# The covering numbers and "low $M^*$ -estimate" for quasi-convex bodies. \*

A.E. Litvak  $^{\dagger}$  V.D. Milman  $^{\dagger}$  A. Pajor

### Abstract

This article gives estimates on the covering numbers and diameters of random proportional sections and projections of quasi-convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . These results were known for the convex case and played an essential role in the development of the theory. Because duality relations cannot be applied in the quasi-convex setting, new ingredients were introduced that give new understanding for the convex case as well.

# 1. Introduction and notation.

Let  $|\cdot|$  be a Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and D be the ellipsoid associated to this norm. Denote

$$A(n,k) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2} \ d\sigma\left(x\right) = \frac{\sqrt{n} \ \Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right) \ \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{k} \ \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \ \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} \ ,$$

where  $\sigma$  is the normalized rotationally invariant measure on the Euclidean sphere  $S^{n-1}$  and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma-function. Then A(n,k) < 1 and  $A(n,k) \longrightarrow 1$  as  $n,k \longrightarrow \infty$ . For any star-body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  define  $M_K = \int_{S^{n-1}} ||x|| d\sigma(x)$ , where ||x|| is the gauge of K. Let  $M_K^*$  be  $M_{K^0}$ , where  $K^0$  is the polar of K. For any

<sup>\*</sup>This research was done while the authors visited MSRI; we thank the Institute for its hospitality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Research partially supported by BSF.

subsets  $K_1, K_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  denote by  $N(K_1, K_2)$  the smallest number N such that there are N points  $y_1, ..., y_N$  in  $K_1$  such that

$$K_1 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N (y_i + K_2)$$

Recall that a body K is called quasi-convex if there is a constant c such that  $K + K \subset cK$ , and given a  $p \in (0, 1]$  a body K is called p-convex if for any  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  satisfying  $\lambda^p + \mu^p = 1$  and any points  $x, y \in K$  the point  $\lambda x + \mu y$  belongs to K. Note that for the gauge  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_K$  associated with the quasi-convex (p-convex) body K the following inequality holds for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$|| x + y || \le c \max\{|| x ||, || y ||\} (|| x + y ||^{p} \le || x ||^{p} + || y ||^{p}).$$

In particular, every *p*-convex body *K* is also quasi-convex and  $K + K \subset 2^{1/p}K$ . A more delicate result is that for every quasi-convex body *K* ( $K + K \subset cK$ ) there exists a *q*-convex body  $K_0$  such that  $K \subset K_0 \subset 2cK$ , where  $2^{1/q} = 2c$ . This is the Aoki-Rolewicz theorem ([KPR], [R], see also [K], p.47). In this note by a body we always mean a compact star-body, i.e. a body *K* satisfying  $tK \subset K$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Let us recall the so-called "low  $M^*$ -estimate" result.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and n be large enough. Let K be a centrally-symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be the gauge of K. Then there exists a subspace E of  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  such that dim  $E = [\lambda n]$  and for any  $x \in E$  the following inequality holds

$$\parallel x \parallel \geq \frac{f(\lambda)}{M_K^*} |x|$$

for some function  $f(\lambda)$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

*Remark.* An inequality of this type was first proved in [M1] with very poor dependence on  $\lambda$  and then improved in [M2] to  $f(\lambda) = C(1-\lambda)$ . It was later shown ([PT]), that one can take  $f(\lambda) = C\sqrt{1-\lambda}$  (for different proofs see [M3] and [G]).

By duality this theorem is equivalent to the following theorem.

**Theorem** 1' Let  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and n be large enough. For every centrally-symmetric convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  there exists an orthogonal projection P of rank  $[\lambda n]$  such that

$$PD \subset \frac{M_K}{f(\lambda)} PK.$$

Theorem 1 was one of the central ingredients in the proof of several recent results of Local Theory, e.g. the Quotient of Subspace Theorem ([M1]) and the Reverse Brunn-Minkowski inequality of the second name author (see, e.g. [MS] or [P]). Both these results were later extended to a *p*-normed setting in [GK] and [BBP]. The proofs have essentially used corresponding convex results and some kind of "interpolation". However, the main technical tool in the proof of these convex results, Theorem 1, was a purely "convex" statement. Let us also note an extension of Dvoretzky's theorem to the quasi-convex setting by Dilworth ([D]).

