## Calculus Lab 22—Integration by Parts

Objectives: To practise integration by parts, and apply it to derive a reduction formula.

## Some Maple Commands:

with (student) : Loads the student package .Do this now. We will need this package.
int (expr,x); Indefinite integral of expr with respect to $x$.
Int (expr,x); Represents the integral in memory, but does not perform the integration.
intparts (expr,u); Integrates expr by parts. For the second argument, supply the expression that you would like Maple to use to play the role of $u$ in the parts formula.

Recall the integration by parts formula for indefinite integration:

$$
\int u d v=u v-\int v d u
$$

The trick to successful use of this formula is to know what to choose for $u$. Once you've chosen $u$, then $d v$ is also determined, which in turn determines $v$, so there are no other choices to be made. For example, consider

$$
\int x \sin x d x
$$

There are two choices for $u$ that suggest themselves, $u=\sin (x)$ (so $d v=x d x$ ) or $u=x$ (so $d v=\sin (x) d x)$. Let's try them both and see which is best. You can do this by hand, but you can also use Maple:
with (student) : If you didn't already type this command, do it now.
$\mathbf{z =}=\operatorname{Int}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{s i n}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}\right)$; Use capital I in the Int command, so that Maple doesn't do the integral that would be easier, but we wouldn't learn anything.
intparts(z,sin(x)); This tells Maple to use integration by parts to compute the integral $z$, using $u=\sin (x)$. Is the integral on the right-hand side simpler or more complicated than the original integral?
intparts $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$; This time, we try using $u=x$ instead. Now is the right-hand side simpler? Can you complete the computation now by hand?

Exercise 1: For each of the following integrals, make two different choices of $u$. For each choice, apply the integration by parts formula once and report the result. Which choice for $u$ is best in each case, or do both choices work well? Using your preferred choice for $u$, complete the computation of the integral. You may have to apply the parts formula several times; if so, show each step, including each choice of $u$. You may perform these calculations using the Maple technique outlined above or hand calculations or a combination of both.
a) $\int x^{2} \cos x d x$
b) $\int x^{2} e^{2 x} d x$
c) $\int e^{x} \sin x d x$

For integrands of the form $x^{n}$ times a trig, exponential, or logarithmic function, it is possible to use integration by parts to develop a reduction formula that allows you to do the integral simply by plugging the value of $n$ into a formula. Let's now try to develop such a formula.

Have Maple compute $\int x^{n} \ln x d x$ for some values of $n$ :
$\operatorname{int}(\mathbf{x} * \ln (\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x})$; This asks Maple to compute $\int x \ln x d x$, so this is the case of $n=1$. Notice we are now not telling Maple specifically to use parts to do this integral (although it is probably deciding on its own to use parts for this integral).
int $\left(\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right) * \ln (\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}\right)$; This is the $n=2$ case.
int $\left(\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 3\right) * \ln (\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}\right)$; This is the $n=3$ case.
See a pattern? Can you guess a formula for $\int x^{n} \ln x d x$ when $n$ is completely general?
Exercise 2: Use integration by parts with $u=\ln (x)$ to derive a formula for $\int x^{n} \ln x d x$. Check that the formula agrees with the above examples when $n=1,2,3$. The formula fails when $n=-1$. Can you explain what step in your derivation fails when $n=-1$ ? Is there any other value of $n$ for which the formula should fail?

