The Partial Fourier Transform

John C. Bowman and Zayd Ghoggali Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences University of Alberta

July 19, 2017

www.math.ualberta.ca/~bowman/talks

1

Partial Fourier Transform

• The backward 1D discrete partial Fourier transform of a complex vector $\{F_k : k = 0, ..., N - 1\}$ is defined as

$$f_j \doteq \sum_{k=0}^{c(j)} \zeta_N^{jk} F_k, \qquad j = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

where $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$ denotes the *N*th primitive root of unity.

- The partial Fourier transform has applications in seismology.
- It can also be used to decompose turbulent inertial-range transfers into nonlocal and local contributions.
- The special case c(j) = N 1 reduces to the usual 1D DFT:

$$f_j \doteq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{jk} F_k, \qquad j = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

DFT Conventions

• The backward discrete Fourier transform of $\{F_k : k = 0, ..., N-1\}$ is

$$f_j \doteq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{jk} F_k \qquad j = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

• The corresponding *forward transform* is

$$F_k \doteq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{-kj} f_j \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

• The orthogonality of this transform pair follows from

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{\ell j} = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } \ell = sN \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{1 - \zeta_N^{\ell N}}{1 - \zeta_N^{\ell}} = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Fractional-Phase Fourier TransformConsider the partial fractional-phase Fourier transform:

$$f_j \doteq \sum_{k=0}^{c(j)} \zeta^{\alpha j k} F_k, \qquad j = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

where $\zeta \doteq \zeta_1 = e^{2\pi i}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Special Case of Partial DFT: c(j) = j• Given inputs $\{F_k : k = 0, \dots, N-1\},$

$$f_j \doteq \sum_{k=0}^{j} \zeta^{\alpha j k} F_k, \qquad j = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

• Use $jk = \frac{1}{2} \left[j^2 + k^2 - (j-k)^2 \right]$: [Bluestein 1970]

$$f_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \zeta^{\frac{\alpha}{2} [j^2 + k^2 - (j-k)^2]} F_k = \zeta^{\alpha j^2/2} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \zeta^{\alpha k^2/2} F_k \zeta^{-\alpha (j-k)^2/2} F_k \zeta^{-\alpha (j-k)^2/$$

• This can be written as the convolution of the two sequences $g_j \doteq \zeta^{\alpha j^2/2}$ and $h_k \doteq g_k F_k$:

$$f_j = g_j \sum_{k=0}^j h_k \overline{g}_{j-k}.$$

Evaluating the Partial Convolution

• We wish to compute the (partial) convolution

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} h_k \overline{g}_{j-k}.$$

• Prepend N zeros to the sequences $\{g_k\}$ and $\{h_k\}$, indexed as $k = -N, -N + 1, \ldots, -1$, so that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} h_k \overline{g}_{j-k} = \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} h_k \overline{g}_{j-k}.$$

• The added zeros also avoid aliases when using the cyclic DFT to compute a linear convolution.

• The convolution can then be efficiently computed using a cyclic discrete Fourier transform of length 2N:

$$\sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} h_k \overline{g}_{j-k} = \frac{1}{(2N)^2} \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2N-1} \zeta_{2N}^{-k\ell} H_\ell \sum_{m=0}^{2N-1} \zeta_{2N}^{-(j-k)m} G_m$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2N)^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2N-1} \zeta_{2N}^{-jm} H_\ell G_m \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} \zeta_{2N}^{k(m-\ell)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2N)^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2N-1} \zeta_{2N}^{-jm} H_\ell G_m 2N\delta_{\ell m}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2N-1} \zeta_{2N}^{-j\ell} H_\ell G_\ell,$$

where $H_{\ell} = \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} \zeta_{2N}^{\ell k} h_k$ and $G_m = \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} \zeta_{2N}^{mk} \overline{g}_k$ are the discrete Fourier transforms of $\{h_k\}$ and $\{\overline{g}_k\}$.

Discrete Cyclic Convolution

• The FFT provides an efficient tool for computing the *discrete cyclic convolution*

$$\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} F_p G_{k-p},$$

where the vectors F and G have period N.

• The fast Fourier transform (FFT) method exploits the properties that $\zeta_N^r = \zeta_{N/r}$ and $\zeta_N^N = 1$.

Convolution Theorem

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f_j g_j \zeta_N^{-jk} = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{-jk} \left(\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{jp} F_p \right) \left(\sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{jq} G_q \right)$$
$$= \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} F_p G_q \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \zeta_N^{(-k+p+q)j}$$
$$= N \sum_s \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} F_p G_{k-p+sN}.$$

- The terms indexed by $s \neq 0$ are *aliases;* we need to remove them!
- If only the first m entries of the input vectors are nonzero, aliases can be avoided by *zero padding* input data vectors of length mto length $N \ge 2m - 1$.
- *Explicit zero padding* prevents mode m 1 from beating with itself, wrapping around to contaminate mode $N = 0 \mod N$.