In this note we will extend Theorem 1 and Theorem 1' to quasi-convex, not necessarily centrally-symmetric bodies. Since duality arguments cannot be applied to a non-convex body these two theorems become different statements. Also " $M_K^*$ " should be substituted by an appropriate quantity not involving duality. Note that by avoiding the use of convexity assumption in fact we also simplified the proof for the convex case.

#### 2. Main results.

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 1'.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and *n* be large enough  $(n > c/(1-\lambda)^2)$ . For any *p*-convex body *K* in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  there exists an orthogonal projection *P* of rank  $[\lambda n]$  such that

$$PD \subset \frac{A_p M_K}{(1-\lambda)^{1+1/p}} PK,$$

where  $A_p = const^{\frac{\ln(2/p)}{p}}$ .

Remark. To appreciate the strength of this inequality apply it to the standard simplex S inscribed in D. Then  $M_S \approx \sqrt{n \cdot \log n}$  and therefore for every  $\lambda < 1$  there are  $\lambda n$ -dimensional projections containing a Euclidean ball of radius  $f(\lambda)/\sqrt{n \cdot \log n}$ . At the same time S contains only a ball of radius 1/n. In fact, using this theorem for  $S \cap rD$  for some special value r, we can eliminate the logarithmic factor and obtain the existence of  $\lambda n$ -dimensional projections containing a Euclidean ball of radius  $f_1(\lambda)/\sqrt{n}$ . Another example is "p-convex simplex",  $S_p$ , defined for  $p \in (0, 1)$  as a p-convex hull of extremum points of S, i.e.

$$S_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i x_i ; \lambda_i \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i^p \le 1 \right\},$$

where  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} = extrS$ . Then Theorem 2 gives us the existence of  $\lambda n$ -dimensional projections containing a Euclidean ball of radius  $\frac{f(\lambda,p)}{n^{1/p}}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}}$  however  $S_p$  contains only a ball of radius  $1/n^{1/p}$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the next three lemmas. The first one was proved by W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss in [JL]. The second one was proved in [PT] for centrally-symmetric convex bodies and is the dual form of the Sudakov's minoration theorem.

**Lemma 1** There is an absolute constant c such that if  $\varepsilon > \sqrt{c/k}$  and  $N \leq 2e^{\varepsilon^2 k/c}$ , then for any set of points  $y_1, ..., y_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any orthogonal projection P of rank k

$$\mu\left(\{U \in O_n \mid \forall j : A(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{k/n} \mid y_j| \le |PUy_j| \le A(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{k/n} \mid y_j|\}\right) > 0,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Haar probability measure on  $O_n$  and  $A = A(n,k) \in (1/2,1)$ 

**Lemma 2** Let K be a body such that  $K + K \subset aK$ . Then

$$N(D, tK) \le 2e^{8n(aM_K/t)^2}$$

*Proof:* M. Talagrand gave a direct simple proof of this lemma for the convex case ([LT], pp. 82-83). One can check that his proof does not use symmetry and convexity of the body and produces the estimate  $N(D, tB) \leq 2e^{2n(aM_B/t)^2}$  for every body B, such that  $B - B \subset aB$ .

Now for a body K, satisfying  $K + K \subset aK$  denote  $B = K \cap -K$ . Then  $B - B \subset aB$  and  $M_B \leq 2M_K$ , since

$$||x||_{B} = \max(||x||_{K}, ||x||_{-K}) \le ||x||_{K} + ||x||_{-K}$$

Thus

$$N(D,tK) \le N(D,tB) \le 2e^{2n(2aM_K/t)^2}.$$

**Lemma 3** Let B be a body, K be a p-convex body,  $r \in (0,1)$ ,  $\{x_i\} \subset rB$  and  $B \subset \bigcup (x_i + K)$ . Then  $B \subset t_r K$ , where  $t_r = \frac{1}{(1-r^p)^{1/p}}$ .

