
math 228

SOLUTIONS TO FINAL EXAMINATION

Instructor: I. E. Leonard

Time: 2 Hours

1. Let A be a commutative ring with identity $1 \in A$.

(a) Define what it means for an element $a \in A$ to be a *unit*.

Define what it means for an element $a \in A$ to be a *zero divisor*.

(b) Let \mathbb{Z}_{12}^* denote the set of all units in \mathbb{Z}_{12} . Construct a multiplication table for \mathbb{Z}_{12}^* and answer the questions below.

(i) How many units are there in \mathbb{Z}_{12} ?

(ii) How many zero divisors are there in \mathbb{Z}_{12} ?

(iii) How many elements in \mathbb{Z}_{12}^* are their own inverse?

SOLUTION:

(a) An element $a \in A$ is a **unit** if and only if a has a multiplicative inverse in A , that is, if and only if there exists an element $b \in A$ such that $a \cdot b = 1$.

An element $a \in A$ is a **zero divisor** if and only if $a \neq 0$ and there exists an element $b \in A$, $b \neq 0$, such that $a \cdot b = 0$.

(b) The multiplication table for \mathbb{Z}_{12}^* is given below.

\cdot	1	5	7	11
1	1	5	7	11
5	5	1	11	7
7	7	11	1	5
11	11	7	5	1

(i) There are 4 units in \mathbb{Z}_{12} : namely, 1, 5, 7, 11.

(ii) There are 7 zero divisors in \mathbb{Z}_{12} : namely, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, since

$$2 \cdot 6 = 0, \quad 3 \cdot 4 = 0, \quad 3 \cdot 8 = 0, \quad 4 \cdot 9 = 0, \quad 6 \cdot 10 = 0.$$

(iii) From the table, we see immediately that every element of \mathbb{Z}_{12}^* is its own inverse, that is $a^2 = a \cdot a = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}^*$.

2. Use Gaussian elimination to solve the system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned}3x + 2y + w &= 2 \\ y + 4z + 2w &= 1 \\ x + 2y + z + 3w &= 4\end{aligned}$$

in \mathbb{Z}_5 . How many solutions are there?

SOLUTION: We can use elementary row operations to reduce the augmented matrix for this system to an upper triangular matrix as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} &\xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 3R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

We can read off the solution from the bottom up. The last row of the matrix is equivalent to the equation

$$3z = 4,$$

and multiplying this by 2, we have $z = 3$.

The second row of the matrix is equivalent to the equation

$$y + 4z + 2w = 1,$$

so that $y = 4 + 3w$.

Finally, the first row of the matrix is equivalent to

$$x + 2y + z + 3z = 4,$$

so that $x = 3 + w$.

Therefore, the solution to the system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}x &= 3 + w \\ y &= 4 + 3w \\ z &= 3,\end{aligned}$$

where $w \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ is arbitrary.

There are exactly 5 solutions to the system of equations, corresponding to $w = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

3. (a) What does it mean for a positive integer p to be a *prime*?
- (b) What does it mean for two positive integers a and b to be *relatively prime*?
- (c) Is 409 a prime?
- (d) How many integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq k \leq 409$ are there that are relatively prime to 409?
- (e) How many units are there in \mathbb{Z}_{409} ?
- (f) Use the Euclidean algorithm to find the inverse of 135 in \mathbb{Z}_{409} .

SOLUTION:

- (a) A positive integer p is a **prime** if and only if $p > 1$, and whenever $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \mid p$, this implies that either $d = 1$ or $d = p$.
- (b) Two positive integers a and b are **relatively prime** if and only if their greatest common divisor is 1, that is, whenever $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ this implies that $d = 1$.
- (c) It is easy to check that 409 has no prime divisors less than 21, so that 409 is a prime.
- (d) The number of integers k with $1 \leq k \leq 409$ that are relatively prime to 409 is 408, namely, all the integers k with $1 \leq k \leq 408$.
- (e) The number of units in \mathbb{Z}_{409} is the number of integers $1 \leq k \leq 409$ that are relatively prime to 409, namely 408.
- (f) We use the Euclidean algorithm to find the greatest common divisor of 135 and 409, which we know is 1, and then work from the bottom up to write 1 as a linear combination of 135 and 409.

$$\begin{aligned} 409 &= 3 \cdot 135 + 4 \\ 135 &= 33 \cdot 4 + 3 \\ 4 &= 1 \cdot 3 + 1 \\ 3 &= 3 \cdot 1 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the last nonzero remainder is $(135, 409) = 1$. Working from the bottom up, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 4 - 3 = 4 - (135 - 33 \cdot 4) = 34 \cdot 4 - 135 \\ &= 34 \cdot (409 - 3 \cdot 135) - 135 = 34 \cdot 409 - 103 \cdot 135 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $135^{-1} = -103 = 306$ in \mathbb{Z}_{409} .

