## Lecture 34 Solving First Order Homogeneous Constant Coefficient System (Cont.)

12/02/2011

## Review.

- Consider $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}=A \boldsymbol{x}$.
- If we can find $n$ linearly independent eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(n)}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots$, $\lambda_{n}$ (note that some of the $\lambda_{i}$ 's may repeat), then the general solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(1)}+\cdots+C_{n} e^{\lambda_{n} t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(n)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## What if we don't have enough eigenvectors.

- How many are missing: Algebraic and geometric multiplicities.
- Algebraic multiplicity: How many times an eigenvalue is repeated as a root of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(A-\lambda I)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, let $A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{det}(A-\lambda I)=(1-\lambda)^{3}(2-\lambda)$ which means there are two eigenvalues: 1 and 2 . The eigenvalue 1 has algebraic multiplicity 3 while the eigenvalue 2 has algebraic multiplicity 1.

- Geometric multiplicity: Given an eigenvalue, how many linearly independent eigenvectors (corrsponding to that particular eigenvalue) are there.

For the above example, the geometric multiplicity for the eigenvalue 2 is clearly 1 , while the geometric multiplicity for the eigenvalue 1 is only 1 , not 3 . To see this, note that

$$
(A-1 \cdot I) \boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{0} \Longleftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 2 & 0 & 0  \tag{3}\\
0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which gives $x_{2}=0, x_{3}=0, x_{4}=0$. So all the eigenvectors corresponding to 1 are

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}  \tag{4}\\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right)=c\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

- We have the following:

Theorem. Let $A$ be any $n \times n$ matrix and $\lambda$ be one of its eigenvalues. Then

- The geometric multiplicity of $\lambda \leqslant$ The algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda$;
- The geometric multiplicity of $\lambda$ is at least 1.

Corollary. Following the theorem, we can conclude

- The sum of geometric multiplicities of all eigenvalues of $A$ is at most n;
- When there are $n$ distinct eigenvalues, the sum of all geometric multiplicities is exactly $n$.
- What the above mean to us:
- When there are $n$ distinct eigenvalues, we can always find $n$ linearly independent eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(n)}$, and the general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(1)}+\cdots+C_{n} e^{\lambda_{n} t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(n)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- When some eigenvalues are repeated, we may or may not be able to find $n$ linearly independent eigenvectors.
- Suppose we only have $k<n$ linearly independent eigenvectors, the general solution becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(1)}+\cdots+C_{k} e^{\lambda_{k} t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{(k)}+C_{k+1} \boldsymbol{x}^{(k+1)}(t)+\cdots+C_{n} \boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}(t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Question: How to find $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k+1)}(t), \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}(t)$ ?
- Formulas for the simplest case.
- Let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue with algebraic multiplicity 2 while geometric multiplicity 1 . Let $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ be one eigenvector. Thus $e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ is a solution to the system. Our task is to find a second solution.
- Try $e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\xi}+t e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Here $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ are two vectors that we need to find. Substitute into the equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\xi}+e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\eta}+\lambda t e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\eta}=e^{\lambda t} A \boldsymbol{\xi}+t e^{\lambda t} A \boldsymbol{\eta} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting similar terms together, and cancel the factor $e^{\lambda t}$, we reach

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(A-\lambda I) \boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\eta}]+t[A \boldsymbol{\eta}-\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}]=\mathbf{0} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\xi}+t e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ solves the equation if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
(A-\lambda I) \boldsymbol{\xi} & =\boldsymbol{\eta}  \tag{9}\\
(A-\lambda I) \boldsymbol{\eta} & =\mathbf{0} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

- Thus we see that we can take $\boldsymbol{\eta}=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ and then solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-\lambda I) \boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

to get $\boldsymbol{\xi}$.

- Note that such $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is clearly not unique, since if $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is a solution, then the sum $\boldsymbol{\xi}+c \boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ for any constant $c$ is also a solution.
- We only need one such $\boldsymbol{\xi}$.
- This is guaranteed to work:

Theorem. For $\lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ as in the above, such $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ always exists, and is unique (upto $+c \boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ )
Proof. Unfortunately I couldn't figure out a simple proof even for this simplest case.

- Such $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is called "generalized eigenvectors".

Example. Solve

$$
\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{12}\\
2 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \boldsymbol{x}
$$

Solution. First find eigenvalues:

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\lambda & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 1-\lambda & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 1-\lambda
\end{array}\right) & =(1-\lambda)^{3}-2-(1-\lambda)-2(1-\lambda) \\
& =-\lambda^{3}+3 \lambda^{2}-4 \\
& =-(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \lambda+4\right) \\
& =-(\lambda+1)(\lambda-2)^{2} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

We have two eigenvalues, -1 and 2 .

Now find eigenvectors.

- Eigenvectors for -1 : Solve

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 1  \tag{14}\\
2 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) & \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

So the eigenvectors are characterized by

$$
\begin{align*}
2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=0  \tag{16}\\
x_{2}-2 x_{3}=0
\end{aligned} \Longleftrightarrow \begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=-\frac{3}{2} x_{3} \\
& x_{2}=2 x_{3}
\end{align*} \Longleftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right)=x_{3}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{3}{2} \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The first solution in our set of fundamental solutions is thus

$$
e^{-t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{3}{2}  \tag{17}\\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Eigenvectors for 2: Solve

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 1 & 1  \tag{18}\\
2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right) & \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the eigenvectors are given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}=0  \tag{20}\\
x_{2}+x_{3}=0
\end{array} \Longleftrightarrow \begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=0 \\
& x_{2}=-x_{3}
\end{aligned} \Longleftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right)=x_{3}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

As 2 has algebraic multiplicity 2, we need to find its generalized eigenvectors. We thus obtained our second solution in the set of fundamental solutions:

$$
e^{2 t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{21}\\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Generalized eigenvectors for 2: Solve

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 1 & 1  \tag{22}\\
2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right) & \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

So the generalized eigenvectors are characterized by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{1}-y_{2}-y_{3}=0 \\
y_{2}+y_{3}=1 \tag{25}
\end{array}
$$

Keeping in mind that all we need is one such vectors, we take

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}  \tag{26}\\
y_{2} \\
y_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The third solution in the set of fundamental solutions is thus

$$
e^{2 t}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{27}\\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)+t e^{2 t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The general solution is now given by

$$
C_{1} e^{-t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{3}{2}  \tag{28}\\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right)+C_{2} e^{2 t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)+C_{3}\left[e^{2 t}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)+t e^{2 t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)\right]
$$

- What happens in the general case
- In general, let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue with algebraic multiplicity $m$ and geometric multiplicity $k$. Then we may ${ }^{1}$ need to consider solutions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{0}+t e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}+\cdots+t^{m-k} e^{\lambda t} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m-k} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{0}$ is an eigenvector, while $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}$ 's are decided successively through

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-\lambda I) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i+1}=\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tricky issue here is that the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{0}$ cannot be decided a priori.

- Some understanding of the above subtleties as well as true understanding of the whole solution procedure of 1 st order constant coefficient systems can be obtained through the next lecture.

[^0]
[^0]:    1. Whether we really need to go up to $t^{m-k}$ cannot be determined by knowledge of only $m$ and $k$, as it depends on the detailed structure of the matrix, or more specifically, depends on what the Jordan canonical form of the matrix looks like. See next lecture for more details.