*Proof:* Let  $t_r$  be the smallest t > 0 for which  $B \subset tK$ . Then, obviously  $t_r = \max\{\|x\|_K \mid x \in B\}$ . Since  $B \subset \bigcup(x_i + K)$ , for any point x in B there are points  $x_0$  in rB and y in K such that  $x = x_0 + y$ . Then by maximality of  $t_r$  and p-convexity of K we have  $t_r^p \leq r^p t_r^p + 1$ . That proves the lemma.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 2:

Any p-convex body K satisfies  $K + K \subset aK$  with  $a = 2^{1/p}$ . By Lemma 2 we have

$$N = N(D, tK) \le 2 \cdot \exp\left(2^{3+2/p} n(M_K/t)^2\right),$$

i.e. there exist points  $x_1, ..., x_N$  in D, such that

$$D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} (x_i + tK)$$

Denote  $c_p = 2^{3+2/p}$ . Let t and  $\varepsilon$  satisfy

$$c_p n\left(\frac{M_K}{t}\right)^2 \le \frac{\varepsilon^2 k}{c}$$

and  $\varepsilon > \sqrt{c/k}$  for c being the constant from Lemma 1.

Choose

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\lambda}}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \; .$$

Applying Lemma 1 we obtain that there exist an orthogonal projection P of rank k such that

$$PD \subset \bigcup (Px_i + tPK) \text{ and } |Px_i| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} |x_i|.$$

Let  $\lambda = k/n$ . Denote  $r = (1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{\lambda}$ . Since K is p-convex Lemma 3 gives us

$$PD \subset tt_r PK$$
 for  $t = \frac{\sqrt{cc_p}M_K}{\varepsilon\sqrt{\lambda}}$  and  $\varepsilon^2 > \frac{c}{\lambda n}$ ,  $r < 1$ .

Then for n large enough we get

$$PD \subset \frac{A_p M_K}{(1-\lambda)^{1+1/p}} PK,$$

for  $A_p = const^{\frac{\ln(2/p)}{p}}$  . This completes the proof.

Theorem 2 can be also formulated in a global form.

**Theorem** 2' Let K be a p-convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is an orthogonal operator U such that

$$D \subset A_{p}^{'}M_{K}(K+UK),$$

where  $A_p' = const^{\frac{\ln(2/p)}{p}}$ .

This theorem can be proved independently, but we show how it follows from Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2': First, let us assume that K is symmetric body. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2 that actually the measure of such projections is large. So we can choose two orthogonal subspaces  $E_1, E_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that dim  $E_1 = [n/2]$ , dim  $E_2 = [(n+1)/2]$  and

$$P_i D \subset A''_p M_K P_i K,$$

where  $P_i$  is the projection on the space  $E_i$  (i = 1, 2). Denote by  $I = id_{\mathbb{R}^n} = P_1 + P_2$ and  $U = P_1 - P_2$ . So  $P_1 = \frac{I+U}{2}$  and  $P_2 = \frac{I-U}{2}$ . Then U is an orthogonal operator and for any  $x \in D$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= P_1 x + P_2 x \subset A''_p M_K \left(\frac{I+U}{2}\right) K + A''_p M_K \left(\frac{I-U}{2}\right) K \subset \\ &\subset A''_p M_K \frac{K+K}{2} + A''_p M_K \frac{UK-UK}{2} = A'_p M_K (K+UK) \;. \end{aligned}$$

That proves Theorem 2' for symmetric bodies. In general case we need to apply the same trick as in the proof of Lemma 2. Denote  $B = K \cap -K$ . Then B is symmetric p-convex body so, by first part of the proof, there is an orthogonal operator U such that

$$D \subset A'_{p}M_{B}(B+UB),$$

Since  $B \subset K$  and  $M_B \leq 2M_K$  (see proof of Lemma 2), we get the result.  $\Box$ 

Let us complement Lemma 2 by mentioning how the covering number N(K, tD) can be estimated. In the convex case this estimate is given by the Sudakov's inequality ([S]), in terms of the quantity  $M_K^*$ . More precisely, if K is a centrally-symmetric convex body, then

$$N(K, tD) \le 2e^{cn(M_K^*/t)^2}$$
.

