

# Algebraic Number Theory

## MATH 512

### Solutions to Assignment 4

1. We observe that the cubes mod 9 are  $-1, 0$  and  $1$ . Therefore if  $3 \nmid x, y$ , then  $z^3 = x^3 + y^3 \equiv -2, 0, 2 \pmod{9}$ , so we must have  $z \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ , i.e.  $3|z$ .

2. (a) A prime that divides any two of  $x, y, z$  must necessarily divide the third, and therefore may be factored out. Thus we may assume that  $x, y, z$  are pairwise coprime. In particular,  $3$  can divide no more than one of  $x, y, z$ . Therefore, by exercise 1.,  $3$  must divide *exactly* one of  $x, y, z$ . By relabelling  $x, y, z$  if necessary, and introducing signs as appropriate, we may assume that  $3|z$ . Write  $z = 3^m \tilde{z}$  with  $\tilde{z}$  a non-zero integer not divisible by  $3$ . Then  $x^3 + y^3 = 3^m \tilde{z}^3$ , and we are done.

(b)  $3$  divides  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , so  $\pi$  also divides  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  since  $(1 - \zeta)(1 - \zeta^2) = 3$ . Since  $\pi$  is prime, it divides at least one of  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ . However,  $\pi$  is associate to  $1 - \zeta^2$ , and so

$$x + y \equiv x + y\zeta \equiv x + y\zeta^2 \pmod{\pi}.$$

Thus  $\pi$  divides all three of  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ .

(c) If  $\pi$  divides  $\beta'$ , then  $\pi^2|\beta$ , but  $\pi^2 = -3\zeta$  and so  $3$  divides  $\beta$  in that case. But then  $3$  divides both  $x$  and  $y$ , a contradiction. Similarly,  $\pi$  does not divide  $\gamma'$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 3^{3m} z^3 &= \alpha\beta\gamma \\ &= (1 - \zeta)(1 - \zeta^2)\alpha\beta'\gamma' \\ &= 3\alpha\beta'\gamma', \end{aligned}$$

so  $3^{3m-1} z^3 = \alpha\beta'\gamma'$ . By the above,  $3^{3m-1}$  is coprime to each of  $\beta', \gamma'$ , and so  $3^{3m-1}$  divides  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , i.e. there is  $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  such that  $\alpha = 3^{3m-1}\alpha'$ .

Now, any prime dividing at least two of  $\alpha', \beta', \gamma'$  must divide at least two of  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ . The proof of Lemma 46 shows in that case that the prime in question must be associate to  $\pi$ , and so  $\pi$  divides  $\beta'$  or  $\gamma'$ . However, we have just seen that this cannot happen. Thus  $\alpha', \beta', \gamma'$  are pairwise coprime.

(d) The units in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  are  $\pm\zeta^k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2$ . By replacing  $\delta_i$  by  $-\delta_i$  if necessary, we may assume that  $u_i$  is a power of  $\zeta$ . Write  $u_i = \zeta^{k_i}$  with  $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

We first deal with  $k_1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the non-trivial element of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})$ , i.e.  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{2k_1} &= u_1/\sigma(u_1) \\ &= \frac{\alpha'\sigma(\delta_1)^3}{\alpha'\delta_1^3} \\ &= \left(\frac{\sigma(\delta_1)}{\delta_1}\right)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\zeta^{2k_1}$  is both a square and a cube in the cyclic group  $\langle -\zeta \rangle$  of order 6, and is therefore trivial. Thus  $\zeta^{k_1}$  is trivial also, so  $k_1 = 0$ .

