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#### Abstract

We study the linear and nonlinear stability of stationary solutions of the forced two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations on the domain $[0,2 \pi] \times$ $[0,2 \pi / \alpha]$, where $\alpha \in(0,1]$, with doubly periodic boundary conditions. For the linear problem we employ the classical energy-enstrophy argument to derive some fundamental properties of unstable eigenmodes. From this it is shown that forces of pure $x_{2}$-modes having wavelengths greater than $2 \pi$ do not give rise to linear instability of the corresponding primary stationary solutions. For the nonlinear problem, we prove the equivalence of nonlinear stability with respect to the energy and enstrophy norms. This equivalence is then applied to derive optimal conditions for nonlinear stability, including both the highand low-Reynolds-number limits.


1. Introduction. We consider 2D incompressible fluid flow in a doubly periodic rectangular domain $T^{2}=[0,2 \pi] \times[0,2 \pi / \alpha]$, where $\alpha \in(0,1]$. The fluid is assumed to be driven by a monoscale forcing and damped by various dissipation mechanisms including Ekman drag (a linear mechanical friction), hypoviscosity, molecular viscosity, and hyperviscosity. The 2D Navier-Stokes equations which govern the fluid motion are written in an abstract form in a function space $H$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{d t}+B(u, u)+A^{\eta} u=f, u(t=0)=u_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A detailed description of the functional analysis setting for (1) is given in [1, 9, 10]. We recall that $H$ is the $L^{2}$-space of periodic, non-divergent functions representing the velocity $u$ with vanishing average in $T^{2} . B(u, u)=P((u \cdot \nabla) u)$ where $P$ is the orthogonal projection in $L^{2}$ onto $H$, and $A=-P \Delta=-\Delta P$. The number $\eta$ will be called the degree of viscosity. When $\eta=1$ we have the usual molecular viscosity, while $\eta=0$ corresponds to Ekman drag. The cases $\eta>1$ and $\eta<1$ correspond to hyperviscosity and hypoviscosity, respectively. Note that the generalized viscosity coefficient is taken to be unity.

The eigenfunctions of $A$ which form an orthonormal basis of $H$ are given by (see $[6,7])$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k}=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2} \pi|k|} k^{\prime} \cos k \cdot x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2} \pi|k|} k^{\prime} \sin k \cdot x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $k=\left(k_{1}, \alpha k_{2}\right)^{T}, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are integers satisfying either $k_{1}>0$ or $k_{1}=0$ and $k_{2}>0$, and $k^{\prime}=\left(\alpha k_{2},-k_{1}\right)^{T}$. For convenience this set of wavevectors $k$ will be denoted by $K$. We denote by $H^{\gamma}$ the domain of definition of $A^{\gamma / 2}$ for real $\gamma$. The (degenerate) eigenvalues of $A$ are $|k|^{2}$ and the eigenspace corresponding to $|k|^{2}$ is denoted by $H(|k|)$. We will occasionally refer to $|k|$ as a wavenumber and $2 \pi /|k|$ as the length scale (or wavelength) associated with the wavenumber $|k|$. In this study $f$ is assumed to be a monoscale body force; i.e., $f \in H(|s|)$ for some wavenumber $|s|$. Further restrictions on $f$ for the particular cases considered in this article will be stated in due course.

The Fourier representation of $u$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{k \in K}\left(u_{k} e_{k}+u_{k}^{\prime} e_{k}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To facilitate the linear analysis we allow $u_{k}$ and $u_{k}^{\prime}$ to take complex values. The scalar product and the norm in $H^{\gamma}$ are given respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (u, v)_{\gamma}=\int_{T^{2}} u^{*} \cdot A^{\gamma} v d x=\sum_{k \in K}|k|^{2 \gamma}\left(u_{k}^{*} v_{k}+u_{k}^{\prime *} v_{k}^{\prime}\right),  \tag{5}\\
& \|u\|_{\gamma}=(u, u)_{\gamma}^{1 / 2}=\left(\sum_{k \in K}|k|^{2 \gamma}\left(\left|u_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}{ }_{k}\right|^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The cases where $\gamma=0,1$ are special and the corresponding $H$-norm (superscript and subscript ' 0 ' are omitted in this case) and $H^{1}$-norm are known in the literature as the energy and enstrophy norm, respectively. A geometric constraint, referred to as the Poincaré inequality, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\gamma+\beta}^{2} \geq \lambda_{\text {min }}^{\gamma}\|u\|_{\beta}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for non-negative $\gamma$, where $\lambda_{\text {min }}^{1 / 2}$ (which generally depends on $u$ ) is the minimum wavenumber in the Fourier representation of $u$. In order to apply to arbitrary $u$ one must take $\lambda_{\min }=\alpha^{2}$, being the first eigenvalue of $A$. The inequality reverses direction for non-positive $\gamma$.