Implicit Dealiasing

• Let N = 2m. For $j = 0, \ldots, 2m - 1$ we want to compute

$$f_j = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \zeta_{2m}^{jk} F_k.$$

• If $F_k = 0$ for $k \ge m$, one can easily avoid looping over the unwanted zero Fourier modes by decimating in wavenumber:

$$f_{2\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \zeta_{2m}^{2\ell k} F_k = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \zeta_m^{\ell k} F_k,$$

$$f_{2\ell+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \zeta_{2m}^{(2\ell+1)k} F_k = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \zeta_m^{\ell k} \zeta_{2m}^k F_k, \qquad \ell = 0, 1, \dots m-1.$$

• This requires computing two subtransforms, each of size m, for an overall computational scaling of order $2m \log_2 m = N \log_2 m$.

http://fftwpp.sourceforge.net/



 $\{G_k\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$

http://fftwpp.sourceforge.net/



http://fftwpp.sourceforge.net/



http://fftwpp.sourceforge.net/



Full Fractional-Phase Fourier Transform

• We will also need the full fractional-phase Fourier transform

$$f_j \doteq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \zeta^{\alpha j k} F_k, \qquad j = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

- On defining two sequences $g_j \doteq \zeta^{\alpha j^2/2}$ and $h_k \doteq g_k F_k$, this can be computed just like the partial Fourier transform, except the condition $g_{j-k} = 0$ when j - k < 0 is now replaced by $g_{j-k} = g_{k-j}$.
- This symmetry can be enforced by precomputing the inverse FFTs:

$$\{G_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1} = \mathtt{fft}^{-1}(\{\zeta^{-\alpha k^2/2} + \zeta^{-\alpha (k-N)^2/2}\}_{k=0}^{N-1}),$$

$$\{V_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1} = \mathtt{fft}^{-1}(\{\zeta_{2N}^k[\zeta^{-\alpha k^2/2} - \zeta^{-\alpha (k-N)^2/2}]\}_{k=0}^{N-1}).$$

• Then f_j can be calculated as the product of g_j and the *j*th output of the following function applied to the sequence $\{h_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$.

```
Input: vector f
Output: vector f
for k = 0 to N - 1 do
| \mathsf{u}[k] \leftarrow \zeta_{2N}^k \mathsf{f}[k];
end
f \leftarrow fft(fft^{-1}(f) * G);
u \leftarrow fft(fft^{-1}(u) * V);
for k = 0 to N - 1 do
f[k] \leftarrow f[k] + \zeta_{2N}^{-k} u[k];
end
return f/(2N);
```

• In the above pseudocode, an asterisk (*) denotes an elementby-element (vector) multiply. Partial FFT: Special Case c(j) = (pj + s)/q

• Here p, q, and s are integers, with $p \neq 0$ and

$$f_j \doteq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (pj+s)/q \rfloor} \zeta^{\alpha j k} F_k, \qquad j = 0, \dots, M-1.$$

• Let pj + s = qn + r, with $n = 0, \ldots, N - 1$. Then

$$f_{j} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \zeta_{p}^{\alpha(qn+r-s)k} F_{k}$$

= $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \zeta_{2p}^{\alpha q [n^{2}+k^{2}-(n-k)^{2}]} \zeta_{p}^{\alpha(r-s)k} F_{k}$
= $\zeta_{2p}^{\alpha q n^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \zeta_{2p}^{-\alpha q (n-k)^{2}} \zeta_{2p}^{\alpha q k^{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\alpha(r-s)k} F_{k}$

• On setting $g_k \doteq \zeta_{2p}^{\alpha q k^2}$ and $h_k \doteq g_k \zeta_p^{\alpha (r-s)k} F_k$, the result can be written as a convolution of two sequences $\{h_k\}$ and $\{g_k\}$:

$$f_j = g_n \sum_{k=0}^n h_k \overline{g}_{n-k}, \qquad j = 0, \dots, M-1.$$

• This general algorithm is only efficient when p = 1 or q = 1.

• The technique can be readily extended to higher dimensions.

Rectangular subdivision for c(j) = j



Triangular subdivision for c(j) = j



Rectangular:
$$c(j) = (N-1) \sin \frac{\pi j}{N-1}$$



Hybrid: $c(j) = (N-1) \sin \frac{\pi j}{N-1}$



Computation time



Application: Kolmogorov Theory of Turbulence

- Although the independence of the local inertial-range energy flux with wavenumber is one of the key hypothesis underlying Kolmogorov's famous 5/3 power-law form for the kinetic energy spectrum, it has never been directly tested, either experimentally or numerically.
- To validate Kolmogorov's uniform flux hypothesis in a high-resolution pseudospectral code, detailed wavenumber constraints must be imposed on the convolution.
- The key tool needed is the partial fast Fourier transform, where the summation limits are restricted by a spatially-dependent constraint.
- To this end, we have improved on previous attempts [Ying 2009] to develop a partial FFT based on the fractional-phase Fourier transform and Bluestein's algorithm [Bluestein 1970].

Flux Decomposition for a Single $(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q})$ Triad



• Note that energy is conserved: $L_k + S_k = T_k = -T_p - T_q$. Thus

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{k}|=k\\|\boldsymbol{p}|$$

Conclusions

- A fast $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ algorithm for computing the partial fast Fourier transform is available, but with a relatively large coefficient.
- Improving on the work of Ying & Fomel [2009], we obtained a fast computational scaling, but with a smaller overall coefficient.
- The partial Fourier transform has applications in decomposing turbulent transfers into nonlocal and local fluxes.
- These techniques can be used to compute detailed inertial-range flux profiles and for the first time verify a key underpinning assumption of Kolmogorov's famous power-law conjecture for turbulence.
- This will allow us to verify and exploit inertial-range selfsimilarity in 2D turbulence and study the *flux locality profile*.

References

[Bluestein 1970] L. I. Bluestein, IEEE Trans. Audio and Electroacoustics, 18:451, 1970.

[Bowman & Roberts 2011] J. C. Bowman & M. Roberts, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 33:386, 2011.

[Roberts & Bowman 2017] M. Roberts & J. C. Bowman, Submitted to Journal of Computational Physics, 2017.

[Ying & Fomel 2009] L. Ying & S. Fomel, Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, 8:110, 2009.