## Quiz # 4.

In solutions below some definitions are omitted.

**Problem 2.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in (-\infty, 2], \\ 2, & x \in (2, 3], \\ 4, & x \in (3, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\lambda_f$  be the corresponding Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure. Find  $\lambda_f(\{0\}), \lambda_f((-1,1)), \lambda_f((2,4])$ .

**Solution.** Since f(x) = x on  $(-\infty, 2]$ ,  $\lambda_f$  coincides with the Lebesgue measure on  $(-\infty, 2]$ . Indeed, if  $A \subset (-\infty, 2]$  is covered by intervals  $I_k = (a_k, b_k]$  then A is also covered by intervals  $J_k = I_k \cap (-\infty, 2]$  and  $\alpha_f(J_k) \leq \alpha(I_k) = f(b_k) - f(a_k)$  for every k. It shows that one can consider coverings by  $I_k$ 's with  $b_k \leq 2$  for every k. But on such  $I_k$ 's,  $\alpha(I_k)$  coincide with volume/length  $v(I_k) = b_k - a_k$ . Thus, for  $A \subset (-\infty, 2]$  the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure coincides with the Lebesgue measure. We also know that on intervals the Lebesgue measure is equal to the length, thus  $\lambda_f(\{0\}) = 0$ ,  $\lambda_f((-1, 1)) = 2$ .

We show now that  $\lambda_f((2,4]) = 0$ . Let  $A_1 = (2,3]$  and  $A_n = (3+1/n,4]$  for  $n \ge 2$ . Then

$$(2,4] \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

and

$$\alpha_f(A_1) = f(3) - f(2) = 0, \quad \alpha_f(A_n) = f(4) - f(3 + 1/n) = 0, \text{ for every } n \ge 2.$$

Thus

$$0 \le \lambda_f((2,4]) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_f(A_n) = 0,$$

which implies the desired result.

**Answer.**  $\lambda_f(\{0\}) = 0, \ \lambda_f((-1,1)) = 2, \ \lambda_f((2,4]) = 0.$ 

**Remark.** Another way to see that  $\lambda_f(\{0\}) = 0$  and  $\lambda_f((-1,1)) = 2$  is **a.** Consider A = (-1/n, 0]. Clearly,  $\{0\} \subset A_n$  and, thus

$$0 \le \lambda_f(\{0\}) \le \lambda_f(A) \le \alpha_f(A) = f(0) - f(-1/n) = 1/n.$$

Sending n to infinity we obtain the result.

**b.** Assume I = (-1, 1) is covered by intervals  $I_k = (a_k, b_k]$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $b_k \leq 2$  for every k (otherwise consider intervals  $J_k = I_k \cap (-\infty, 2]$ ). Note also that I is covered by  $A_k = [a_k, b_k]$ . By a lemma in the class it implies

$$2 = v(I) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_f(A_n),$$

where  $v(\cdot)$  denotes the volume (length). It shows that  $2 \leq \lambda_f((-1,1))$ . On the other hand,

$$\lambda_f((-1,1)) \le \lambda_f((-1,1]) \le \alpha_f((-1,1]) = 2$$