## Lecture 19 Power Series Method (Cont.)

$10 / 24 / 2011$

## An Example.

Find first four nonzero terms of $y_{1}, y_{2}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x} y^{\prime \prime}+x y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solution. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute into equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}+x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is clear that we have to expand $e^{x}$ too.
which makes the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}+x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, as there is in general no good way of writing simple formulas for coefficients of the result of a product of power series, we cannot expect to write down a simple recurrence relation. Realizing that all we need is four nonzero terms, we try to work things out in a more ad hoc way - writing down a few terms for each power series involved.

- Finding $y_{1}$. Set $a_{0}=1, a_{1}=0$. To get four nonzero terms, we have to compute at least up to $a_{4}$. The lowest order term in which $a_{4}$ appears is $1 \cdot 12 a_{4} x^{2}$, so we have to balance the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}+x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

to at least $x^{2}$ term. To do this recall: To get correct coefficients of $x^{k}$ in $\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n}\right)$, we have to expand each series up to $x^{k}$. So we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\cdots\right)\left(2 a_{2}+6 a_{3} x+12 a_{4} x^{2}+\cdots\right)+x+\cdots=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conclude

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 a_{2}=0, \\
2 a_{2}+6 a_{3}+1=0, \\
a_{2}+6 a_{3}+12 a_{4}=0 . \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=0, \quad a_{3}=-\frac{1}{6}, \quad a_{4}=\frac{1}{12} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We still need one more nonzero $a_{n}$. We compute $a_{5}$ by expanding the series one more term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{6}+\cdots\right)\left(2 a_{2}+6 a_{3} x+12 a_{4} x^{2}+20 a_{5} x^{3}+\cdots\right)+x+a_{2} x^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $x^{3}$ balance is (note that the $1, x, x^{2}$ balances have already been computed, $x^{3}$ is the only thing new):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{2}}{3}+3 a_{3}+12 a_{4}+20 a_{5}+a_{2}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives $a_{5}=-\frac{1}{40}$. As $a_{5} \neq 0$ we have enough nonzero terms now:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(x)=1-\frac{1}{6} x^{3}+\frac{1}{12} x^{4}-\frac{1}{40} x^{5}+\cdots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Finding $y_{2}$. Setting $a_{0}=0, a_{1}=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{6}+\cdots\right)\left(2 a_{2}+6 a_{3} x+12 a_{4} x^{2}+20 a_{5} x^{3}+\cdots\right)+x^{2}+a_{2} x^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carrying out the multiplication, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a_{2}+\left(2 a_{2}+6 a_{3}\right) x+\left(a_{2}+6 a_{3}+12 a_{4}+1\right) x^{2}+\left(\frac{a_{2}}{3}+3 a_{3}+12 a_{4}+20 a_{5}+a_{2}\right) x^{3}+\cdots=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The recurrence relations are

$$
\begin{align*}
2 a_{2} & =0  \tag{17}\\
2 a_{2}+6 a_{3} & =0  \tag{18}\\
a_{2}+6 a_{3}+12 a_{4}+1 & =0  \tag{19}\\
\frac{a_{2}}{3}+3 a_{3}+12 a_{4}+20 a_{5}+a_{2} & =0 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

which give

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=0 ; \quad a_{3}=0 ; \quad a_{4}=-\frac{1}{12} ; \quad a_{5}=\frac{1}{20} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}=x-\frac{1}{12} x^{4}+\frac{1}{20} x^{5}+\cdots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We only have 3 nonzero terms!

- Finding the 4 th term.

To find the 4th term, we need to expand everything to higher power. Let's try expanding to $x^{4}$ :
$\left(1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{6}+\frac{x^{4}}{24} \cdots\right)\left(2 a_{2}+6 a_{3} x+12 a_{4} x^{2}+20 a_{5} x^{3}+30 a_{6} x^{4} \cdots\right)+x^{2}+a_{2} x^{3}+a_{3} x^{4} \cdots=$
0.

This gives a new recurrence relation via setting coefficients of $x^{4}$ to be 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{2}}{12}+a_{3}+6 a_{4}+20 a_{5}+30 a_{6}+a_{3}=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{6}=-\frac{1}{60} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The updated $y_{2}$ is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(x)=x-\frac{1}{12} x^{4}+\frac{1}{20} x^{5}-\frac{1}{60} x^{6}+\cdots \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we have 4 nonzero terms.
Remark 1. Note that $y_{1}$ solves the equation with initial values $y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $y_{2}$ solves the equation with $y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=1$.

## Regular points and singular points.

- Turns out that we can find out a lower bound of the radius of convergence for power series solutions without actually solving the equation. To do this we have to first write the equation into its standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. The radius of convergence $\rho$ for the power series solution satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \geqslant \min \left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ is determined through

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} \quad \text { for }\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho_{1} \\
q(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} \quad \text { for }\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho_{2} \tag{30}
\end{array}
$$

Remark 3. Note that $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ may not be the radii of convergence for the Taylor expansion of $p$ and $q$. For example, the Taylor expansion of $e^{-\frac{1}{x^{2}}}$ at $x_{0}=0$ has radius of convergence $\infty$, but the function equals its Taylor expansion only at $x_{0}$ and nowhere else.

- Often the following theorem is even easier to use:

Theorem 4. The radius of convergence for the power series solution satisfies
$\rho \geqslant$ distance of $x_{0}$ to the nearest complex singular point of the equation.
To be able to apply this we need the following notions:

- A point is singular for the equation (in standard form!)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

if either $p$ or $q$ (or both) is not analytic at at this point; Otherwise it's called "regular".

- A function $f(x)$ is analytic at a point $x_{0}$ if there is a sequence $a_{n}$ and a number $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho$.
Remark 5. The function $e^{-\frac{1}{x^{2}}}$ is a typical example illustrating the following subtle fact: $f$ is analytic at $x_{0}$ is not the same as "The Taylor expansion of $f$ at $x_{0}$ has positive radius of convergence".

- How to tell?

From the above remark we see that it's not possible to tell whether $f(x)$ is analytic at a certain point $x_{0}$ from looking at its Taylor expansion. Then how to? In theory we have to do the following:

1. Compute its Taylor expansion at $x_{0}$;
2. Show

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho$ for some positive $\rho$.
The second step, of course is totally ad hoc and can be very difficult.
Fortunately that are several "rules of thumb" which are enough for this class.

1. $e^{x}, \sin x, \cos x$ and polynomials are analytic for all $x ; \ln (1+x)$ is analytic for $|x|<1$.
2. If $f(x)$ is analytic at $x_{0}$ and $g(x)$ is analytic at $f\left(x_{0}\right)$, then the composite function $g(f(x))$ is analytic at $x_{0}$. For example, $e^{x^{2}}$ is analytic everywhere.
3. If $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are both analytic at $x_{0}$, then $f \pm g$ and $f g$ are analytic at $x_{0}$;
4. If $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are analytic at $x_{0}$ and $g\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then $\frac{f}{g}$ is analytic at $x_{0}$.