Let $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in \Re$ and $\kappa>0$. Let $\phi \in H^{\gamma}$ where $\gamma=\operatorname{Max}\left\{\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \beta+\beta^{\prime}\right\}$. We define (see also [12])

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \kappa, \phi\right)=\sum_{|k|}\left(\kappa^{2 \beta}-|k|^{2 \beta}\right)\left(\kappa^{2 \beta^{\prime}}-|k|^{2 \beta^{\prime}}\right)\|P(|k|) \phi\|^{2}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(|k|) \phi$ is the projection of $\phi$ onto the eigenspace $H(|k|)$. Note that $G\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \kappa, \phi\right)$ is positive (negative) if and only if $\beta \beta^{\prime}>0\left(\beta \beta^{\prime}<0\right)$ and if $\phi \notin H(\kappa)$ if $\kappa^{2}$ happens to be an eigenvalue of $A$. This means that when $\beta \beta^{\prime} \neq 0, G\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \kappa, \phi\right)=0$ if and only if $\kappa^{2}$ is an eigenvalue of $A$ and $\phi \in H(\kappa)$. By rearranging terms we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \kappa, \phi\right)=\|\phi\|_{\beta+\beta^{\prime}}^{2}-\kappa^{2 \beta^{\prime}}\|\phi\|_{\beta}^{2}-\kappa^{2 \beta}\left(\|\phi\|_{\beta^{\prime}}^{2}-\kappa^{2 \beta^{\prime}}\|\phi\|^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(1, \eta,|s|, \phi)=\|\phi\|_{1+\eta}^{2}-|s|^{2}\|\phi\|_{\eta}^{2}-|s|^{2 \eta}\left(\|\phi\|_{1}^{2}-|s|^{2}\|\phi\|^{2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following identities are well-known for real $u, v, w$ and are used repeatedly in what follows. The bilinear operator $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A u, B(v, v))+(A v, B(v, u))+(A v, B(u, v))=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, v \in H^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, B(v, w))=-(w, B(v, u)) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, w \in H^{1}$ and $v \in H$. These identities arise by virtue of the non-divergent and periodic properties of the velocity field. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
(u, B(v, u))=0  \tag{13}\\
(A u, B(u, u))=0 \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

We shall collectively refer to the above identities as the orthogonality properties of the nonlinear term. In the absence of forcing and viscosity, they give rise to the conservation of energy and enstrophy.
2. Linear stability. Equation (1) possesses the stationary solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=|s|^{-2 \eta} f \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will be referred to as the primary stationary solution. A stationary solution other than $\bar{u}$ (if it exists) will be referred to as a secondary stationary solution. (The existence of such a solution for the traditional 2D Navier-Stokes system under suitable conditions is demonstrated in [4].) Let the solution of (1) be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\bar{u}+v \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the governing equation for the deviation $v$ from $\bar{u}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d v}{d t}=-B(\bar{u}, v)-B(v, \bar{u})-B(v, v)-A^{\eta} v \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the linear operator in the above eq. be denoted by $L(\bar{u})$, viz.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\bar{u}) v=-B(\bar{u}, v)-B(v, \bar{u})-A^{\eta} v . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the linear stability problem the focus is on the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\bar{u}) w=\sigma w \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a positive (negative) real part of $\sigma$ corresponds to an unstable (stable) eigenmode.

We now consider the special case $f=f_{0} e_{s}^{\prime}$ which corresponds to the stationary solution $\bar{u}=\bar{u}_{s} e_{s}^{\prime}=|s|^{-2 \eta} f_{0} e_{s}^{\prime}$, where $f_{0}>0$. Let an eigenvector be written in the Fourier representation (4). Then with reference to Liu's lemma in the appendix, it is seen that the odd and even components of the disturbance are separable and both satisfy the eigenvalue problem (19). Hence, it is sufficient to consider either one, say the even part in which case $w=\sum_{k \in K} w_{k} e_{k}$. Substituting this into (19), using Liu's lemma with $l=s$, and noting that $s^{\prime} \cdot s=0$, we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|k|^{2 \eta}+\sigma\right) w_{k}-\frac{\bar{u}_{s} \sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot s}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||s|}\left[\frac{|k-s|^{2}-|s|^{2}}{|k-s|} w_{k-s}-\frac{|k+s|^{2}-|s|^{2}}{|k+s|} w_{k+s}\right]=0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation gives a three-term recurrence relation between $w_{k_{0}+(n-1) s}, w_{k_{0}+n s}$, and $w_{k_{0}+(n+1) s}$ for a given $k_{0}$. (Note that when $k-s \notin K$ then this mode appears as $s-k \in K$ with $w_{k-s}=-w_{s-k}$ because $e_{k-s}=-e_{s-k}$; see Figure 1.) Equation (20) is derived (for slightly different forms of $\bar{u}$ ) and employed in studying the unstable


Figure 1. The wavevectors in the sequence $k_{n}=k_{0}+n s$ of an unstable eigenmode. The domain $K$ consists of the right halfplane, minus the lower half of the ordinate and the origin. When $k_{n} \notin K$ (for $n \leq-1$ in this figure) then $-k_{n}$ appears in the Fourier series of the unstable eigenmode. $k_{0}$ is designated as the member of the sequence with the smallest wavenumber. The semicircle has radius $|s|$. At most two of the members of this sequence can lie within the semicircle ( $k_{0}$ and $-k_{-1}$ in this figure).
manifold, eigenvalue problem, and the bifurcation of $\bar{u}$ via the continued fraction method in $[4,5,6,7,8]$.

Let $\sigma_{r}$ denote the real part of $\sigma$. We see that for $\sigma_{r} \geq 0(20)$ has no non-trivial solutions when $k^{\prime} \cdot s=0$. Thus, for unstable eigenmodes $w$ must take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(k_{0}\right)=\sum_{n} w_{n} e_{k_{n}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is an integer and where $k_{n}=k_{0}+n s$ for some $k_{0} \in K$ with $k_{0}^{\prime} \cdot s \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we may designate $\left|k_{0}\right|$ as the smallest wavenumber of the sequence $\left\{\left|k_{n}\right|\right\}$. We observe that there exist at most two wavenumbers $\leq|s|$ in the sequence $\left\{\left|k_{n}\right|\right\}$ (see Figure 1). One of these smallest wavenumbers is, by definition, $\left|k_{0}\right|$ and the other is either $\left|k_{1}\right|$ or $\left|k_{-1}\right|$, depending on the angle between $s$ and $k_{0}$. From the observation in this paragraph two important properties of the eigenvalue problem (19) follow.

First, the Fourier series of an unstable eigenmode is not terminating, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n} \neq 0, \forall n \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, suppose otherwise that there is an $N$ such that $w_{N}=0$. We then write $w=p+q$, where $p=\sum_{n<N} w_{n} e_{k_{n}}$ and $q=\sum_{n>N} w_{n} e_{k_{n}}$. Since only $\left|k_{0}\right|$ and either $\left|k_{-1}\right|$ or $\left|k_{1}\right|$ can be $\leq|s|$, either $p$ or $q$ consists of no Fourier modes of wavenumbers $<|s|$. Let us therefore assume, without loss of generality, that $p$ satisfies this condition. Taking the scalar product of $p$ with (19) in $H$ and $H^{1}$, respectively, we obtain (noting the separability of $p$ and $q$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma\|p\|^{2}+\|p\|_{\eta}^{2}= & \left(\bar{u}, B\left(p_{r}, p_{r}\right)+B\left(p_{i}, p_{i}\right)\right)  \tag{23}\\
& -i\left(p_{r}, B\left(\bar{u}, p_{i}\right)+B\left(p_{i}, \bar{u}\right)\right)+i\left(p_{i}, B\left(\bar{u}, p_{r}\right)+B\left(p_{r}, \bar{u}\right)\right), \\
\sigma\|p\|_{1}^{2}+\|p\|_{1+\eta}^{2}= & \left(A \bar{u}, B\left(p_{r}, p_{r}\right)+B\left(p_{i}, p_{i}\right)\right)  \tag{24}\\
& -i\left(A p_{r}, B\left(\bar{u}, p_{i}\right)+B\left(p_{i}, \bar{u}\right)\right)+i\left(A p_{i}, B\left(\bar{u}, p_{r}\right)+B\left(p_{r}, \bar{u}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the orthogonality properties have been employed for the real $\left(p_{r}\right)$ and imaginary parts $\left(p_{i}\right)$ of $p$. Multiplying (23) by $|s|^{2}$ and subtracting (24), noting that the real parts on the right-hand sides of (23) and (24) cancel, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}\left(|s|^{2}\|p\|^{2}-\|p\|_{1}^{2}\right)=\|p\|_{1+\eta}^{2}-|s|^{2}\|p\|_{\eta}^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \neq 0$ the right-hand side of (25) is positive due to the Poincaré inequality. Likewise, the difference in the brackets on the left-hand side of (25) is negative. So, $\sigma_{r} \geq 0$ requires that $p=0$. From the recurrence relation it is easy to see that $p=0 \Longrightarrow q=0$. Hence, the Fourier series of an unstable eigenmode of $\bar{u}$ is non-terminating.

Second, there exist no nontrivial unstable solutions (21) to (19) for $\left|k_{0}\right| \geq|s|$. To see this we repeat the steps leading to (25) with $p$ replaced by $w$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}\left(|s|^{2}\|w\|^{2}-\|w\|_{1}^{2}\right)=\|w\|_{1+\eta}^{2}-|s|^{2}\|w\|_{\eta}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $w \neq 0$ the right-hand side of $(26)$ is positive due to the Poincaré inequality. Likewise, the difference in the brackets on the left-hand side of (26) is negative. Hence, for $\sigma_{r} \geq 0$ there is no non-trivial solution to (19) in this case. As a consequence of this, it is interesting to note that $\bar{u}$ possesses no unstable eigenmodes for the special case $s=\left(0, \alpha s_{2}\right)^{T}$, where $\alpha s_{2} \leq 1$, as there exist no $k_{0} \in K$ such that $\left|k_{0}\right|<|s|$ and $k_{0}^{\prime} \cdot s \neq 0$.

When there exists an unstable eigenmode $w$, (26) implies that the signs of $\left(|s|^{2}\|w\|^{2}-\|w\|_{1}^{2}\right)$ and $\left(\|w\|_{1+\eta}^{2}-|s|^{2}\|w\|_{\eta}^{2}\right)$ are the same. When $\eta=0$, (26) leads directly to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|s|^{2}\|w\|^{2}-\|w\|_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\eta>0$, (10) together with $G(1, \eta,|s|, w)>0$ (since $w \notin H(|s|))$ rules out the possibility of a negative sign, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|s|^{2}\|w\|^{2}-\|w\|_{1}^{2}>0 \text { and }\|w\|_{1+\eta}^{2}-|s|^{2}\|w\|_{\eta}^{2}>0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In conclusion, we have proven the following
Theorem 2.1. (I) The primary stationary flow $\bar{u}=\bar{u}_{s} e_{s}^{\prime}$ of the Navier-Stokes system, for $s=\left(0, \alpha s_{2}\right)^{T}$ with $\alpha s_{2} \leq 1$, is linearly stable for arbitrary values of $\bar{u}_{s}$.
(II) Unstable eigenvectors of the eigenvalue problem $L(\bar{u}) w=\sigma w$ satisfy (27) for $\eta=0$ or (28) for $\eta>0$. Moreover, the real part of the eigenvalue, $\sigma_{r}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}=\frac{\|w\|_{1+\eta}^{2}-|s|^{2}\|w\|_{\eta}^{2}}{|s|^{2}\|w\|^{2}-\|w\|_{1}^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\eta>0$.
REMARK 1. The arguments leading to $w_{n} \neq 0, \forall n$ do not make use of the dissipation term in an essential manner. In particular, if the right-hand side of (25) is identically zero (i.e., the dissipation term is absent), then $\sigma_{r}>0$ still implies $p=0$, and the subsequent arguments follow. Hence, for the inviscid case, the Fourier series of an unstable eigenmode of the basic flow $\bar{u}$ is non-terminating. Furthermore, the spectrum of such an unstable eigenmode satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|s|^{2}\|w\|^{2}-\|w\|_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the eigenmode cannot be entirely in $H(|s|)$, for which (30) would hold trivially, this implies that an unstable disturbance must have a component with a wavenumber smaller than $|s|$. This recovers a well-known result in the inviscid linear problem (see, for example, $[2,3]$ ).
Remark 2. A basic flow of mode $\left(0, \alpha s_{2}\right)^{T}$ is an $x_{1}$-directed flow of cross-flow $\left(x_{2}\right)$ wavelength $2 \pi / \alpha s_{2}$. There are $N$ such linearly stable basic flows where $N$ is the largest integer $\leq 1 / \alpha$. We note that $\alpha s_{2} \leq 1$ corresponds to a wavelength in $x_{2}$ that is greater than or equal to the domain size in $x_{1}$. As noted in the previous remark, an unstable mode must have a component with a wavenumber smaller than $|s|$ (and $\left.k_{0}^{\prime} \cdot s \neq 0\right)$. This constraint is consistent with the well-known arguments of [2] regarding energy transfers in two-dimensional Euler flows. Basic flows with $\alpha s_{2} \leq 1$ cannot satisfy this constraint, which explains their stability: all disturbances with $k_{0}^{\prime} \cdot s \neq 0$ are of smaller scale.
3. Nonlinear stability. We now present the main result in the nonlinear stability analysis. In this section, the term nonlinear stability means asymptotic (global) stability.

Unlike the nonlinear stability problem for finite-dimensional systems in which all norms are equivalent, a nonlinear stability analysis for an infinite-dimensional system requires a specified norm. A stationary solution of an infinite-dimensional system that is shown to be nonlinearly stable with respect to a given norm may not necessarily be stable with respect to another norm. The present problem turns out to be an exceptional case in which the stability of $\bar{u}$ with respect to the energy norm implies the stability of $\bar{u}$ with respect to the enstrophy norm, and vice versa. In particular, we will prove the following

## Lemma 3.1. The following conditions are equivalent:

(I) $\bar{u}$ is nonlinearly stable with respect to the energy norm.
(II) $\bar{u}$ is nonlinearly stable with respect to the enstrophy norm.

