LECTURE 07 EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS

Sep. 21, 2011

How to check your answer.

- If your solution is explicit: y = Y(x, C)¹.
 Write your equation in the form y' = f(x, y) or M(x, y) + N(x, y) y' = 0. Then substitute y = Y(x, C) into the equation. If the solution is correct, the equation should be reduced to identity.
- If your solution is implicit: u(x, y) = C. Write your equation in the form M(x, y) dx + N(x, y) dy = 0. Then compute

$$du(x,y) = \frac{\partial u(x,y)}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial u(x,y)}{\partial y} dy$$
(1)

If du and M dx + N dy differ only by a multiplicative factor, that is if there is $\mu(x, y)$ such that

 $du = \mu(x, y) \left(M(x, y) \, dx + N(x, y) \, dy \right) \tag{2}$

then your answer is correct. Otherwise it is not correct.

Example 1. Check whether $x^2 + y^2 = C$ solves $x(x^2 + y^2) dx + y(x^2 + y^2) dy = 0$.

We compute

$$d(x^{2} + y^{2}) = 2 x dx + 2 y dy = \frac{2}{x^{2} + y^{2}} [x (x^{2} + y^{2}) dx + y (x^{2} + y^{2}) dy].$$
(3)

Therefore the solution is correct.

Ć

Existence and Uniqueness.

• Given a DE,

• Q1:

Is the solution unique?

• Q2:

Does the solution exist?

• The answer to the above is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. (Existence and Uniqueness) If f(x, y) and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)$ are bounded for all (x, y) near (x_0, y_0) , then the equation

$$y' = f(x, y), \qquad y(x_0) = y_0$$
 (4)

has a unique solution at least for x close to x_0 .

- Examples.
 - y' = y, y(0) = 0. We have $x_0 = 0, y_0 = 0, f(x, y) = y$. Thus $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 1$. We see that it is bounded for any x, y. So in particular, the solution to our initial value problem exists and is unique.
 - $y' = y^{1/2}, y(0) = 0$. In this case $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2} y^{-1/2}$ which is not bounded for (x, y) near (0, 0). So we cannot expect both existence and uniqueness for this problem. As y = 0 is clearly a solution (that is solutions clearly exist), we expect the solution to be not unique, which is indeed the case.

^{1.} The dependence on the arbitrary constant C may or may not be simply y = Y(x) + C. For example, the general solution to a linear equation looks like $y = Y(x) + \frac{C}{\mu(x)}$.

- $y' = y^{1/2}, y(0) = 1$. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is still $\frac{1}{2} y^{-1/2}$ but it is bounded close to $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 1)$. As a consequence, we expect the solution to this initial value problem to exist and be unique, at least as long as y stays away from 0 (the only value of y that makes $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ infinity). In fact, taking into account the fact that $y^{1/2} \ge 0$ and therefore $y' \ge 0$ which means y keeps increasing, we see that $y \ge 1$ and the existence and uniqueness can be extended to all x.
- Proof of uniqueness.

The proof consists of the following key steps.

• Write the equation into the following equivalent integral equation:

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(\tau, y(\tau)) \,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$
 (5)

We check that

$$y(x_0) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{x_0} f(\tau, y(\tau)) \,\mathrm{d}\tau = y_0 + 0 = y_0; \tag{6}$$

$$y'(x) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(y_0) + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \int_{x_0}^{x_0} f(\tau, y(\tau)) \,\mathrm{d}\tau = 0 + f(x, y(x)) = f(x, y(x)).$$
(7)

 \circ $\,$ We need to prove the following: If

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(\tau, y(\tau)) \,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$
(8)

and

$$z(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(\tau, z(\tau)) \,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$
(9)

the necessarily y = z for all x. To do this we take the difference:

$$y(x) - z(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \left[f(\tau, y(\tau)) - f(\tau, z(\tau)) \right] d\tau.$$
(10)

• The key idea is to use the following argument: if a number a satisfies

$$|a| \leqslant r |a| \tag{11}$$

for a factor r < 1, then a = 0.

• In our case clearly a should be y(x) - z(x). Thus we need to create y - z in the right hand side. This is done by using the Mean Value Theorem:

$$f(a) - f(b) = f'(\xi) (a - b)$$
(12)

for some ξ between a, b.

This leads to

$$f(\tau, y(\tau)) - f(\tau, z(\tau)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\xi) \left(y(\tau) - z(\tau)\right).$$
(13)

Here ξ is some number between $y(\tau)$ and $z(\tau)$.

• Our equation now becomes

$$y(x) - z(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\xi) \left(y(\tau) - z(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d}\tau.$$
(14)

 \circ $\,$ Back to our main idea

$$|a| \leqslant r |a|, \qquad r < 1 \tag{15}$$

means a = 0. We observe that this is no longer true if we remove the absolute value:

$$a \leqslant r a$$
 together with $r < 1$ do not imply $a = 0.$ (16)

So we have to put absolute value on

$$|y(x) - z(x)| = \left| \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\xi) (y(\tau) - z(\tau)) d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{x_0}^x \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\xi) (y(\tau) - z(\tau)) \right| d\tau$$

$$= \int_{x_0}^x \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\xi) \right| |y(\tau) - z(\tau)| d\tau$$
(17)

• We know that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is bounded. Let M be a constant such that $\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right| \leq M$. The above now becomes $|y(x) - z(x)| \leq M \int_{x_0}^x |y(\tau) - z(\tau)| \, d\tau.$ (18)

Now this is
$$almost^2$$

$$|y(x) - z(x)| \leq M (x - x_0) |y(x) - z(x)|.$$
(19)

We see that it becomes the

$$a | \leqslant r |a|, \qquad r < 1 \tag{20}$$

situation for all x so close to x_0 that $|x - x_0| M < 1$, or equivalently $|x - x_0| < M^{-1}$.

• We have proved: If $y(x_0) = z(x_0)$ then y(x) = z(x) for all $|x - x_0| < M^{-1}$. Now we can take any point x in this interval as the new x_0 and repeat the above argument, and obtain y(x) = z(x) for all $|x - x_0| < 2M^{-1}$. Doing this again gives y = z for $|x - x_0| < 3M^{-1}$. As this can be done again and again, we see that y = z for all x^3 .

3. As long as $\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right| \leq M$ still holds.

0

^{2.} See challenge problems of this week.