



On a Partition Theorem of MacMahon-Andrews

Author(s): M. V. Subbarao

Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 27, No. 3, (Mar., 1971), pp. 449-450

Published by: American Mathematical Society

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2036473>

Accessed: 21/04/2008 16:11

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We enable the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ON A PARTITION THEOREM OF MACMAHON-ANDREWS

M. V. SUBBARAO

ABSTRACT. Two theorems are given about partitions in which the multiplicity of the parts satisfies certain conditions. One of these theorems generalizes a recent result of Andrews concerning partitions in which a part with an odd multiplicity occurs at least $2r+1$ times.

Recently, George Andrews [1] proved the following partition theorem, generalizing an earlier result of MacMahon [2, p. 54] (which deals with the case $r=1$):

The number of partitions of n , in which a part occurring an odd number of times occurs at least $(2r+1)$ times, equals the number of partitions of n into parts which are either even or else $\equiv 2r+1 \pmod{4r+2}$.

We wish to remark that Andrews' theorem is itself a special case of the following result.

THEOREM (A). *Let k be any integer >1 and l any positive integer $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. Let $A_{k,l}(n)$ be the number partitions of n in which the multiplicity of each part is either $\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ or else $\geq l$ and $\equiv l \pmod{k}$. Let $B_{k,l}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n in which the parts are either $\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ or else $\equiv l \pmod{2l}$. Then $A_{k,l}(n) = B_{k,l}(n)$.*

Andrews' result corresponds to the choice $k=2$, $l=2r+1$. The proof of this is analogous to that of Andrews' and is therefore omitted.

It is possible to obtain several results of this kind. As a sample, we give the following:

THEOREM B. *Let $m > 1$, $r \geq 0$ be integers, and let $C_{m,r}(n)$ be the number of partitions of n such that all even multiplicities of the parts are less than $2m$, and all odd multiplicities are at least $2r+1$ and at most $2(m+r)-1$. Let $D_{m,r}(n)$ be the number of partitions of n into parts which are either odd and $\equiv 2r+1 \pmod{4r+2}$, or even and $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$. Then $C_{m,r}(n) = D_{m,r}(n)$.*

Received by the editors May 25, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 1055.

Key words and phrases. Partitions, multiplicity of a part, a formula of Euler.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{m,r}(n)x^n &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \{1 + x^{2n} + x^{4n} + \dots + x^{(2m-2)n} + x^{(2r+1)n} \\
 &\quad + x^{(2r+3)n} + \dots + x^{(2m+2r-1)n}\} \\
 (1) \qquad &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2mn})(1 - x^{2n})^{-1}(1 + x^{(2r+1)n}) \\
 (2) \qquad &= \prod_{n=1; k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2kn})^{-1} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{(2n-1)(2r+1)})^{-1} \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{m,r}(n)x^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used a well-known Euler identity [2, pp. 10–11], to transform the last product in (1) into the last product in (2). This completes the proof.

The above two theorems can of course be restated using, for the definitions of $A_{k,l}(n)$, $B_{k,l}(n)$, $C_{m,r}(n)$ and $D_{m,r}(n)$, the conjugates of the concerned partitions.

As a particularly interesting special case of the last theorem, we obtain, on taking $m=2$, $r=1$, the following:

COROLLARY. *The number of partitions of n , in which each part occurs two, three or five times, equals the number of partitions of n into parts which are of the forms $2 \pmod{4}$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.*

REFERENCES

1. George E. Andrews, *A generalization of a partition theorem of MacMahon*, J. Combinatorial Theory **3** (1967), 100–101. MR **35** #2766.
2. P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory analysis*, Vol. 2, Reprint Chelsea, New York, 1960. MR **25** #5003.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA