  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{\alpha_1\}$ . From (2.2) we get that  $\alpha_1^2 = \alpha_1$ , i.e.  $\alpha_1 = 1$ , and we can refer to Wirsing's theorem.

*The case  $k = 2$ .* Let  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ . Since the sequence  $\{c(n) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  takes both of the values  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  infinitely many times, therefore both of  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_2, \alpha_1)$  occur in  $(c(n-1), c(n))$  for infinitely many  $n$ . Consequently, from (2.2) we get that  $\alpha_1\bar{\alpha}_2 \in \mathcal{E}_f, \alpha_2\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \mathcal{E}_f$ .

Assume first that  $\alpha_1\bar{\alpha}_2 = \alpha_2\bar{\alpha}_1$ , i.e. that  $\alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^2$ , whence  $\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}_{f^2} = \{\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2\} = \{\alpha_1^2\}$ , from the already proved part of the theorem (case  $k = 1$ ) we get that  $\alpha_1^2 = 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{1, -1\}$ . Since  $f^2(n+1)\bar{f}^2(n) \rightarrow 1$ , therefore, from Wirsing's theorem  $f^2(n) = n^{ir}$ , consequently  $(f(n)n^{-ir/2})^2 \rightarrow 1$ . Thus the theorem is true for  $F(n) = f(n)n^{-ir/2}$ .

Assume now that  $\alpha_1\bar{\alpha}_2 \neq \alpha_2\bar{\alpha}_1$ . Then either  $\alpha_1\bar{\alpha}_2 = \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2\bar{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_2$ , or  $\alpha_1\bar{\alpha}_2 = \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_2\bar{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_1$ . In the first case  $\bar{\alpha}_2 = \bar{\alpha}_1 = 1$ , which is impossible. In the second case  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2^2, \alpha_2 = \alpha_1^2$ , whence  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1^4, 1 = \alpha_1^3$  follows. If  $\alpha_1 = 1$ , then  $\alpha_2 = 1$ , and this is impossible. So we may assume that  $1 \notin \mathcal{E}_f$ . But then  $c(n-1) = c(n)$  cannot hold for  $n > n_2$  (see (2.2)). We have  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{\omega, \bar{\omega}\}$ , where  $\omega$  is a primitive third root of unity. From (2.1) we obtain that

$$c(n) = c(2n)c(2n+1) \quad \text{if } n > n_1(2),$$

and this with  $c(2n) \neq c(2n+1)$  implies that  $c(n) = 1$ . This is a contradiction.

We proved the theorem for  $k = 2$ .

The case  $k = 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ . Let  $G(\mathcal{E}_f)$  denote the directed graph with nodes  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  which is obtained by drawing arrows from  $\alpha_i$  to  $\alpha_j$  for all those  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$  for which  $c(n-1) = \alpha_i$ ,  $c(n) = \alpha_j$  occurs for at least one  $n > n_2$ . From (2.2) we obtain that  $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j$  implies that  $\alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \in \mathcal{E}_f$ . Moreover, if there is an integer  $n > n_1(2)$  such that  $c(2n) = \alpha_u$ ,  $c(2n+1) = \alpha_v$ , then  $\alpha_u \alpha_v \in \mathcal{E}_f$  as well.

Case A. Assume that  $1 \notin \mathcal{E}_f$ . Then there is no loop in  $G(\mathcal{E}_f)$ , since  $\alpha_u \rightarrow \alpha_u$  would imply that  $1 = \alpha_u \bar{\alpha}_u \in \mathcal{E}_f$ .

Since  $c(n) = c(2n)c(2n+1)$ , and  $c(n) = \alpha_i$  holds for infinitely many  $n$  for every  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{E}_f$ , there exists  $\alpha_u$  and  $\alpha_v$ ,  $u = v$  is not excluded, such that  $\alpha_i = \alpha_u \alpha_v$ . Since  $1 \notin \mathcal{E}_f$ , therefore  $\alpha_u \neq \alpha_i$ ,  $\alpha_v \neq \alpha_i$ . Since  $c(n) \neq c(n+1)$  holds for  $n > n_2$ , therefore  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \alpha_3$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_3$ ,  $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ . Multiplying these inequalities we deduce that  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3)^2$ ,  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 = 1$ , whence  $\alpha_j^2 = 1$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) holds. This is impossible.