4. (a) Given a polynomial $f(x)$ over a field \mathbb{F} , what does it mean to say that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F} ?
- (b) Factor the polynomial $p(x) = x^5 + x^2 + x + 1$ into a product of irreducible factors in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) A nonzero polynomial $f(x)$ is **irreducible over** \mathbb{F} if and only if its only divisors are the nonzero constant polynomials and its associates, equivalently, if and only if
- (i) $\deg f(x) \geq 1$, and
- (ii) if $f(x) = p(x) \cdot q(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}[x]$, then either $\deg p(x) = 0$ or $\deg q(x) = 0$.
- (b) If $p(x) = x^5 + x^2 + x + 1$, then $p(0) = 1$, and $p(1) = 0$, so that $p(x)$ has only the root $a = 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_2 . From the Factor Theorem, $x - 1 = x + 1$ is a factor of $p(x)$, and from the Division Algorithm or long division, we have

$$p(x) = (x + 1)^2(x^3 + x + 1),$$

and finally, since $x^3 + x + 1$ has no roots in \mathbb{Z}_2 and is of degree 3, then it is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

5. Let $p(x) = x^2 + x + 8$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{10}[x]$.

- (a) Find all the roots of $p(x)$ in \mathbb{Z}_{10} .
- (b) Give two different factorizations of $p(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{10}[x]$.

SOLUTION:

(a) For $p(x) = x^2 + x + 8$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{10}[x]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(0) &= 8, & p(1) &= 0, & p(2) &= 4, & p(3) &= 0, \\ p(4) &= 8, & p(5) &= 8, & p(6) &= 0, & p(7) &= 4, \\ p(8) &= 0, & p(9) &= 8, & p(10) &= 8, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $p(x)$ has 4 roots in \mathbb{Z}_{10} , namely, 1, 3, 6, 8.

(b) From the Division Algorithm or long division, we have the following factorizations of $p(x)$,

$$p(x) = (x - 1) \cdot (x - 8) \quad \text{and} \quad p(x) = (x - 3) \cdot (x - 6)$$

in $\mathbb{Z}_{10}[x]$.

6. Let $f(x) = x^4 + 4$ in $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

- (a) Show that $f(x)$ has no roots in \mathbb{Q} .
- (b) Show that $f(x)$ is not irreducible over \mathbb{Z} .
- (c) Factor $f(x)$ into a product of irreducible factors over \mathbb{C} .

SOLUTION:

- (a) If $r = \frac{c}{d} \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a root of $f(x)$, then from the Rational Roots Theorem, we have $d \mid 1$ and $c \mid 4$, and the only possibilities are $d = \pm 1$ and $c = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4$. Therefore, the only possible roots in \mathbb{Q} are $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4$, none of which is a root, so $f(x)$ has no roots in \mathbb{Q} .
- (b) If $f(x)$ factors over \mathbb{Z} , then it also factors over \mathbb{Q} , and since it has no linear factors, it must factor into the product of two quadratics. By symmetry, we may assume that

$$f(x) = (x^2 + ax + 2) \cdot (x^2 - ax + 2)$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. After simplifying this product, we have

$$f(x) = x^4 + (4 - a^2)x^2 + 4,$$

so that $a^2 = 4$ and $a = \pm 2$. Thus, $f(x) = x^4 + 4$ factors over \mathbb{Z} as

$$x^4 + 4 = (x^2 + 2x + 2) \cdot (x^2 - 2x + 2)$$

so that $f(x)$ is not irreducible over \mathbb{Z} .

(c) Note that

$$x^2 + 2x + 2 = x^2 + 2x + 1 + 1 = (x + 1)^2 + 1 = (x + 1)^2 - i^2 = (x + 1 + i) \cdot (x + 1 - i)$$

and that

$$x^2 - 2x + 2 = x^2 - 2x + 1 + 1 = (x - 1)^2 + 1 = (x - 1)^2 - i^2 = (x - 1 + i) \cdot (x - 1 - i)$$

so that we can write

$$f(x) = (x + 1 + i) \cdot (x + 1 - i) \cdot (x - 1 + i) \cdot (x - 1 - i)$$

as a product of irreducibles over \mathbb{C} .