Proof. (II) automatically implies (I) because of the Poincaré inequality. For the other direction the proof goes as follows. Taking the scalar product of (17) with $v$ in $H$ and $H^{1}$ and noting the orthogonality properties of $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|^{2}-(\bar{u}, B(v, v))+\|v\|_{\eta}^{2}=0  \tag{31}\\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|_{1}^{2}-(A \bar{u}, B(v, v))+\|v\|_{1+\eta}^{2}=0 \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to see that if $v \in H(|s|)$ at some time then $v$ belongs to $H(|s|)$ for all time since $H(|s|)$ is invariant, because $B(v, v)=0$ if $v \in H(|k|)$ for all $k \in K$. Moreover, $\|v\|_{\gamma} \rightarrow 0$, in $H(|s|), \forall \gamma$. Hence, we assume $v \notin H(|s|)$ for the rest of this proof.

Since $A \bar{u}=|s|^{2} \bar{u}$ we can multiply the energy equation (31) by $|s|^{2}$ and subtract the enstrophy equation (32) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\{|s|^{2}\|v\|^{2}-\|v\|_{1}^{2}\right\}+\left\{|s|^{2}\|v\|_{\eta}^{2}-\|v\|_{1+\eta}^{2}\right\}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (10), this equation can be rewritten in terms of the function $G(1, \eta,|s|, v)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\{|s|^{2}\|v\|^{2}-\|v\|_{1}^{2}\right\}+|s|^{2 \eta}\left\{|s|^{2}\|v\|^{2}-\|v\|_{1}^{2}\right\}=G(1, \eta,|s|, v) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G(1, \eta,|s|, v) \geq 0$ for $\eta \geq 0$, (34) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{|s|^{2}\|v\|^{2}-\|v\|_{1}^{2}\right\} \geq 0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (I) implies (II) and the proof of the lemma is complete.
REmark 3. For a secondary stationary solution, denoted by $\bar{u}^{\prime}$ if it exists, we have $|s|\left\|\bar{u}^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|\bar{u}_{1}^{\prime}\right\|$ for $\eta=0$ and $|s|\left\|\bar{u}^{\prime}\right\|>\left\|\bar{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{1}$ for $\eta>0$ (see $[11,12]$ ). However, $a$ disturbance from $\bar{u}^{\prime}$ does not necessarily obey the same equality or inequality as $\bar{u}^{\prime}$ does, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence (I) does not necessarily imply (II).

We now derive a sufficient condition for the stability of $\bar{u}$ in the special case $s=\left(0, \alpha s_{2}\right)^{T}$. We also consider only the case of molecular viscosity, $\eta=1$, for the remainder of the paper. We have from (31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|^{2}=(\bar{u}, B(v, v))-\|v\|_{1}^{2}=\bar{u}_{s}\left(e_{s}^{\prime}, B(v, v)\right)-\|v\|_{1}^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We evaluate the trilinear form in (36) by expanding $B(v, v)$ as in the appendix. Since, for $s=\left(0, \alpha s_{2}\right)^{T}$, there exist no triads in $K$ satisfying $k+l=s$, and because of the orthogonality of the basis functions, the trilinear form $\left(e_{s}^{\prime}, B(v, v)\right)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e_{s}^{\prime}, B(v, v)\right)=\sum_{k-l=s} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l||s|}\left(v_{k} v_{l}+v_{k}^{\prime} v_{l}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factors in the coefficients of $v_{k} v_{l}$ and $v_{k}^{\prime} v_{l}^{\prime}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
k^{\prime} \cdot l=k_{1} \alpha s_{2}=l_{1} \alpha s_{2} \\
|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}=|l+s|^{2}-|l|^{2}=\alpha^{2} s_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha^{2} s_{2} l_{2} \\
|k||l||s|=\alpha s_{2}\left(l_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha l_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(l_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} l_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{|k||l||s|}=\frac{l_{1} \alpha s_{2}\left(\alpha s_{2}+2 \alpha l_{2}\right)}{\left(l_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha l_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(l_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} l_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upon substitution of the above identity the trilinear form then reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e_{s}^{\prime}, B(v, v)\right)=\sum_{l_{1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} l_{1} \alpha s_{2}\left(\alpha s_{2}+2 \alpha l_{2}\right)\left(v_{l} v_{l+s}+v_{l}^{\prime} v_{l+s}^{\prime}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi\left(l_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha l_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(l_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} l_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that each $v_{l}\left(v_{l}^{\prime}\right)$, for $l \neq\left(0, \alpha l_{2}\right)^{T}$, appears exactly twice in the above sum. Meanwhile, the dissipation term can be majorized according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{1}^{2}=\sum_{k}|k|^{2}\left(v_{k}^{2}+{v^{\prime}}_{k}^{2}\right) \geq \sum_{k}|k||k+s|\left(\left|v_{k} v_{k+s}\right|+\left|v_{k}^{\prime} v_{k+s}^{\prime}\right|\right) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (39) and (40) into the energy equation (36) then yields (note the change of the dummy indices $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ to $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|^{2} \leq & \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{U k_{1} \alpha s_{2}\left|\alpha s_{2}+2 \alpha k_{2}\right|}{2\left(k_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha k_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(k_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right.  \tag{41}\\
& \left.-\left(k_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha k_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(k_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\left|v_{k} v_{k+s}\right|+\left|v_{k}^{\prime} v_{k+s}^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $U=\bar{u}_{s} \sqrt{\alpha} /(\sqrt{2} \pi)$ is the amplitude of $\bar{u}$. Nonlinear stability of $\bar{u}$ follows provided the right-hand side of (41) is non-positive. This condition is satisfied when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U k_{1} \alpha s_{2}\left|\alpha s_{2}+2 \alpha k_{2}\right|}{2\left(k_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha k_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left(k_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)} \leq 1, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive integers $k_{1}$ and all integers $k_{2}$. Since the left-hand side of (42) is greatest when $k_{1}=1$ for each $k_{2}$, the stability condition reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U \alpha s_{2}\left|\alpha s_{2}+2 \alpha k_{2}\right|}{2\left(1+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha k_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left(1+\alpha^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)} \leq 1 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem of determining the nonlinear stability condition for $\bar{u}$ now reduces to determining the greatest value of the left-hand side of (42) for all integers $k_{2}$ and setting it $\leq 1$. For that purpose, let us determine the maximum of the function