Case B.  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{1, \alpha, \beta\}$ .

Case B1. Let  $\alpha = -1$ . Then  $\mathcal{E} = \{1, -1, \beta\}$ , and there exist infinitely many  $n$  for which  $c(n-1) \in \{1, -1\}$  and  $c(n) = \beta$ . Consequently  $c(n-1)\bar{c}(n) = \pm\bar{\beta}$ , i.e. either  $-\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{E}_f$ , or  $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{E}_f$ . This is impossible, since  $\bar{\beta} \neq \beta, 1, -1$ .

Case B2. Let  $-1 \notin \mathcal{E}_f$ . Then  $\beta = \bar{\alpha}$ . Indeed, if  $n$  is such a large integer for which  $c(n-1) = 1$ ,  $c(n) \neq 1$ , then by (2.2),  $\bar{c}(n) \in \mathcal{E}_f$ .

If  $c(n-1) = \bar{c}(n) = \alpha$  or  $\bar{\alpha}$  occurs for some  $n > n_2$ , then  $\alpha^2$  (or  $\bar{\alpha}^2$ )  $\in \mathcal{E}_f$ , and so  $\alpha^2 = \bar{\alpha}$  (or  $\bar{\alpha}^2 = \alpha$ ), whence  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{1, \omega, \bar{\omega}\}$ ,  $\omega$  is a primitive third root of unity. In this case  $\mathcal{E}_{f^3} = \{1\}$ , and by Wirsing's theorem  $f^3(n) = n^{ir}$ .

If there is such an  $n > n_1(2)$  for which  $c(2n) = c(2n+1) \neq 1$ , then we are ready as well, since  $c(n) = c(2n)c(2n+1)$ , which implies that  $\alpha_2$  (or  $\bar{\alpha}^2$ ) belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_f$ , and as above, we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_f = \{1, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ .

Hence we may assume that at least one of the elements  $c(2n)$ ,  $c(2n+1)$  is 1, if  $n > n_1(2)$ .

We can formulate now the following consequences:

(1) Let  $c(n) = \alpha$  (or  $\bar{\alpha}$ ),  $n > 2n_1(2)$ ,  $n_1 = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Then  $c(n_1) = c(2n_1)c(2n_1+1)$ , consequently  $c(n_1) = \alpha$  (or  $\bar{\alpha}$ , respectively).

(2) If  $c(n) = \alpha$  (or  $\bar{\alpha}$ ),  $n > n_1(2)$ , then exactly one of  $c(2n)$ ,  $c(2n+1)$  is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\bar{\alpha}$ ), the other equals 1.

(3) Let  $N$  be a large number for which  $c(N) = \alpha$  (or  $= \bar{\alpha}$ ). Let  $N = \mathcal{E}_0 2^M + \mathcal{E}_1 2^{M-1} + \dots + \mathcal{E}_M$  be the binary expansion of  $N$ ,  $N = \mathcal{E}_M + 2N_1$ ,  $N_1 = \mathcal{E}_{M-1} + 2N_2, \dots$ . Then there is a constant  $K = 2^T$  depending on  $f$  such that  $c(N_j) = \alpha$  (resp.  $\bar{\alpha}$ ) for all those  $j$  for which  $N_j > K$ . Observe that  $N_j \geq \frac{1}{2}N_{j-1}$ . Since  $c(n) = \alpha$  occurs for infinitely many  $n$ , therefore for every large  $t$  the interval  $[2^t, 2^{t+1})$  contains an integer  $N$  such that  $c(N) = \alpha$ .