Now to deal with  $k_2$ . Write  $\delta_2 = d_1 + d_2\zeta$  with  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\beta' = \zeta^{k_2}\delta_2^3$ , we have

$$\beta' = \begin{cases} 3d_1d_2^2 - 3d_1^2d_2 + (d_1^3 + d_2^3 - 3d_1^2d_2)\zeta & \text{if } k_2 = 1 \\ 3d_1^2d_2 - d_1^3 - d_2^3 + (3d_1d_2^2 - d_1^3 - d_2^3)\zeta & \text{if } k_2 = 2. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

However,  $\beta' = \beta/\pi = \frac{1}{3}(2x - y) + \frac{1}{3}(x + y)\zeta$ . Also,  $9|\alpha = x + y$ , i.e.  $y \equiv -x \pmod{9}$ , so  $3|\frac{1}{3}(x + y)$  and  $2x - y \equiv 3x \pmod{9}$ . This last congruence says  $\frac{1}{3}(2x - y) \equiv x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . In summary,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}(2x - y) &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}(x + y) &\equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the descriptions of  $\beta'$ , in the cases  $k_2 = 1, 2$ , given in (1). Hence  $k_2 = 0$ . That  $\gamma' = \delta_3^3$  for some  $\delta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  now follows just by applying  $\sigma$  to the equation  $\beta' = \delta_2^3$ .

(e) Write  $\delta_1 = s + t\zeta$  with  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= (s + t\zeta)^3 \\ &= s^3 + t^3 - 3st^2 + 3st(s - t)\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

so one of  $s, t, s - t$  is zero. Since  $\zeta^3 = 1$  and  $(1 + \zeta)^3 = -1$ , we may assume  $\delta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(f) Any rational prime dividing both  $a$  and  $b$  would divide both  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , which is impossible.

(g)

$$\begin{aligned} x + y\zeta &= \beta'\pi \\ &= (a + b\zeta)^3(1 - \zeta) \\ &= (a^3 + b^3 + 3a^2b - 6ab^2) + (6a^2b - 3ab^2 - a^3 - b^3)\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &= 9a^2b - 9ab^2 \\ &= 9ab(a - b). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 9ab(a - b) &= x + y \\ &= \alpha \\ &= 3^{3m-1}\alpha' \\ &= 3^{3m-1}\delta_1^3, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $ab(a-b) = 3^{3m-3}\delta_1^3$ .

(h) Since  $3^{3m-3}$  divides  $ab(a-b)$  and  $a, b, a-b$  are pairwise coprime,  $3^{3m-3}$  divides exactly one of them. Dividing that integer by  $3^{3m-3}$ , the product is then equal to  $\delta_1^3$ , and so each of the integers is a cube in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , say  $r^3, s^3, t^3$ .

(i) If, for example,  $a = r^3, b = s^3$  and  $a-b = 3^{3m-3}t^3$ , then

$$r^3 + (-s)^3 = 3^{3m-3}t^3,$$

so we take  $r_1 = r, s_1 = -s$  and  $t_1 = t$ . All the other possibilities involve simply reordering terms and changing signs as necessary.

(j) It remains to show that 3 divides none of  $r_1, s_1, t_1$ . For this, suppose that  $3|\alpha'$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , say  $\alpha' = 3\alpha''$  with  $\alpha'' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 3^{3m}z^3 &= 3\alpha\beta'\gamma' \\ &= 3^{3m}\alpha'\beta'\gamma' \\ &= 3^{3m+1}\alpha''\beta'\gamma', \end{aligned}$$

so  $z^3 = 3\alpha''\beta'\gamma'$ , implying that  $3|z$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $3 \nmid \alpha'$  and so  $3 \nmid \delta_1$ . This means that 3 divides none of  $r, s, t$ , and so also 3 divides none of  $r_1, s_1, t_1$  as required. By induction on  $m \geq 0$ , we are done (the case  $m = 0$  being exercise 1., the first case of Fermat with  $p = 3$ ).

**3.** Suppose  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , so that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for some  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $n \geq 1$ . If  $|\alpha| > 1$ , then for  $0 \leq k < n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha^n| &= |\alpha|^n \\ &> |\alpha|^k \\ &= |\alpha^k| \\ &\geq |a_k||\alpha^k| \\ &= |a_k\alpha^k|. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the first term in  $f(\alpha)$  has absolute value strictly greater than that of every other term, and so  $|f(\alpha)| = |\alpha^n| > 1$ . However,  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , so that we have a contradiction. Thus  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ , as required.