The Multispectral Method: Progress and Prospects

Malcolm Roberts, <u>John C. Bowman</u>, and Bruno Eckhardt University of Alberta

2009-09-09

1

Shell Models of Turbulence: Modes

• Shell models are systems of ODEs which mimic the Fourier-transformed Navier–Stokes equation.

Shell Models of Turbulence: Modes

- Shell models are systems of ODEs which mimic the Fourier-transformed Navier–Stokes equation.
- Collections of modes $\{u_k : k \in [\lambda^n, \lambda^{n+1})\}$ are represented by a single quantity u_n :



Shell Models of Turbulence: InteractionThe convolution is replaced with a quadratic function of u:

$$\frac{du_n}{dt} = k_n \sum_{p,q} c_{p,q} u_p u_q - \nu k_n^2 u_n.$$

Shell Models of Turbulence: Interaction

• The convolution is replaced with a quadratic function of u:

$$\frac{du_n}{dt} = k_n \sum_{p,q} c_{p,q} u_p u_q - \nu k_n^2 u_n.$$

• The DN model [Desnyansky & Novikov 1974] has nearestneighbour interactions and conserves energy $E \doteq \frac{1}{2} \sum |u_n|^2$:

$$\frac{du_n}{dt} = ik_n \left(a_n u_{n-1}^2 - \lambda a_{n+1} u_n u_{n+1} + b_n u_{n-1} u_n - \lambda b_{n+1} u_{n+1}^2 \right)^* - \nu k_n^2 u_n.$$

Shell Models of Turbulence: Interaction

• The convolution is replaced with a quadratic function of u:

$$\frac{du_n}{dt} = k_n \sum_{p,q} c_{p,q} u_p u_q - \nu k_n^2 u_n.$$

• The DN model [Desnyansky & Novikov 1974] has nearestneighbour interactions and conserves energy $E \doteq \frac{1}{2} \sum |u_n|^2$:

$$\frac{du_n}{dt} = ik_n \left(a_n u_{n-1}^2 - \lambda a_{n+1} u_n u_{n+1} + b_n u_{n-1} u_n - \lambda b_{n+1} u_{n+1}^2 \right)^* - \nu k_n^2 u_n.$$

• The GOY [Gledzer 1973, Yamada & Ohkitani 1987] model adds next-nearest-neighbour interactions and conserves the helicity $H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} k_{n} |u_{n}|^{2}$:

$$\frac{du_n}{dt} = ik_n \left(\alpha u_{n+1}u_{n+2} + \frac{\beta}{\lambda}u_{n-1}u_{n+1} + \frac{\gamma}{\lambda^2}u_{n-1}u_{n-2} \right)^* - \nu k_n^2 u_n.$$

Shell Models: Kolmogorov Scaling • Simulations reproduce a $k^{-5/3}$ Kolmogorov inertial range:



4

Shell Models: Kolmogorov Scaling

• Simulations reproduce a $k^{-5/3}$ Kolmogorov inertial range:



• Shell models are simpler and easier to simulate than the Navier– Stokes equations [Bowman *et al.* 2006].

4

Shell Models: Intermittency

• They also reproduce statistical properties of Navier–Stokes turbulence: the moments $\langle |u_n|^p \rangle \sim k_n^{-\zeta_p}$



scale very much like experimental structure exponents for 3D turbulence (dashed lines) [Herweijer & van de Water 1995].

• Navier–Stokes simulations at high Reynolds number require more modes than current computers can handle.

- Navier–Stokes simulations at high Reynolds number require more modes than current computers can handle.
- We use shell models as testbeds for developing numerical techniques.

- Navier–Stokes simulations at high Reynolds number require more modes than current computers can handle.
- We use shell models as testbeds for developing numerical techniques.
- Instead of evolving u_n directly, we study a generalization of spectral reduction [Bowman *et al.* 1999]:

$$u_{n,1} \doteq \frac{u_{2n} + \sigma_n^* u_{2n+1}}{1 + |\sigma_n|^2}, \quad \sigma_n \doteq \frac{u_{2n+1}}{u_{2n}},$$

- Navier–Stokes simulations at high Reynolds number require more modes than current computers can handle.
- We use shell models as testbeds for developing numerical techniques.
- Instead of evolving u_n directly, we study a generalization of spectral reduction [Bowman *et al.* 1999]:

$$u_{n,1} \doteq \frac{u_{2n} + \sigma_n^* u_{2n+1}}{1 + |\sigma_n|^2}, \quad \sigma_n \doteq \frac{u_{2n+1}}{u_{2n}}.$$

• Then
$$u_{2n} = u_{n,1}$$
 and $u_{2n+1} = \sigma_n u_{n,1}$.