$$
g(x)=\frac{|c+2 x|}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left(1+(c+x)^{2}\right)}
$$

where $c$ is a positive parameter and $x$ is a continuous real variable. It can be seen that $g(x)$ is symmetric about the line $x=-c / 2$; therefore, the translation $x \rightarrow x+c / 2$ helps reduce the problem to finding the maximum of

$$
h(x)=\frac{2 x}{\left(1+(x-c / 2)^{2}\right)\left(1+(x+c / 2)^{2}\right)}, x>0
$$

Differentiating $h(x)$ with respect to $x$, setting the derivative to zero, and solving the resulting equation for $x$ in terms of $c$ one obtains the single real solution

$$
x=\left(\frac{c^{2}-4+2\left(c^{4}+4 c^{2}+16\right)^{1 / 2}}{12}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which corresponds to a maximum of $h(x)$. Therefore, $g(x)$ peaks at

$$
x=-\frac{c}{2}+\left(\frac{c^{2}-4+2\left(c^{4}+4 c^{2}+16\right)^{1 / 2}}{12}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

with the maximum value
$\bar{g}(c)=\frac{\left(c^{2}+\frac{2}{3}\left(\left(c^{4}+4 c^{2}+16\right)^{1 / 2}-c^{2}-2\right)\right)^{1 / 2}}{1+\left(c^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\left(c^{4}+4 c^{2}+16\right)^{1 / 2}-c^{2}-2\right)\right)+\frac{1}{36}\left(\left(c^{4}+4 c^{2}+16\right)^{1 / 2}-c^{2}-2\right)^{2}}$.
With this result $\bar{u}$ is nonlinearly stable when

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \alpha s_{2} \bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right) \leq 2 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a sufficient condition for the nonlinear stability of $\bar{u}$.

There is a feature of $\bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$ in (44) which leads to an interesting difference between the conditions for stability for $\alpha s_{2} \ll 1$ and for $\alpha s_{2} \gg 1$. In the former case, $\bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right) \sim 3 \sqrt{3} / 8$ so (44) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \alpha s_{2} \leq \frac{16}{3 \sqrt{3}} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the latter case, $\bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$ becomes