Of course, using duality for a non-convex setting leads to a weak result, and we suggest below a substitute for the quantity  $M_K^*$ .

For two quasi-convex bodies K, B define the following number

$$M(K,B) = \frac{1}{|K|} \int\limits_K \parallel x \parallel_B dx,$$

where |K| is the volume of K, and  $||x||_B$  is the gauge of B. Such numbers are considered in [MP1], [MP2] and [BMMP].

**Lemma 4** Let K be a p-convex body and B be a body. Assume  $B - B \subset aB$ . Then

$$N(K,tB) \le 2e^{(cn/p)(aM(K,B)/t)^p} ,$$

where c is an absolute constant.

*Proof:* We follow the idea of M. Talagrand of estimating the covering numbers in the case K = D ([LT], pp. 82-83, see also [BLM] Proposition 4.2). Denote the gauge of K by  $\|\cdot\|$  and the gauge of B by  $|\cdot|_B$ . Define the measure  $\mu$  by

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{A}e^{-\|x\|^p}dx$$
, where A is chosen so that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mu = 1$ .

Let  $L = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|_B d\mu$ . Then  $\mu\{|x|_B \le 2L\} \ge 1/2$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be a maximal set of

points in K such that  $|x_i - x_j|_B \ge t$ . So the sets  $x_i + \frac{t}{a}B$  have mutually disjoint interiors. Let  $y_i = \frac{ab}{t}x_i$  for some b. Then, by p-convexity of K and convexity of the function  $e^t$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mu\{y_i + bB\} &= \frac{1}{A} \int\limits_{bB} e^{-\|x+y_i\|^p} dx \geq \frac{1}{A} \int\limits_{bB} e^{-(\|x\|^p + \|y_i\|^p)} dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{A} e^{-\|y_i\|^p} \int\limits_{bB} e^{-\|x\|^p} dx \geq e^{-(ba/t)^p} \mu\{bB\}. \end{split}$$

Choose b = 2L. Then  $\mu\{bB\} \ge 1/2$  and, hence,

$$N(K, tB) \le 2e^{(2aL/t)^p} .$$

Now compute L. First, the normalization constant A is equal

$$A = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\|x\|^{p}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\|x\|}^{\infty} (-e^{-t^{p}})' dt dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} pt^{p-1} e^{-t^{p}} \int_{\|x\| \le t} dx dt =$$
$$= \int_{\|x\| \le 1} dx \int_{0}^{\infty} pt^{p+n-1} e^{-t^{p}} dt = |K| \cdot \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right),$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma-function. The remaining integral is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |x|_{B} e^{-\|x\|^{p}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |x|_{B} \int_{\|x\|}^{\infty} (-e^{-t^{p}})' dt dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} p t^{p-1} e^{-t^{p}} \int_{\|x\| \le t} |x|_{B} dx dt =$$

$$= \int_{\|x\| \le 1} |x|_B dx \int_0^\infty p t^{p+n} e^{-t^p} dt = |K| \cdot M(K, B) \cdot \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+1}{p}\right)$$

Using Stirling's formula we get

$$L \approx \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{1/p} M(K,B)$$

That proves the lemma.

*Remark.* An analogous lemma for a *p*-smooth  $(1 \le p \le 2)$  body *K* and a convex centrally-symmetric body *B* was announced in [MP2]. Of course, the proof holds for all p > 0 and every quasi-convex centrally-symmetric body *B*. More precisely the following lemma holds.

**Lemma** 4' Let K and B be bodies. Let  $B - B \subset aB$  and assume that for some p > 0 there is a constant  $c_p$  which depends only on p and the body K, such that

$$|| x + y ||_{K}^{p} + || x - y ||_{K}^{p} \le 2 \cdot (|| x ||_{K}^{p} + c_{p} \cdot || y ||_{K}^{p}) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

Then

$$N(K, tB) \le 2e^{cn(c_p/p)(aM(K,B)/t)^p},$$

where c is an absolute constant.