7. (a) Given a prime p and a polynomial

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

we define the **reduction of $f(x)$ modulo p** to be the polynomial

$$\bar{f}(x) = \bar{a}_0 + \bar{a}_1x + \cdots + \bar{a}_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x].$$

Show that the mapping $T : \mathbb{Z}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ given by $T(f(x)) = \bar{f}(x)$ for $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, is a ring homomorphism onto $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

- (b) Prove *Gauss's Lemma*: If $f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and if a prime p divides every coefficient of $f(x)$, then either p divides every coefficient of $g(x)$ or p divides every coefficient of $h(x)$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) Suppose that $f(x) = \sum_i a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_i b_i x^i$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, then $f(x) + g(x) = \sum_i (a_i + b_i) x^i$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Therefore,

$$T(f(x) + g(x)) = \sum_i \overline{(a_i + b_i)} x^i = \sum_i (\bar{a}_i + \bar{b}_i) x^i = \sum_i \bar{a}_i x^i + \sum_i \bar{b}_i x^i = T(f(x)) + T(g(x))$$

in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

Also, $f(x) \cdot g(x) = \sum_k c_k x^k$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, where $c_k = \sum_i a_i \cdot b_{k-i}$. Therefore,

$$T(f(x) \cdot g(x)) = \sum_k \bar{c}_k x^k$$

where

$$\bar{c}_k = \overline{\sum_i a_i \cdot b_{k-i}} = \sum_i \overline{a_i \cdot b_{k-i}} = \sum_i \bar{a}_i \cdot \bar{b}_{k-i},$$

so that $T(f(x) \cdot g(x)) = T(f(x)) \cdot T(g(x))$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

Therefore,

$$T(f(x) + g(x)) = T(f(x)) + T(g(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad T(f(x) \cdot g(x)) = T(f(x)) \cdot T(g(x))$$

for all $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and $T : \mathbb{Z}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is a ring homomorphism.

To see that T is onto, given any $g(x) = \sum_i \bar{a}_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, we have

$$g(x) = T(f(x))$$

where $f(x) = \sum_i a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

- (b) If $f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and p is a prime that divides every coefficient of $f(x)$, then

$$\bar{f}(x) = \bar{g}(x) \cdot \bar{h}(x) = \bar{0}$$

in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, and since $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is an integral domain, then either $\bar{g}(x) = \bar{0}$ or $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{0}$, that is, either all coefficients of $g(x)$ are divisible by p , or all coefficients of $h(x)$ are divisible by p .

8. (a) State the Chinese Remainder Theorem.
- (b) When the marchers in the annual Mathematics Department Parade lined up 4 abreast, there was 1 odd person; when they tried 5 abreast, there were 2 left over; and when they tried 7 abreast, there were 3 left over. How large is the department?

SOLUTION:

- (a) The **Chinese Remainder Theorem** states that if the positive integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k are pairwise relatively prime, then the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \\x &\equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2} \\&\vdots \\x &\equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}\end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution modulo $M = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdots m_k$.

- (b) We have to solve the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\x &\equiv 2 \pmod{5} \\x &\equiv 3 \pmod{7}\end{aligned}$$

where $m_1 = 4$, $m_2 = 5$, and $m_3 = 7$. As in class, we let

$$M_1 = m_2 \cdot m_3 = 5 \cdot 7 = 35, \quad M_2 = m_1 \cdot m_3 = 4 \cdot 7 = 28, \quad M_3 = m_1 \cdot m_2 = 4 \cdot 5 = 20,$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned}y_1 &\equiv M_1^{-1} \pmod{m_1} \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\y_2 &\equiv M_2^{-1} \pmod{m_2} \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \\y_3 &\equiv M_3^{-1} \pmod{m_3} \equiv 6 \pmod{7}\end{aligned}$$

then the solution is

$$x \equiv a_1 \cdot y_1 \cdot M_1 + a_2 \cdot y_2 \cdot M_2 + a_3 \cdot y_3 \cdot M_3 \pmod{m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot m_3},$$

and we have

$$x \equiv 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 35 + 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 28 + 3 \cdot 6 \cdot 20 \equiv 17 \pmod{140}.$$

However, in light of the fact that they have an annual parade, a more reasonable size for the Department of Mathematics might be $x = 17 + 140 = 157$.