$$
\bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha s_{2}}
$$

and the nonlinear stability of $\bar{u}$ therefore prevails when

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \leq 2 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above analysis concerns only the stability of $\bar{u}$ with respect to the energy norm. A similar approach is now applied to derive a sufficient condition for the stability of $\bar{u}$ with respect to the enstrophy norm. Because of the equivalence of the two normed stability conditions a comparison between them can be made and an optimal condition deduced. Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|_{1}^{2}=(A \bar{u}, B(v, v))-\|v\|_{2}^{2}=|s|^{2} \bar{u}_{s}\left(e_{s}^{\prime}, B(v, v)\right)-\|v\|_{2}^{2} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The global stability of $\bar{u}$ is established if the right-hand side of (47) is negative. The trilinear form has already been estimated above. Meanwhile, the dissipation term can be majorized according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|v\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{k}|k|^{4}\left(v_{k}^{2}+{v^{\prime}}_{k}^{2}\right) \geq \sum_{k}|k|^{2}|k+s|^{2}\right)\left(\left|v_{k} v_{k+s}\right|+\left|v_{k}^{\prime} v_{k+s}^{\prime}\right|\right) . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (39) and (48) into the enstrophy equation (47) then yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v\|_{1}^{2} \leq & \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{U k_{1} \alpha^{3} s_{2}^{3}\left|\alpha s_{2}+2 \alpha k_{2}\right|}{2\left(k_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha k_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(k_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right.  \tag{49}\\
& \left.-\left(k_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha k_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left(k_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\left(\left|v_{k} v_{k+s}\right|+\left|v_{k}^{\prime} v_{k+s}^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Nonlinear stability of $\bar{u}$ follows provided the right-hand side of (49) is non-positive. This condition is satisfied when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U \alpha^{3} s_{2}^{3} k_{1}\left|\alpha s_{2}+2 \alpha k_{2}\right|}{2\left(k_{1}^{2}+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha k_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(k_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \leq 1, \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive integers $k_{1}$ and all integers $k_{2}$. Since the left-hand side of (50) is greatest when $k_{1}=1$ for each $k_{2}$, the stability condition reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U \alpha^{3} s_{2}^{3}\left|\alpha s_{2}+2 \alpha k_{2}\right|}{2\left(1+\left(\alpha s_{2}+\alpha k_{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(1+\alpha^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \leq 1 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the previous calculation for the energy norm, the present problem reduces to determining the maximum of the function

$$
g_{1}(x)=\frac{|c+2 x|}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(1+(c+x)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

or equivalently the maximum of

$$
h_{1}(x)=\frac{2 x}{\left(1+(x-c / 2)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(1+(x+c / 2)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}, x>0
$$

where $c$ is a positive parameter and $x$ is a continuous real variable. It turns out that $g_{1}(x)$ peaks at

$$
x=-\frac{c}{2}+\left(\frac{2 c^{2}-8+\left(9 c^{4}-12 c^{2}+144\right)^{1 / 2}}{20}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

with the maximum value
$\bar{g}_{1}(c)=\frac{20\left(c^{2}-4+\frac{1}{2}\left(9 c^{4}-12 c^{2}+144\right)^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\left(2+7 c^{2}+\left(9 c^{4}-12 c^{2}+144\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{40}\left(3 c^{2}+8-\left(9 c^{4}-12 c^{2}+144\right)^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}$.
With this result $\bar{u}$ is nonlinearly stable when

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \alpha^{3} s_{2}^{3} \bar{g}_{1}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right) \leq 2 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\alpha s_{2} \ll 1, \bar{g}_{1}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right) \sim 25 \sqrt{5} / 108$ so (52) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \alpha^{3} s_{2}^{3} \leq \frac{25 \sqrt{5}}{54} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha s_{2} \gg 1, \bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$ becomes

$$
\bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha^{2} s_{2}^{2}}
$$

and the nonlinear stability of $\bar{u}$ therefore prevails when

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \alpha s_{2} \leq 2 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

A comparison between (45) and (53) indicates that the latter is optimal, while a comparison between (46) and its counterpart (54) favours the former.

It is not hard to see that $\bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$ and $\bar{g}_{1}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$ are of the same order when $\alpha s_{2} \sim 1$. In that case either (44) or (52) may be taken as a sufficient condition for the stability of $\bar{u}$. The linearly marginal case $\left(\alpha s_{2}=1\right)$ is particularly interesting, so we will derive an explicit criterion for its nonlinear stability. Evaluating $\bar{g}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$ and $\bar{g}_{1}\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$ at $\alpha s_{2}=1$ we obtain $\bar{g}(1)>\bar{g}_{1}(1)=0.3589 \cdots$. Therefore, (52) is optimal and we have the following stability criterion for this case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \leq 5.572 \cdots \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

In summary, we have the following optimal conditions for nonlinear stability of $\bar{u}$

ThEOREM 3.1. Consider (1) for $\eta=1$ and $f=f_{0} e_{s}^{\prime}$, where $s=\left(0, \alpha s_{2}\right)^{T}$. The corresponding primary stationary solution $\bar{u}$ is asymptotically (globally) stable when

$$
\begin{gather*}
U \leq 2 \text { for } \alpha s_{2} \gg 1  \tag{56}\\
U \leq 5.572 \cdots \text { for } \alpha s_{2}=1 \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \alpha^{3} s_{2}^{3} \leq \frac{25 \sqrt{5}}{54} \text { for } \alpha s_{2} \ll 1 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is the amplitude of $\bar{u}$.
The remarks below provide some physical interpretations of the conditions for nonlinear stability.