- Navier–Stokes simulations at high Reynolds number require more modes than current computers can handle.
- We use shell models as testbeds for developing numerical techniques.
- Instead of evolving u_n directly, we study a generalization of spectral reduction [Bowman *et al.* 1999]:

$$u_{n,1} \doteq \frac{u_{2n} + \sigma_n^* u_{2n+1}}{1 + |\sigma_n|^2}, \quad \sigma_n \doteq \frac{u_{2n+1}}{u_{2n}}.$$

• Then
$$u_{2n} = u_{n,1}$$
 and $u_{2n+1} = \sigma_n u_{n,1}$.

• This reduces the number of active modes by half:



Fixed Point

• Spectral reduction reduces the GOY model to the DN model, which is a fixed point.



Fixed Point

• Spectral reduction reduces the GOY model to the DN model, which is a fixed point.



• Further reduction is straightforward:

$$u_{n,\ell+1} \doteq \frac{u_{2n,\ell} + \sigma_{n,\ell}^* u_{2n+1,\ell}}{1 + |\sigma_{n,\ell}|^2}, \quad \sigma_{n,\ell} \doteq \frac{u_{2n+1,\ell}}{u_{2n,\ell}}.$$

$$GOY \longrightarrow DN \longrightarrow DN \longrightarrow DN \longrightarrow DN$$

• Spectral reduction provides us with evolution equations for the velocity amplitudes $u_{n,1}$.

- Spectral reduction provides us with evolution equations for the velocity amplitudes $u_{n,1}$.
- In order to close the equations, we must approximate σ_n .

$$\sigma_n = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_{n,1} = \frac{u_{2n} + u_{2n+1}}{2},$$

i.e. we set the decimated mode to be the average of the undecimated modes.

- Spectral reduction provides us with evolution equations for the velocity amplitudes $u_{n,1}$.
- In order to close the equations, we must approximate σ_n .

$$\sigma_n = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_{n,1} = \frac{u_{2n} + u_{2n+1}}{2},$$

i.e. we set the decimated mode to be the average of the undecimated modes.

• The energy
$$E_1 \doteq \frac{1}{2} \sum_n |u_{n,1}|^2$$
 is conserved.

- Spectral reduction provides us with evolution equations for the velocity amplitudes $u_{n,1}$.
- In order to close the equations, we must approximate σ_n .

$$\sigma_n = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_{n,1} = \frac{u_{2n} + u_{2n+1}}{2},$$

i.e. we set the decimated mode to be the average of the undecimated modes.

• The energy
$$E_1 \doteq \frac{1}{2} \sum_n |u_{n,1}|^2$$
 is conserved.

• Binning modifies the viscous term and the interaction coefficients:

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \to (a, b) \doteq \left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda^2}, -\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right) \to \frac{(a, b)}{2}$$







• Approximating the (unresolved) quantity u_{2n+1} by $\sqrt{u_{n+1,1}u_{n,1}}$ yields

$$\sigma_n \approx \sqrt{\frac{u_{n+1,1}}{u_{n,1}}}.$$

• Approximating the (unresolved) quantity u_{2n+1} by $\sqrt{u_{n+1,1}u_{n,1}}$ yields

$$\sigma_n \approx \sqrt{\frac{u_{n+1,1}}{u_{n,1}}}.$$

• We take σ to be real in order to avoid branch-cut ambiguities:

$$\sigma_n \approx \sqrt{\frac{|u_{n+1,1}|}{|u_{n,1}|}}.$$

• Approximating the (unresolved) quantity u_{2n+1} by $\sqrt{u_{n+1,1}u_{n,1}}$ yields

$$\sigma_n \approx \sqrt{\frac{u_{n+1,1}}{u_{n,1}}}.$$

• We take σ to be real in order to avoid branch-cut ambiguities:

$$\sigma_n \approx \sqrt{\frac{|u_{n+1,1}|}{|u_{n,1}|}}.$$

• We use ratios of time-averaged moments to avoid instabilities:

$$\sigma_n \approx \left(\frac{\left\langle |u_{n+1,1}|^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle |u_{n,1}|^2 \right\rangle}\right)^{1/4}$$

• Approximating the (unresolved) quantity u_{2n+1} by $\sqrt{u_{n+1,1}u_{n,1}}$ yields

$$\sigma_n \approx \sqrt{\frac{u_{n+1,1}}{u_{n,1}}}.$$

• We take σ to be real in order to avoid branch-cut ambiguities:

$$\sigma_n \approx \sqrt{\frac{|u_{n+1,1}|}{|u_{n,1}|}}.$$

• We use ratios of time-averaged moments to avoid instabilities:

$$\sigma_n \approx \left(\frac{\left\langle |u_{n+1,1}|^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle |u_{n,1}|^2 \right\rangle}\right)^{1/4}$$

• A cubic spline can be used for smoother interpolation.

• Under interpolation, the evolution equation is of the form

$$\frac{du_{n,1}}{dt} = \frac{k_{n,1}}{1+|\sigma_n|^2} \left[a \left(\sigma_{n-1} u_{n,1}^2 - \lambda^2 \sigma_n u_{n,1} u_{n+1,1} \right) + b \left(\sigma_{n-1} u_{n-1,1} u_{n,1} - \lambda^2 \sigma_n u_{n+1,1}^2 \right) \right]^* - \nu_{n,1} k_{n,1}^2 u_{n,1}.$$

• Under interpolation, the evolution equation is of the form

$$\frac{du_{n,1}}{dt} = \frac{k_{n,1}}{1+|\sigma_n|^2} \left[a \left(\sigma_{n-1} u_{n,1}^2 - \lambda^2 \sigma_n u_{n,1} u_{n+1,1} \right) + b \left(\sigma_{n-1} u_{n-1,1} u_{n,1} - \lambda^2 \sigma_n u_{n+1,1}^2 \right) \right]^* - \nu_{n,1} k_{n,1}^2 u_{n,1}.$$

• Interaction coefficients are modified by binning:

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \to (a, b) \doteq \left(\sigma_{n-1} \frac{\gamma}{\lambda^2}, -\sigma_{n-1} \sigma_n \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right) \to \left(\sigma_{n-1}^2 a, \sigma_{n-1} b\right)$$

and the nonlinear source is divided by $(1 + |\sigma_n|^2)$.

• Under interpolation, the evolution equation is of the form

$$\frac{du_{n,1}}{dt} = \frac{k_{n,1}}{1+|\sigma_n|^2} \left[a \left(\sigma_{n-1} u_{n,1}^2 - \lambda^2 \sigma_n u_{n,1} u_{n+1,1} \right) + b \left(\sigma_{n-1} u_{n-1,1} u_{n,1} - \lambda^2 \sigma_n u_{n+1,1}^2 \right) \right]^* - \nu_{n,1} k_{n,1}^2 u_{n,1}.$$

• Interaction coefficients are modified by binning:

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \to (a, b) \doteq \left(\sigma_{n-1} \frac{\gamma}{\lambda^2}, -\sigma_{n-1} \sigma_n \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right) \to \left(\sigma_{n-1}^2 a, \sigma_{n-1} b\right)$$

and the nonlinear source is divided by $(1 + |\sigma_n|^2)$.

• The energy $E_1 \doteq \frac{1}{2} \sum_n (1 + |\sigma_n^2|) |u_{n,1}|^2$ is conserved if σ_n is independent of time.









 \bullet Using interpolation to determine the value of σ produces an instability:

$$\sigma_{n-1} \approx \left| \frac{u_n}{u_{n-1}} \right|^{1/2} \text{ decreases}$$

 \bullet Using interpolation to determine the value of σ produces an instability:



• Using interpolation to determine the value of σ produces an instability:



• Using interpolation to determine the value of σ produces an instability:



 \bullet Using interpolation to determine the value of σ produces an instability:



 \bullet Energy transfer to mode n is suppressed by positive feedback mechanism!

• Using interpolation to determine the value of σ produces an instability:



- \bullet Energy transfer to mode n is suppressed by positive feedback mechanism!
- We therefore abandon *a posteriori* interpolatation of the unresolved modes and revert to using $\sigma_n = 1$.

• We would like to perform simulations in which only the high-frequency modes are decimated.

- We would like to perform simulations in which only the high-frequency modes are decimated.
- The method of spectral reduction, with $\sigma_n = 1$, allows us to decimate uniformly.

- We would like to perform simulations in which only the high-frequency modes are decimated.
- The method of spectral reduction, with $\sigma_n = 1$, allows us to decimate uniformly.
- We can combine full-resolution and decimated simulations to achieve our goal.

• • • • • Undecimated grid



- We would like to perform simulations in which only the high-frequency modes are decimated.
- The method of spectral reduction, with $\sigma_n = 1$, allows us to decimate uniformly.
- We can combine full-resolution and decimated simulations to achieve our goal.



• The grids are advanced using separate integrators and synchronized via projection and prolongation.





Decimating the Navier–Stokes equations

• Interpolation has not been shown to work, so we use piecewiseconstant spectral reduction ($\sigma = 1$).

Decimating the Navier–Stokes equations

- Interpolation has not been shown to work, so we use piecewiseconstant spectral reduction ($\sigma = 1$).
- Spectral reduction means representing a function using a restricted basis for L^2 .

Decimating the Navier–Stokes equations

- Interpolation has not been shown to work, so we use piecewiseconstant spectral reduction ($\sigma = 1$).
- Spectral reduction means representing a function using a restricted basis for L^2 .
- The grids must be chosen so that there exist projection and prolongation operators between the grids that locally conserve energy and other quadratic invariants.

• Decimating via an interpolated energy spectrum is unstable.

- Decimating via an interpolated energy spectrum is unstable.
- Decimating using the average over a bin produces an acceptable spectrum.

- Decimating via an interpolated energy spectrum is unstable.
- Decimating using the average over a bin produces an acceptable spectrum.
- The multispectral method allows us to combine DNS with decimated simulations.

- Decimating via an interpolated energy spectrum is unstable.
- Decimating using the average over a bin produces an acceptable spectrum.
- The multispectral method allows us to combine DNS with decimated simulations.
- The multispectral method has been tested on shell models of turbulence.

- Decimating via an interpolated energy spectrum is unstable.
- Decimating using the average over a bin produces an acceptable spectrum.
- The multispectral method allows us to combine DNS with decimated simulations.
- The multispectral method has been tested on shell models of turbulence.
- Piecewise-constant spectral reduction (with $\sigma_n = 1$) has already been applied to 2D NS simulations, but it requires a uniform grid.

- Decimating via an interpolated energy spectrum is unstable.
- Decimating using the average over a bin produces an acceptable spectrum.
- The multispectral method allows us to combine DNS with decimated simulations.
- The multispectral method has been tested on shell models of turbulence.
- Piecewise-constant spectral reduction (with $\sigma_n = 1$) has already been applied to 2D NS simulations, but it requires a uniform grid.
- The ultimate goal is to implement the multispectral method for Navier–Stokes turbulence.

References

[Bowman et al. 1999]	J. C. Bowman, B. A. Shadwick, & P. J. Morrison, Phys. Rev. Lett., 83:5491, 1999.
[Bowman <i>et al.</i> 2006]	J. C. Bowman, C. R. Doering, B. Eckhardt, J. Davoudi, M. Roberts, & J. Schumacher, Physica D, 218 :1, 2006.
[Desnyansky & Novikov 1974]	V. N. Desnyansky & E. A. Novikov, Prikl. Mat. Mekh., 38 :507, 1974.
[Gledzer 1973]	E. B. Gledzer, Sov. Phys. Dokl., 18 :216, 1973.
[Herweijer & van de Water 1995]	J. Herweijer & W. van de Water, Phys. Rev. Lett., 74 :4651, 1995.
[Yamada & Ohkitani 1987]	M. Yamada & K. Ohkitani, J. Phys. Soc. Jap., 56 :4210, 1987.