Lemma 4' is an extension of Lemma 2 in the symmetric case. Indeed, since Euclidean space is a 2-smooth space, then in the case where K = D is an ellipsoid, we have  $c_2(D) = 1$ . By direct computation,  $M(D, B) = \frac{n}{n+1}M_B$ . Thus,

$$N(D, tB) \le 2e^{(cn)(M_B/t)^2}$$

Define the following characteristic of K:

$$\tilde{M}_K = \frac{1}{|K|} \int\limits_K |x| dx \,,$$

where  $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_D$  is the Euclidean norm associated to D.

Lemma 4 shows that for p-convex body K

$$N(K, tD) \le 2e^{(cn/p)(2M_K/t)^p}.$$

Theorem 3 follows from this estimate by arguments similar to those in [MPi].

**Theorem 3** Let  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and n be large enough. Let K be a p-convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be the gauge of K. Then there exists a subspace E of  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  such that dim  $E = [\lambda n]$  and for any  $x \in E$  the following inequality holds

$$||x|| \ge \frac{(1-\lambda)^{1/2+1/p}}{a_p \tilde{M}_K} |x|,$$

where  $a_p$  depends on p only (more precisely  $a_p = const^{\frac{\ln(2/p)}{p}}$ ).

**Proof:** By Lemma 4 there are points  $x_1, ..., x_N$  in K, such that  $N < e^{c_p n(\tilde{M}_K/t)^p}$ and for any  $x \in K$  there exists some  $x_i$  such that  $|x - x_i| < t$ . By Lemma 1 there exists an orthogonal projection P on a subspace of dimension  $[\delta n]$  such that if tand  $\varepsilon$  satisfy

$$c_p n\left(\frac{\tilde{M}_K}{t}\right)^p < \frac{\varepsilon^2 \delta n}{c} \text{ and } \varepsilon > \sqrt{\frac{c}{\delta n}}$$

we have

$$b|x_i| := (1 - \varepsilon)A\sqrt{\delta}|x_i| \le |Px_i| \le (1 + \varepsilon)A\sqrt{\delta}|x_i|$$

for every  $x_i$ . Let E = KerP. Then  $\dim E = \lambda n$ , where  $\lambda = 1 - \delta$ . Take x in  $K \cap E$ . There is  $x_i$  such that  $|x - x_i| < t$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |x| &\leq |x - x_i| + |x_i| \leq t + \frac{|Px_i|}{b} = t + \frac{|P(x - x_i)|}{b} \leq \\ &\leq t + \frac{|x - x_i|}{b} \leq t(1 + \frac{1}{b}) \leq \frac{const \cdot t}{(1 - \varepsilon)\sqrt{\delta}} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for n large enough and

$$t = \left(\frac{const \cdot c_p}{\varepsilon^2 \delta}\right)^{1/p} \tilde{M}_K$$

we get

$$\|x\| \ge \frac{const \cdot \varepsilon^2 (1-\varepsilon) \delta^{1/2+1/p}}{c_p^{1/p} \tilde{M}_K} |x|.$$

To obtain our result take  $\varepsilon$ , say, equal to 1/2.

As was noted in [MP2] in some cases  $\tilde{M}_K \ll M_K^*$  and then Theorem 3 gives better estimates than Theorem 1 even for a convex body (in some range of  $\lambda$ ). As an example, if  $K = B(l_1^n)$ , then  $\tilde{M}_K \leq c \cdot n^{-1/2}$ , but  $M_K^* \geq c \cdot n^{-1/2} (\log n)^{1/2}$  for some absolute constant c.

## 3. Additional remarks.

In fact, the proof of Theorem 2 shows a more general fact.

**Fact.** Let D be an ellipsoid and K be a p-convex body. Let

$$N(D,K) \le e^{\alpha n}.$$

For an integer  $1 \leq k \leq n$  write  $\lambda = k/n$ . Then for some absolute constant c and

$$\gamma = c\sqrt{\alpha}, \quad k \in (\gamma^2 n, (1 - 2\gamma)^2 n)$$

there exists an orthogonal projection P of rank k such that

$$c_1\left(p(1-\sqrt{\lambda})/2\right)^{1/p}PD \subset PK,$$

where  $c_1$  is an absolute constant.