(4) Let  $N^{(1)} < N^{(2)}$ ,  $N^{(j)} \in [2^t, 2^{t+1}]$  be such integers for which  $c(N^{(1)}) = c(N^{(2)}) = \alpha$  (or  $\bar{\alpha}$ ). Then  $N^{(2)} - N^{(1)} > \frac{2^t}{2^T}$ .

We consider only the case  $c(N^{(1)}) = \alpha$ . To prove this, define  $N_l^{(j)} = \left[ \frac{N^{(G)}}{2^l} \right]$  ( $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). We have  $c(N_l^{(j)}) = \alpha$ , while  $N_l^{(j)} > K$ . Let  $l$  be the smallest integer for which  $N_l^{(1)} = N_l^{(2)}$ . Then  $N_{l-1}^{(2)} - N_{l-1}^{(1)} = 1$ ,  $c(N_{l-1}^{(1)}) = c(N_{l-1}^{(1)} + 1) = \alpha$ . From (3) we obtain that  $N_{l-1}^{(1)} \leq K$ . Since  $N_{l-1}^{(1)} \in \left[ \frac{2^t}{2^{l-1}}, \frac{2^{t+1}}{2^{l-1}} \right]$ , it follows that  $N^{(2)} - N^{(1)} > 2^l (N_{l-1}^{(2)} - N_{l-1}^{(1)}) \geq 2^l \geq \frac{2^t}{2^T}$ .

(5) One can prove similarly, that, if  $N^{(1)} < N^{(2)}$ ,  $c(N^{(1)}) = \xi$ ,  $c(N^{(2)}) = \bar{\xi}$ ,  $\xi \in \{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ , then

$$N^{(2)} - N^{(1)} > \frac{N^{(1)}}{2^T}.$$

(6) From (4) and (5) we obtain immediately: for each fixed integer  $d$  there is a constant  $m(d)$  such that for both values of  $\xi = \alpha, \bar{\alpha}$ ,

– if  $n > m(d)$  and  $c(n) = \xi$ , then  $c\left(\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]\right) = \xi$ ,

– if  $N > m(d)$  and  $c(n) = \xi$  then one of  $c(dn + j)$  ( $j = 0, \dots, d - 1$ ) is  $\xi$ .

To finish the proof we deduce that  $c(2^u) = \alpha = \bar{\alpha}$  holds for every large  $u$ . This is impossible, since  $\alpha \neq \pm 1$ .

Let  $u$  be fixed,  $N$  be an integer such that  $c(N) = \alpha$ , and  $N > \max_{2^u \leq d < 2^{u+1}} n_1(d)$ . Let  $N_s$  be defined by  $N = N_s 2^s + l_s$  ( $0 \leq l_s < 2^s$ ), where  $2^u \leq N_s < 2^{u+1}$ . Then, by (2.1), and from (6) we obtain that  $c\left(\left[\frac{N}{N_s}\right]\right) = \alpha$ . Since  $\frac{N}{N_s} = 2^s + \frac{l_s}{N_s}$ ,  $\left[\frac{N}{N_s}\right] = 2^s + f$ ,  $0 \leq f < 2^{s-u}$ , therefore

$$\alpha = c\left(\left[\frac{1}{2^{s-u}} \left[\frac{N}{N_s}\right]\right]\right) = c(2^u).$$

Starting from such an  $N$  for which  $c(N) = \bar{\alpha}$ , we deduce that  $\bar{\alpha} = c(2^u)$ . The proof is complete.

**Reference**

- [1] E. Wirsing, Tang Yuansheng and Shao Pintshung, On a conjecture of Kátai for additive functions, *J. Number Theory*, **56** (1996), 391–395.

*(Received May 15, 1997)*

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ALGEBRA  
EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY  
MÚZEUM KRT. 6–8.  
H-1088 BUDAPEST  
HUNGARY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA  
EDMONTON, ALBERTA  
CANADA T6G 2G1