Remark 4. Since the basic flow length scale is $2 \pi /\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$ and the viscosity is unity, the Reynolds number is given by $R e=2 \pi U /\left(\alpha s_{2}\right)$. In the regime $\alpha s_{2} \ll 1$, which is stable to linear dynamics, (58) gives nonlinear stability for

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e \leq \frac{25 \sqrt{5} \pi}{27 \alpha^{4} s_{2}^{4}}\left(\gg \frac{25 \sqrt{5} \pi}{27}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence flows with Re>>1 are stable for sufficiently small $\alpha s_{2}$.
REMARK 5. In the regime $\alpha s_{2} \gg 1$ where linear stability is not established, from (56) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e \leq \frac{4 \pi}{\alpha s_{2}}(\ll 4 \pi) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

so Re must be very small. This is definitely the viscous limit.
Appendix. The bilinear form $B(v, v)$. We shall give an explicit form of $B(v, v)$ which is employed in this paper. The lemma below is taken from $[6,7]$ (note that $\alpha=1$ in these articles).

Lemma 3.2. For every $k$ and $l$ in the definition of $e_{k}$ and $e_{k}^{\prime}(k \neq l)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
B\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)+B\left(e_{l}, e_{k}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l|}\left(\frac{e_{k+l}^{\prime}}{|k+l|}+\frac{e_{k-l}^{\prime}}{|k-l|}\right), \\
B\left(e_{k}^{\prime}, e_{l}^{\prime}\right)+B\left(e_{l}^{\prime}, e_{k}^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l|}\left(\frac{e_{k+l}^{\prime}}{|k+l|}-\frac{e_{k-l}^{\prime}}{|k-l|}\right), \\
B\left(e_{k}, e_{l}^{\prime}\right)+B\left(e_{l}^{\prime}, e_{k}\right)=-\frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l|}\left(\frac{e_{k+l}}{|k+l|}-\frac{e_{k-l}}{|k-l|}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. It suffices to give a proof for one of the equations. The others are proven in exactly the same manner. It is found by direct calculation that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(e_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) e_{l}=-\frac{\alpha\left(k^{\prime} \cdot l\right) l^{\prime}}{2 \pi^{2}|k||l|} \cos k \cdot x \sin l \cdot x \\
& \left(e_{l} \cdot \nabla\right) e_{k}=-\frac{\alpha\left(l^{\prime} \cdot k\right) k^{\prime}}{2 \pi^{2}|k||l|} \cos l \cdot x \sin k \cdot x \tag{A-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding the equations of (A-1) and noting that $k^{\prime} \cdot l=-l^{\prime} \cdot k$ one finds

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(e_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) e_{l}+\left(e_{l} \cdot \nabla\right) e_{k}= & -\frac{\alpha\left(k^{\prime} \cdot l\right)}{2 \pi^{2}|k||l|} \times \\
& \left(l^{\prime} \cos k \cdot x \sin l \cdot x-k^{\prime} \cos l \cdot x \sin k \cdot x\right)  \tag{A-2}\\
= & -\frac{\alpha\left(k^{\prime} \cdot l\right)}{4 \pi^{2}|k||l|} \times \\
& \left(\left(l^{\prime}-k^{\prime}\right) \sin (k+l) \cdot x-\left(l^{\prime}+k^{\prime}\right) \sin (k-l) \cdot x\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The right hand side of (A-2) is identically zero for $l=k$. When $l \neq k$ it can be projected onto $H$ to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
B\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)+B\left(e_{l}, e_{k}\right)= & -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l|} \times \\
& \left(\frac{\left(l^{\prime}-k^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(k^{\prime}+l^{\prime}\right)}{|k+l|} e_{k+l}^{\prime}-\frac{\left(l^{\prime}+k^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(k^{\prime}-l^{\prime}\right)}{|k-l|} e_{k-l}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l|}\left(\frac{e_{k+l}^{\prime}}{|k+l|}+\frac{e_{k-l}^{\prime}}{|k-l|}\right), \tag{A-3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the projection $P$ of the vector sum involved onto $H$ has been performed term by term via

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(m \sin l \cdot x)=\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi m \cdot l^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\alpha}|l|} e_{l}^{\prime} \tag{A-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equation of the lemma is thus proven.
It is now easy to see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
B(v, v)=\sum_{k, l \in K} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l|}\left(\frac{e_{k+l}^{\prime}}{|k+l|}+\frac{e_{k-l}^{\prime}}{|k-l|}\right) v_{k} v_{l} \\
- \\
-\sum_{k, l \in K} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l|}\left(\frac{e_{k+l}^{\prime}}{|k+l|}-\frac{e_{k-l}^{\prime}}{|k-l|}\right) v_{k}^{\prime} v_{l}^{\prime}  \tag{A-5}\\
- \\
\sum_{k, l \in K} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} k^{\prime} \cdot l\left(|k|^{2}-|l|^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi|k||l|}\left(\frac{e_{k+l}}{|k+l|}-\frac{e_{k-l}}{|k-l|}\right) v_{k} v_{l}^{\prime} . \\
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