In terms of entropy numbers this means that

$$c_1 \frac{\left(p(1-\sqrt{k/n})/2\right)^{1/p}}{e_k(D,K)} PD \subset PK,$$

where  $e_k(D, K) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid N(D, \varepsilon K) \le 2^{k-1} \}$ .

It is worthwhile to point out that Theorem 2 can be obtained from this result. We thank E. Gluskin for his remarks on the first draft of this note.

# References

- [BBP] J. Bastero, J. Bernués and A. Peña, An extension of Milman's reverse Brunn-Minkowski inequality, GAFA 5 (1995), 572–581.
- [BLM] J. Bourgain, J. Lindenstrauss, V. Milman, Approximation of zonoids by zonotopes. Acta Math. 162 (1989), no. 1-2, 73–141.
- [BMMP] J. Bourgain, M. Meyer, V. Milman, A. Pajor, On a geometric inequality. Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87), 271–282, Lecture Notes in Math., 1317, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1988.
- [D] S.J. Dilworth, The dimension of Euclidean subspaces of quasi-normed spaces, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 97, 311-320, 1985.
- [G] Y. Gordon, On Milman's inequality and random subspaces which escape through a mesh in ℝ<sup>n</sup>. Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87), 84–106, Lecture Notes in Math., 1317, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1988.

- [GK] Y. Gordon, N.J. Kalton, Local structure theory for quasi-normed spaces, Bull. Sci. Math., 118, 441-453, 1994.
- [JL] W.B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space. Conference in modern analysis and probability (New Haven, Conn., 1982), 189–206,
- [KPR] N.J. Kalton, N.T. Peck, J.W. Roberts, An F-space sampler, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 89, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1984.
- [K] H. König, Eigenvalue Distribution of Compact Operators, Birkhäuser, 1986.
- [LT] M. Ledoux, M. Talagrand, Probability in Banach spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1991.
- [M1] V.D. Milman, Almost Euclidean quotient spaces of subspaces of a finite-dimensional normed space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1985), no. 3, 445–449.
- [M2] V.D. Milman, Random subspaces of proportional dimension of finite-dimensional normed spaces: approach through the isoperimetric inequality. Banach spaces (Columbia, Mo., 1984), 106–115, Lecture Notes in Math., 1166, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1985.
- [M3] V.D. Milman, A note on a low M<sup>\*</sup>-estimate. Geometry of Banach spaces (Strobl, 1989), 219–229, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 158, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [MP1] V.D. Milman, A. Pajor, Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n-dimensional space. Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1987–88), 64–104, Lecture Notes in Math., 1376, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1989.
- [MP2] V. Milman, A. Pajor, Cas limites dans des inégalités du type de Khinchine et applications géométriques. (French) [Limit cases of Khinchin-type inequalities and some geometric applications] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 308 (1989), no. 4, 91–96.
- [MPi] V. Milman, G. Pisier, Banach spaces with a weak cotype 2 property, Isr. J. Math., 54 (1980), 139–158.
- [MS] V.D. Milman and G. Schechtman, Asymptotic theory of finite dimensional normed spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 1200, Springer-Verlag (1986).
- [PT] A. Pajor, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, Subspaces of small codimension of finite-dimensional Banach spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986), no. 4, 637–642.
- [P] G. Pisier, The Volume of Convex Bodies and Banach Space Geometry, Cambridge University Press (1989).
- [R] S. Rolewicz, Metric linear spaces. Monografie Matematyczne, Tom. 56. [Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 56] PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1972.

[S] V.N. Sudakov, Gaussian measures, Cauchy measures and  $\varepsilon$ -entropy. Soviet. Math. Dokl., 10 (1969), 310-313.

A.E. Litvak and V.D. Milman	A. Pajor
Department of Mathematics	Universite de Marne-la-Vallee
Tel Aviv University	Equipe de Mathematiques
Ramat Aviv, Israel	2 rue de la Butte Verte, 93166
	Noisy-le-Grand Cedex, France
email: alexandr@math.tau.ac.il vitali@math.tau.ac.il	email: pajor@math.univ-mlv.fr